

(12) United States Patent

Gonda et al.

(54) METHOD OF TREATING DIABETES MELLITUS IN A PATIENT

(75) Inventors: Igor Gonda, San Francisco, CA (US);

Reid M. Rubsamen, Oakland, CA (US);

Stephen J. Farr, Orinda, CA (US)

Assignee: Aradigm Corporation, Hayward, CA

(US)

Subject to any disclaimer, the term of this Notice:

patent is extended or adjusted under 35

U.S.C. 154(b) by 327 days.

Appl. No.: 11/130,986

Filed: May 17, 2005

(65)**Prior Publication Data**

> US 2005/0274377 A1 Dec. 15, 2005

Related U.S. Application Data

(60) Continuation of application No. 09/848,774, filed on May 3, 2001, which is a continuation of application No. 09/686,212, filed on Oct. 10, 2000, now abandoned, which is a continuation of application No. 09/378,638, filed on Aug. 20, 1999, now Pat. No. 6,167,880, which is a division of application No. 09/160,909, filed on Sep. 25, 1998, now Pat. No. 5,941,240, which is a continuation of application No. 08/846,243, filed on Apr. 25, 1997, now Pat. No. 5,884, 620, which is a continuation-in-part of application No. 08/754,423, filed on Nov. 22, 1996, now Pat. No. 5,743,250, which is a continuation-in-part of application No. 08/549,343, filed on Oct. 27, 1995, now Pat. No. 5,915,378, which is a continuation-in-part of application No. 08/331,056, filed on Oct. 28, 1994, now Pat. No. 5,672,581, which is a continuation-inpart of application No. 08/011,281, filed on Jan. 29, 1993, now Pat. No. 5,364,838.

US 7,448,375 B2 (10) Patent No.:

(45) Date of Patent: Nov. 11, 2008

(51) Int. Cl. A61M 11/00 (2006.01)

U.S. Cl. 128/200.14; 128/203.12;

128/204.23

Field of Classification Search 128/200.14, 128/200.22, 200.23, 203.12, 203.15

See application file for complete search history.

(56)**References Cited**

U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS

3,362,405 A 1/1968 Hazel

(Continued)

FOREIGN PATENT DOCUMENTS

EP 0186280 10/1985

(Continued)

OTHER PUBLICATIONS

U.S. Appl. No. 08/011,281


(Continued)

Primary Examiner—Steven O Douglas (74) Attorney, Agent, or Firm—Karl Bozicevic; Bozicevic, Field & Francis

ABSTRACT (57)

Dosages of inhaled insulin are controlled within a narrow range by controlling the total volume of air inhaled by a patient. By repeatedly delivering aerosolized insulin with the same total inhaled volume of air, the amount of insulin delivered to the patient each time is consistent. A device for delivering insulin by inhalation is disclosed which device comprises a means for measuring inhaled volume and for halting inhalation at a pre-determined point. The device also comprises an adjustable means for applying various amounts of force to a container of formulation to expel different amounts of drug from the container based on the force applied.

21 Claims, 9 Drawing Sheets



US 7,448,375 B2


Page 2


*** 0	D. LEED VE	DOCKE CENTRO	4005.540		4.44.000	D 11 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1
U.S.	PATENT	DOCUMENTS	4,895,719			Radhakrishnan et al.
2 427 600 4	2(1060	.1 1 1	4,897,353			Carpenter et al.
3,425,600 A		Abplanalp	4,907,583	A	3/1990	Wetterlin et al.
3,540,927 A		Niimi et al.	4,919,962	A	4/1990	Arora et al.
3,554,768 A	1/1971	Feldman	4,926,852	Α	5/1990	Zoltan et al.
3,561,444 A	2/1971	Boucher	4,927,763			Sudoma et al.
3,620,776 A	11/1971	Mishkin et al.	4,931,361			Baldeschwieler et al.
3,666,496 A		Honey et al.				
			4,942,544			McIntosh et al.
3,674,901 A		Shepherd et al.	4,946,828			Markussen
3,703,173 A	11/1972		4,956,295	Α	9/1990	Sudoma
3,764,716 A		Rainwater et al.	4,968,607	A	11/1990	Dower et al.
3,812,854 A	5/1974	Michaels et al.	4,984,158	A	1/1991	Hillsman
3,921,637 A	11/1975	Bennie et al.	4,995,385		2/1991	Valentin et al.
3,937,668 A	2/1976	Zolle	5,006,343			Benson et al.
3,964,483 A		Mathes				
3,971,852 A		Brenner et al.	5,011,678			Wang et al.
		Hillsman	5,017,372			Hastings
3,991,304 A			5,026,566		6/1991	
3,991,761 A		Cocozza	5,027,806	Α	7/1991	Zoltan et al.
3,994,421 A	11/1976		5,033,463	A	7/1991	Cocozza
4,036,223 A	7/1977	Obert	5,035,237	Α	7/1991	Newell et al.
4,069,819 A	1/1978	Valentini et al.	5,042,975			Chien et al.
4,098,273 A	7/1978	Glenn	5,048,514			Ramella
4,109,019 A	8/1978					
4,153,689 A		Hiral et al.	5,049,388			Knight et al.
			5,081,228			Dower et al.
4,206,200 A		Guthohrlein et al.	5,093,316	A		Lezdey et al.
4,211,769 A		Okada et al.	5,098,893	Α		Franks et al.
4,249,526 A	2/1981	Dean et al.	5,099,833	A	3/1992	Michaels
4,253,468 A	3/1981	Lehmbeck	5,113,855	Α		Newhouse
4,294,624 A	10/1981	Veltman	5,124,162			Boskovic et al.
4,294,829 A		Suzuki et al.			8/1992	
4,338,931 A		Cavazza	5,139,016			
, ,			5,149,543			Cohen et al.
4,361,401 A		Smith et al.	5,149,653	A	9/1992	Roser
4,423,079 A	12/1983		5,161,524	A	11/1992	Evans
4,446,862 A	5/1984	Baum et al.	5,167,506	A	12/1992	Kilis et al.
4,452,239 A	6/1984	Malem	5,180,812		1/1993	Dower et al.
4,484,577 A	11/1984	Sackner et al.	5,186,164			Raghuprasad
4.503.035 A	3/1985					Volesquez et al 424/442
4,503,035 A 4 533 552 A		Pestka et al.	5,192,548	A *	3/1993	Velasquez et al
4,533,552 A	8/1985	Pestka et al. Kawamata et al.	5,192,548 5,200,399	A * A	3/1993 4/1993	Wettlaufer et al.
4,533,552 A 4,534,343 A	8/1985 8/1985	Pestka et al. Kawamata et al. Nowacki et al.	5,192,548 5,200,399 5,201,308	A * A A	3/1993 4/1993 4/1993	Wettlaufer et al. Newhouse
4,533,552 A 4,534,343 A 4,559,298 A	8/1985 8/1985 12/1985	Pestka et al. Kawamata et al. Nowacki et al. Fahy	5,192,548 5,200,399	A * A A	3/1993 4/1993	Wettlaufer et al. Newhouse
4,533,552 A 4,534,343 A	8/1985 8/1985 12/1985 5/1986	Pestka et al. Kawamata et al. Nowacki et al. Fahy Forrester et al.	5,192,548 5,200,399 5,201,308	A * A A A	3/1993 4/1993 4/1993 4/1993	Wettlaufer et al. Newhouse
4,533,552 A 4,534,343 A 4,559,298 A	8/1985 8/1985 12/1985 5/1986	Pestka et al. Kawamata et al. Nowacki et al. Fahy	5,192,548 5,200,399 5,201,308 5,204,108 5,206,200	A * A A A A	3/1993 4/1993 4/1993 4/1993	Wettlaufer et al. Newhouse Illum Bush et al.
4,533,552 A 4,534,343 A 4,559,298 A 4,590,206 A	8/1985 8/1985 12/1985 5/1986 7/1986	Pestka et al. Kawamata et al. Nowacki et al. Fahy Forrester et al.	5,192,548 5,200,399 5,201,308 5,204,108 5,206,200 5,230,884	A * A A A A	3/1993 4/1993 4/1993 4/1993 4/1993 7/1993	Wettlaufer et al. Newhouse Illum Bush et al. Evans et al.
4,533,552 A 4,534,343 A 4,559,298 A 4,590,206 A 4,599,311 A 4,604,847 A	8/1985 8/1985 12/1985 5/1986 7/1986 8/1986	Pestka et al. Kawamata et al. Nowacki et al. Fahy Forrester et al. Kawasaki Moulding, Jr. et al.	5,192,548 5,200,399 5,201,308 5,204,108 5,206,200 5,230,884 5,253,468	A * A A A A A	3/1993 4/1993 4/1993 4/1993 4/1993 7/1993 10/1993	Wettlaufer et al. Newhouse Illum Bush et al. Evans et al. Raymond
4,533,552 A 4,534,343 A 4,559,298 A 4,590,206 A 4,599,311 A 4,604,847 A 4,617,272 A	8/1985 8/1985 12/1985 5/1986 7/1986 8/1986 10/1986	Pestka et al. Kawamata et al. Nowacki et al. Fahy Forrester et al. Kawasaki Moulding, Jr. et al. Kirkwond et al.	5,192,548 5,200,399 5,201,308 5,204,108 5,206,200 5,230,884 5,253,468 5,254,330	A * A A A A A	3/1993 4/1993 4/1993 4/1993 7/1993 10/1993	Wettlaufer et al. Newhouse Illum Bush et al. Evans et al. Raymond Ganderton et al.
4,533,552 A 4,534,343 A 4,559,298 A 4,590,206 A 4,599,311 A 4,604,847 A 4,617,272 A 4,624,251 A	8/1985 8/1985 12/1985 5/1986 7/1986 8/1986 10/1986 11/1986	Pestka et al. Kawamata et al. Nowacki et al. Fahy Forrester et al. Kawasaki Moulding, Jr. et al. Kirkwond et al. Miller	5,192,548 5,200,399 5,201,308 5,204,108 5,206,200 5,230,884 5,253,468 5,254,330 5,260,306	A * A A A A A A	3/1993 4/1993 4/1993 4/1993 7/1993 10/1993 10/1993 11/1993	Wettlaufer et al. Newhouse Illum Bush et al. Evans et al. Raymond Ganderton et al. Boardman et al.
4,533,552 A 4,534,343 A 4,559,298 A 4,590,206 A 4,599,311 A 4,604,847 A 4,617,272 A 4,624,251 A 4,627,432 A	8/1985 8/1985 12/1985 5/1986 7/1986 8/1986 10/1986 11/1986 12/1986	Pestka et al. Kawamata et al. Nowacki et al. Fahy Forrester et al. Kawasaki Moulding, Jr. et al. Kirkwond et al. Miller Newell et al.	5,192,548 5,200,399 5,201,308 5,204,108 5,206,200 5,230,884 5,253,468 5,254,330 5,260,306 5,284,133	A * A A A A A A A	3/1993 4/1993 4/1993 4/1993 4/1993 7/1993 10/1993 11/1993 2/1994	Wettlaufer et al. Newhouse Illum Bush et al. Evans et al. Raymond Ganderton et al. Boardman et al. Burns et al.
4,533,552 A 4,534,343 A 4,559,298 A 4,590,206 A 4,599,311 A 4,604,847 A 4,617,272 A 4,624,251 A 4,627,432 A 4,635,627 A	8/1985 8/1985 12/1985 5/1986 7/1986 8/1986 10/1986 11/1986 12/1986 1/1987	Pestka et al. Kawamata et al. Nowacki et al. Fahy Forrester et al. Kawasaki Moulding, Jr. et al. Kirkwond et al. Miller Newell et al. Gam	5,192,548 5,200,399 5,201,308 5,204,108 5,206,200 5,230,884 5,253,468 5,254,330 5,260,306 5,284,133 5,290,765	A * A A A A A A A	3/1993 4/1993 4/1993 4/1993 4/1993 7/1993 10/1993 11/1993 2/1994	Wettlaufer et al. Newhouse Illum Bush et al. Evans et al. Raymond Ganderton et al. Boardman et al.
4,533,552 A 4,534,343 A 4,559,298 A 4,590,206 A 4,599,311 A 4,604,847 A 4,617,272 A 4,624,251 A 4,627,432 A 4,635,627 A 4,649,911 A	8/1985 8/1985 12/1985 5/1986 7/1986 8/1986 10/1986 11/1986 12/1986 1/1987 3/1987	Pestka et al. Kawamata et al. Nowacki et al. Fahy Forrester et al. Kawasaki Moulding, Jr. et al. Kirkwond et al. Miller Newell et al. Gam Knight et al.	5,192,548 5,200,399 5,201,308 5,204,108 5,206,200 5,230,884 5,253,468 5,254,330 5,260,306 5,284,133 5,290,765	A * A A A A A A A	3/1993 4/1993 4/1993 4/1993 7/1993 10/1993 10/1993 11/1993 2/1994 3/1994	Wettlaufer et al. Newhouse Illum Bush et al. Evans et al. Raymond Ganderton et al. Boardman et al. Burns et al.
4,533,552 A 4,534,343 A 4,559,298 A 4,590,206 A 4,599,311 A 4,604,847 A 4,617,272 A 4,624,251 A 4,627,432 A 4,635,627 A	8/1985 8/1985 12/1985 5/1986 7/1986 8/1986 10/1986 11/1986 12/1986 1/1987 3/1987 4/1987	Pestka et al. Kawamata et al. Nowacki et al. Fahy Forrester et al. Kawasaki Moulding, Jr. et al. Kirkwond et al. Miller Newell et al. Gam Knight et al. Hiral et al.	5,192,548 5,200,399 5,201,308 5,206,200 5,230,884 5,253,468 5,254,330 5,260,306 5,284,133 5,290,765 5,295,479	A * A A A A A A A A	3/1993 4/1993 4/1993 4/1993 7/1993 10/1993 10/1993 11/1993 2/1994 3/1994	Wettlaufer et al. Newhouse Illum Bush et al. Evans et al. Raymond Ganderton et al. Boardman et al. Burns et al. Wettlaufer et al. Lankinen
4,533,552 A 4,534,343 A 4,559,298 A 4,590,206 A 4,599,311 A 4,604,847 A 4,617,272 A 4,624,251 A 4,627,432 A 4,635,627 A 4,649,911 A	8/1985 8/1985 12/1985 5/1986 7/1986 8/1986 10/1986 11/1986 12/1986 1/1987 3/1987 4/1987	Pestka et al. Kawamata et al. Nowacki et al. Fahy Forrester et al. Kawasaki Moulding, Jr. et al. Kirkwond et al. Miller Newell et al. Gam Knight et al. Hiral et al.	5,192,548 5,200,399 5,201,308 5,206,200 5,230,884 5,253,468 5,254,330 5,260,306 5,284,133 5,290,765 5,295,479 5,302,581	A * A A A A A A A A A A	3/1993 4/1993 4/1993 4/1993 7/1993 10/1993 10/1993 2/1994 3/1994 4/1994	Wettlaufer et al. Newhouse Illum Bush et al. Evans et al. Raymond Ganderton et al. Boardman et al. Burns et al. Wettlaufer et al. Lankinen Sarin et al.
4,533,552 A 4,534,343 A 4,559,298 A 4,590,206 A 4,599,311 A 4,604,847 A 4,617,272 A 4,624,251 A 4,624,251 A 4,635,627 A 4,649,911 A 4,659,696 A 4,677,975 A	8/1985 8/1985 12/1985 5/1986 7/1986 8/1986 10/1986 11/1986 12/1986 1/1987 3/1987 4/1987	Pestka et al. Kawamata et al. Nowacki et al. Fahy Forrester et al. Kawasaki Moulding, Jr. et al. Kirkwond et al. Miller Newell et al. Gam Knight et al. Hiral et al. Edgar et al.	5,192,548 5,200,399 5,201,308 5,206,200 5,230,884 5,253,468 5,254,330 5,260,306 5,284,133 5,290,765 5,295,479 5,302,581 5,309,900	A * A A A A A A A A A A A A	3/1993 4/1993 4/1993 4/1993 7/1993 10/1993 11/1993 2/1994 3/1994 4/1994 5/1994	Wettlaufer et al. Newhouse Illum Bush et al. Evans et al. Raymond Ganderton et al. Boardman et al. Burns et al. Wettlaufer et al. Lankinen Sarin et al. Knoch et al.
4,533,552 A 4,534,343 A 4,559,298 A 4,590,206 A 4,599,311 A 4,604,847 A 4,617,272 A 4,624,251 A 4,624,251 A 4,635,627 A 4,649,911 A 4,659,696 A 4,677,975 A 4,686,231 A	8/1985 8/1985 12/1985 5/1986 7/1986 8/1986 10/1986 11/1986 11/1987 3/1987 4/1987 7/1987 8/1987	Pestka et al. Kawamata et al. Nowacki et al. Fahy Forrester et al. Kawasaki Moulding, Jr. et al. Kirkwond et al. Miller Newell et al. Gam Knight et al. Hiral et al. Edgar et al. Bender et al.	5,192,548 5,200,399 5,201,308 5,204,108 5,206,200 5,230,884 5,253,468 5,254,330 5,260,306 5,284,133 5,290,765 5,295,479 5,302,581 5,309,900 5,320,094	A * A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A	3/1993 4/1993 4/1993 4/1993 7/1993 10/1993 11/1993 2/1994 3/1994 4/1994 5/1994	Wettlaufer et al. Newhouse Illum Bush et al. Evans et al. Raymond Ganderton et al. Boardman et al. Burns et al. Wettlaufer et al. Lankinen Sarin et al. Knoch et al. Laube et al.
4,533,552 A 4,534,343 A 4,559,298 A 4,590,206 A 4,599,311 A 4,604,847 A 4,617,272 A 4,624,251 A 4,627,432 A 4,635,627 A 4,649,911 A 4,659,696 A 4,677,975 A 4,686,231 A 4,698,328 A	8/1985 8/1985 12/1985 5/1986 7/1986 8/1986 10/1986 11/1986 12/1986 1/1987 3/1987 4/1987 7/1987 8/1987	Pestka et al. Kawamata et al. Nowacki et al. Fahy Forrester et al. Kawasaki Moulding, Jr. et al. Kirkwond et al. Miller Newell et al. Gam Knight et al. Hiral et al. Edgar et al. Bender et al. Neer et al.	5,192,548 5,200,399 5,201,308 5,204,108 5,206,200 5,230,884 5,253,468 5,254,330 5,260,306 5,284,133 5,290,765 5,295,479 5,302,581 5,309,900 5,320,094 5,320,714	A * A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A	3/1993 4/1993 4/1993 4/1993 7/1993 10/1993 10/1993 11/1993 2/1994 3/1994 4/1994 5/1994 6/1994	Wettlaufer et al. Newhouse Illum Bush et al. Evans et al. Raymond Ganderton et al. Boardman et al. Burns et al. Wettlaufer et al. Lankinen Sarin et al. Knoch et al. Laube et al. Brendel
4,533,552 A 4,534,343 A 4,559,298 A 4,590,206 A 4,599,311 A 4,604,847 A 4,617,272 A 4,624,251 A 4,627,432 A 4,635,627 A 4,649,911 A 4,659,696 A 4,677,975 A 4,686,231 A 4,698,328 A 4,719,762 A	8/1985 8/1985 12/1985 5/1986 7/1986 8/1986 10/1986 11/1986 12/1986 1/1987 3/1987 4/1987 7/1987 8/1987 10/1987	Pestka et al. Kawamata et al. Nowacki et al. Fahy Forrester et al. Kawasaki Moulding, Jr. et al. Kirkwond et al. Miller Newell et al. Gam Knight et al. Hiral et al. Edgar et al. Bender et al. Neer et al. Osabe	5,192,548 5,200,399 5,201,308 5,204,108 5,206,200 5,230,884 5,253,468 5,254,330 5,260,306 5,284,133 5,290,765 5,295,479 5,302,581 5,309,900 5,320,094 5,320,714 5,331,953	A * A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A	3/1993 4/1993 4/1993 4/1993 7/1993 10/1993 10/1993 2/1994 3/1994 4/1994 5/1994 6/1994 7/1994	Wettlaufer et al. Newhouse Illum Bush et al. Evans et al. Raymond Ganderton et al. Boardman et al. Burns et al. Wettlaufer et al. Lankinen Sarin et al. Knoch et al. Laube et al. Brendel Andersson et al.
4,533,552 A 4,534,343 A 4,559,298 A 4,590,206 A 4,599,311 A 4,604,847 A 4,617,272 A 4,624,251 A 4,627,432 A 4,635,627 A 4,649,911 A 4,659,696 A 4,677,975 A 4,686,231 A 4,698,328 A 4,719,762 A 4,739,754 A	8/1985 8/1985 12/1985 5/1986 7/1986 8/1986 10/1986 11/1986 12/1986 1/1987 3/1987 4/1987 7/1987 8/1987 10/1988 4/1988	Pestka et al. Kawamata et al. Nowacki et al. Fahy Forrester et al. Kawasaki Moulding, Jr. et al. Kirkwond et al. Miller Newell et al. Gam Knight et al. Hiral et al. Edgar et al. Bender et al. Neer et al. Osabe Shaner	5,192,548 5,200,399 5,201,308 5,204,108 5,206,200 5,230,884 5,253,468 5,254,330 5,260,306 5,284,133 5,290,765 5,295,479 5,302,581 5,309,900 5,320,094 5,320,714	A * A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A	3/1993 4/1993 4/1993 4/1993 7/1993 10/1993 10/1993 2/1994 3/1994 4/1994 5/1994 6/1994 7/1994	Wettlaufer et al. Newhouse Illum Bush et al. Evans et al. Raymond Ganderton et al. Boardman et al. Burns et al. Wettlaufer et al. Lankinen Sarin et al. Knoch et al. Laube et al. Brendel
4,533,552 A 4,534,343 A 4,559,298 A 4,590,206 A 4,599,311 A 4,604,847 A 4,617,272 A 4,624,251 A 4,627,432 A 4,635,627 A 4,649,911 A 4,659,696 A 4,677,975 A 4,686,231 A 4,698,328 A 4,719,762 A 4,739,754 A 4,778,054 A	8/1985 8/1985 12/1985 5/1986 7/1986 8/1986 10/1986 11/1986 12/1986 1/1987 3/1987 4/1987 7/1987 8/1987 10/1987 1/1988 4/1988	Pestka et al. Kawamata et al. Nowacki et al. Fahy Forrester et al. Kawasaki Moulding, Jr. et al. Kirkwond et al. Miller Newell et al. Gam Knight et al. Hiral et al. Edgar et al. Bender et al. Neer et al. Osabe Shaner Newell et al.	5,192,548 5,200,399 5,201,308 5,204,108 5,206,200 5,230,884 5,253,468 5,254,330 5,260,306 5,284,133 5,290,765 5,295,479 5,302,581 5,309,900 5,320,094 5,320,714 5,331,953	A * A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A	3/1993 4/1993 4/1993 4/1993 7/1993 10/1993 10/1993 2/1994 3/1994 3/1994 5/1994 6/1994 6/1994 9/1994	Wettlaufer et al. Newhouse Illum Bush et al. Evans et al. Raymond Ganderton et al. Boardman et al. Burns et al. Wettlaufer et al. Lankinen Sarin et al. Knoch et al. Laube et al. Brendel Andersson et al.
4,533,552 A 4,534,343 A 4,559,298 A 4,590,206 A 4,599,311 A 4,604,847 A 4,617,272 A 4,624,251 A 4,627,432 A 4,635,627 A 4,649,911 A 4,659,696 A 4,677,975 A 4,686,231 A 4,698,328 A 4,719,762 A 4,739,754 A	8/1985 8/1985 12/1985 5/1986 7/1986 8/1986 10/1986 11/1986 12/1986 1/1987 3/1987 4/1987 7/1987 8/1987 10/1987 1/1988 4/1988	Pestka et al. Kawamata et al. Nowacki et al. Fahy Forrester et al. Kawasaki Moulding, Jr. et al. Kirkwond et al. Miller Newell et al. Gam Knight et al. Hiral et al. Edgar et al. Bender et al. Neer et al. Osabe Shaner	5,192,548 5,200,399 5,201,308 5,204,108 5,206,200 5,230,884 5,253,468 5,254,330 5,260,306 5,284,133 5,290,765 5,295,479 5,302,581 5,309,900 5,320,914 5,320,714 5,331,953 5,349,947	A * A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A	3/1993 4/1993 4/1993 4/1993 7/1993 10/1993 10/1993 11/1993 2/1994 3/1994 4/1994 5/1994 6/1994 6/1994 9/1994 10/1994	Wettlaufer et al. Newhouse Illum Bush et al. Evans et al. Raymond Ganderton et al. Boardman et al. Burns et al. Wettlaufer et al. Lankinen Sarin et al. Knoch et al. Laube et al. Brendel Andersson et al. Newhouse
4,533,552 A 4,534,343 A 4,559,298 A 4,590,206 A 4,599,311 A 4,604,847 A 4,617,272 A 4,624,251 A 4,627,432 A 4,635,627 A 4,649,911 A 4,659,696 A 4,677,975 A 4,686,231 A 4,698,328 A 4,719,762 A 4,739,754 A 4,778,054 A	8/1985 8/1985 12/1985 5/1986 7/1986 8/1986 10/1986 11/1986 1/1987 3/1987 4/1987 7/1987 8/1987 10/1988 4/1988 10/1988	Pestka et al. Kawamata et al. Nowacki et al. Fahy Forrester et al. Kawasaki Moulding, Jr. et al. Kirkwond et al. Miller Newell et al. Gam Knight et al. Hiral et al. Edgar et al. Bender et al. Neer et al. Osabe Shaner Newell et al.	5,192,548 5,200,399 5,201,308 5,204,108 5,206,200 5,230,884 5,254,330 5,260,306 5,284,133 5,290,765 5,295,479 5,302,581 5,309,900 5,320,094 5,320,714 5,331,953 5,349,947 5,354,562 5,354,934	A * A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A	3/1993 4/1993 4/1993 4/1993 7/1993 10/1993 10/1993 11/1993 2/1994 3/1994 4/1994 6/1994 6/1994 6/1994 10/1994 10/1994	Wettlaufer et al. Newhouse Illum Bush et al. Evans et al. Raymond Ganderton et al. Boardman et al. Burns et al. Wettlaufer et al. Lankinen Sarin et al. Knoch et al. Laube et al. Brendel Andersson et al. Newhouse Platz et al. Pitt et al.
4,533,552 A 4,534,343 A 4,559,298 A 4,590,206 A 4,599,311 A 4,604,847 A 4,617,272 A 4,624,251 A 4,627,432 A 4,635,627 A 4,649,911 A 4,659,696 A 4,677,975 A 4,686,231 A 4,698,328 A 4,719,762 A 4,739,754 A 4,778,054 A 4,790,305 A	8/1985 8/1985 12/1985 5/1986 7/1986 8/1986 10/1986 11/1986 1/1987 3/1987 4/1987 7/1987 8/1987 10/1987 1/1988 4/1988 10/1988 12/1988 1/1989	Pestka et al. Kawamata et al. Nowacki et al. Fahy Forrester et al. Kawasaki Moulding, Jr. et al. Kirkwond et al. Miller Newell et al. Gam Knight et al. Hiral et al. Edgar et al. Bender et al. Neer et al. Osabe Shaner Newell et al. Zoltan et al.	5,192,548 5,200,399 5,201,308 5,206,200 5,230,884 5,253,468 5,254,330 5,260,306 5,284,133 5,290,765 5,295,479 5,302,581 5,309,900 5,320,094 5,320,714 5,331,953 5,349,947 5,354,947 5,354,934 5,356,635	A * A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A	3/1993 4/1993 4/1993 4/1993 7/1993 10/1993 11/1993 2/1994 3/1994 4/1994 5/1994 6/1994 6/1994 9/1994 10/1994 10/1994	Wettlaufer et al. Newhouse Illum Bush et al. Evans et al. Raymond Ganderton et al. Boardman et al. Burns et al. Wettlaufer et al. Lankinen Sarin et al. Knoch et al. Laube et al. Brendel Andersson et al. Newhouse Platz et al. Pitt et al. Raman et al.
4,533,552 A 4,534,343 A 4,559,298 A 4,590,206 A 4,599,311 A 4,604,847 A 4,617,272 A 4,624,251 A 4,624,251 A 4,635,627 A 4,649,911 A 4,659,696 A 4,677,975 A 4,686,231 A 4,698,328 A 4,719,762 A 4,739,754 A 4,779,754 A 4,779,0305 A 4,790,305 A 4,796,614 A 4,806,343 A	8/1985 8/1985 12/1985 5/1986 7/1986 8/1986 10/1986 11/1986 12/1986 1/1987 3/1987 4/1987 7/1987 8/1988 10/1988 10/1988 12/1988 1/1989 2/1989	Pestka et al. Kawamata et al. Nowacki et al. Fahy Forrester et al. Kawasaki Moulding, Jr. et al. Kirkwond et al. Miller Newell et al. Gam Knight et al. Hiral et al. Edgar et al. Bender et al. Neer et al. Osabe Shaner Newell et al. Zoltan et al. Zoltan et al. Nowacki et al. Carpenter et al.	5,192,548 5,200,399 5,201,308 5,206,200 5,230,884 5,253,468 5,254,330 5,260,306 5,284,133 5,290,765 5,295,479 5,302,581 5,309,900 5,320,714 5,331,953 5,349,947 5,354,947 5,354,944 5,356,635 5,363,842	A * A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A	3/1993 4/1993 4/1993 4/1993 7/1993 10/1993 11/1993 2/1994 3/1994 4/1994 5/1994 6/1994 6/1994 7/1994 10/1994 10/1994 11/1994	Wettlaufer et al. Newhouse Illum Bush et al. Evans et al. Raymond Ganderton et al. Boardman et al. Burns et al. Wettlaufer et al. Lankinen Sarin et al. Knoch et al. Laube et al. Brendel Andersson et al. Newhouse Platz et al. Pitt et al. Raman et al. Mischlevich et al.
4,533,552 A 4,534,343 A 4,559,298 A 4,590,206 A 4,599,311 A 4,604,847 A 4,617,272 A 4,624,251 A 4,635,627 A 4,649,911 A 4,659,696 A 4,677,975 A 4,686,231 A 4,698,328 A 4,719,762 A 4,739,754 A 4,778,054 A 4,779,305 A 4,790,305 A 4,796,614 A 4,806,343 A 4,806,343 A	8/1985 8/1985 12/1985 5/1986 7/1986 8/1986 10/1986 11/1986 12/1986 1/1987 4/1987 7/1987 8/1987 10/1987 1/1988 1/1988 1/1988 1/1988 1/1988 1/1989 2/1989	Pestka et al. Kawamata et al. Nowacki et al. Fahy Forrester et al. Kawasaki Moulding, Jr. et al. Kirkwond et al. Miller Newell et al. Gam Knight et al. Hiral et al. Edgar et al. Bender et al. Neer et al. Osabe Shaner Newell et al. Zoltan et al. Zoltan et al. Nowacki et al. Carpenter et al. Douche et al.	5,192,548 5,200,399 5,201,308 5,206,200 5,230,884 5,253,468 5,254,330 5,260,306 5,284,133 5,290,765 5,295,479 5,302,581 5,309,900 5,320,714 5,331,953 5,349,947 5,354,562 5,354,934 5,356,635 5,363,842 5,364,838	A * A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A	3/1993 4/1993 4/1993 4/1993 7/1993 10/1993 10/1993 2/1994 3/1994 4/1994 6/1994 6/1994 6/1994 10/1994 10/1994 10/1994 11/1994	Wettlaufer et al. Newhouse Illum Bush et al. Evans et al. Raymond Ganderton et al. Boardman et al. Burns et al. Wettlaufer et al. Lankinen Sarin et al. Knoch et al. Laube et al. Brendel Andersson et al. Newhouse Platz et al. Pitt et al. Raman et al. Mischlevich et al. Rubsamen
4,533,552 A 4,534,343 A 4,559,298 A 4,590,206 A 4,599,311 A 4,604,847 A 4,617,272 A 4,624,251 A 4,624,251 A 4,635,627 A 4,649,911 A 4,659,696 A 4,677,975 A 4,686,231 A 4,698,328 A 4,719,762 A 4,739,754 A 4,778,054 A 4,778,054 A 4,779,305 A 4,796,614 A 4,806,343 A 4,806,343 A 4,807,814 A 4,811,731 A	8/1985 8/1985 12/1985 5/1986 7/1986 8/1986 10/1986 11/1986 12/1986 11/1987 3/1987 4/1987 10/1987 1/1988 4/1988 10/1988 11/1988 1/1989 2/1989 3/1989	Pestka et al. Kawamata et al. Nowacki et al. Fahy Forrester et al. Kawasaki Moulding, Jr. et al. Kirkwond et al. Miller Newell et al. Gam Knight et al. Hiral et al. Edgar et al. Bender et al. Noeabe Shaner Newell et al. Zoltan et al. Nowacki et al. Carpenter et al. Douche et al. Newell et al.	5,192,548 5,200,399 5,201,308 5,206,200 5,230,884 5,253,468 5,254,330 5,260,306 5,284,133 5,290,765 5,295,479 5,302,581 5,309,900 5,320,714 5,331,953 5,349,947 5,354,562 5,354,934 5,356,635 5,363,842 5,364,838 5,376,359	A * A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A	3/1993 4/1993 4/1993 4/1993 7/1993 10/1993 10/1993 2/1994 3/1994 4/1994 6/1994 6/1994 6/1994 10/1994 10/1994 11/1994 11/1994 11/1994 12/1994	Wettlaufer et al. Newhouse Illum Bush et al. Evans et al. Raymond Ganderton et al. Boardman et al. Burns et al. Wettlaufer et al. Lankinen Sarin et al. Knoch et al. Laube et al. Brendel Andersson et al. Newhouse Platz et al. Pitt et al. Raman et al. Mischlevich et al. Rubsamen Johnson
4,533,552 A 4,534,343 A 4,559,298 A 4,590,206 A 4,599,311 A 4,604,847 A 4,617,272 A 4,624,251 A 4,624,251 A 4,635,627 A 4,649,911 A 4,659,696 A 4,677,975 A 4,686,231 A 4,698,328 A 4,719,762 A 4,739,754 A 4,778,054 A 4,778,054 A 4,790,305 A 4,790,305 A 4,790,305 A 4,790,305 A 4,796,614 A 4,806,343 A 4,807,814 A 4,811,731 A 4,819,629 A	8/1985 8/1985 12/1985 5/1986 7/1986 8/1986 10/1986 11/1986 12/1986 11/1987 4/1987 7/1987 8/1987 10/1987 1/1988 4/1988 12/1988 1/1988 1/1989 2/1989 3/1989 4/1989	Pestka et al. Kawamata et al. Nowacki et al. Fahy Forrester et al. Kawasaki Moulding, Jr. et al. Kirkwond et al. Miller Newell et al. Gam Knight et al. Hiral et al. Edgar et al. Bender et al. Neer et al. Osabe Shaner Newell et al. Zoltan et al. Nowacki et al. Carpenter et al. Douche et al. Newell et al.	5,192,548 5,200,399 5,201,308 5,206,200 5,230,884 5,253,468 5,254,330 5,260,306 5,284,133 5,290,765 5,295,479 5,302,581 5,309,900 5,320,714 5,331,953 5,349,947 5,354,562 5,354,934 5,356,635 5,363,842 5,364,838 5,376,359 5,376,386	A * A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A	3/1993 4/1993 4/1993 4/1993 7/1993 10/1993 11/1993 2/1994 3/1994 4/1994 6/1994 6/1994 7/1994 10/1994 10/1994 11/1994 11/1994 12/1994	Wettlaufer et al. Newhouse Illum Bush et al. Evans et al. Raymond Ganderton et al. Boardman et al. Burns et al. Wettlaufer et al. Lankinen Sarin et al. Knoch et al. Laube et al. Brendel Andersson et al. Newhouse Platz et al. Pitt et al. Raman et al. Mischlevich et al. Rubsamen Johnson Ganderton et al.
4,533,552 A 4,534,343 A 4,559,298 A 4,590,206 A 4,599,311 A 4,604,847 A 4,617,272 A 4,624,251 A 4,624,251 A 4,635,627 A 4,649,911 A 4,659,696 A 4,677,975 A 4,686,231 A 4,698,328 A 4,719,762 A 4,739,754 A 4,778,054 A 4,790,305 A 4,796,614 A 4,806,343 A 4,807,814 A 4,811,731 A 4,819,629 A 4,820,534 A	8/1985 8/1985 12/1985 5/1986 7/1986 8/1986 10/1986 11/1986 12/1986 1/1987 7/1987 4/1987 10/1987 1/1988 4/1988 10/1988 12/1988 1/1989 2/1989 2/1989 4/1989	Pestka et al. Kawamata et al. Nowacki et al. Fahy Forrester et al. Kawasaki Moulding, Jr. et al. Kirkwond et al. Miller Newell et al. Gam Knight et al. Hiral et al. Bender et al. Neer et al. Osabe Shaner Newell et al. Zoltan et al. Carpenter et al. Douche et al. Newell et al. Land Newell et al. Carpenter et al. Douche et al. Newell et al. Jhonson Saleeb et al.	5,192,548 5,200,399 5,201,308 5,206,200 5,230,884 5,253,468 5,254,330 5,260,306 5,284,133 5,290,765 5,295,479 5,302,581 5,309,900 5,320,714 5,331,953 5,349,947 5,354,562 5,354,934 5,356,635 5,363,842 5,364,838 5,376,359	A * A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A	3/1993 4/1993 4/1993 4/1993 7/1993 10/1993 11/1993 2/1994 3/1994 4/1994 6/1994 6/1994 0/1994 10/1994 10/1994 11/1994 11/1994 11/1994 12/1994 1/1995	Wettlaufer et al. Newhouse Illum Bush et al. Evans et al. Raymond Ganderton et al. Boardman et al. Burns et al. Wettlaufer et al. Lankinen Sarin et al. Knoch et al. Laube et al. Brendel Andersson et al. Newhouse Platz et al. Pitt et al. Raman et al. Mischlevich et al. Rubsamen Johnson Ganderton et al. Boyes et al.
4,533,552 A 4,534,343 A 4,559,298 A 4,590,206 A 4,599,311 A 4,604,847 A 4,617,272 A 4,624,251 A 4,627,432 A 4,635,627 A 4,649,911 A 4,659,696 A 4,677,975 A 4,686,231 A 4,698,328 A 4,719,762 A 4,739,754 A 4,790,305 A 4,796,614 A 4,806,343 A 4,807,814 A 4,807,814 A 4,811,731 A 4,819,629 A 4,820,534 A 4,823,784 A	8/1985 8/1985 12/1985 5/1986 7/1986 8/1986 10/1986 11/1986 11/1987 3/1987 4/1987 7/1987 8/1987 10/1987 1/1988 4/1988 12/1988 12/1988 1/1989 2/1989 2/1989 3/1989 4/1989	Pestka et al. Kawamata et al. Nowacki et al. Fahy Forrester et al. Kawasaki Moulding, Jr. et al. Kirkwond et al. Miller Newell et al. Gam Knight et al. Hiral et al. Edgar et al. Bender et al. Neer et al. Osabe Shaner Newell et al. Zoltan et al. Louche et al. Nowacki et al. Carpenter et al. Douche et al. Newell et al. Jhonson Saleeb et al. Bordoni et al. Bordoni et al.	5,192,548 5,200,399 5,201,308 5,206,200 5,230,884 5,253,468 5,254,330 5,260,306 5,284,133 5,290,765 5,295,479 5,302,581 5,309,900 5,320,714 5,331,953 5,349,947 5,354,562 5,354,934 5,356,635 5,363,842 5,364,838 5,376,359 5,376,386	A * A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A	3/1993 4/1993 4/1993 4/1993 7/1993 10/1993 11/1993 2/1994 3/1994 4/1994 6/1994 6/1994 0/1994 10/1994 10/1994 11/1994 11/1994 11/1994 12/1994 1/1995	Wettlaufer et al. Newhouse Illum Bush et al. Evans et al. Raymond Ganderton et al. Boardman et al. Burns et al. Wettlaufer et al. Lankinen Sarin et al. Knoch et al. Laube et al. Brendel Andersson et al. Newhouse Platz et al. Pitt et al. Raman et al. Mischlevich et al. Rubsamen Johnson Ganderton et al.
4,533,552 A 4,534,343 A 4,559,298 A 4,590,206 A 4,599,311 A 4,604,847 A 4,617,272 A 4,624,251 A 4,624,251 A 4,635,627 A 4,649,911 A 4,659,696 A 4,677,975 A 4,686,231 A 4,698,328 A 4,719,762 A 4,739,754 A 4,778,054 A 4,790,305 A 4,796,614 A 4,806,343 A 4,807,814 A 4,811,731 A 4,819,629 A 4,820,534 A	8/1985 8/1985 12/1985 5/1986 7/1986 8/1986 10/1986 11/1986 11/1987 3/1987 4/1987 7/1987 8/1987 10/1987 1/1988 4/1988 12/1988 12/1988 1/1989 2/1989 2/1989 3/1989 4/1989	Pestka et al. Kawamata et al. Nowacki et al. Fahy Forrester et al. Kawasaki Moulding, Jr. et al. Kirkwond et al. Miller Newell et al. Gam Knight et al. Hiral et al. Bender et al. Neer et al. Osabe Shaner Newell et al. Zoltan et al. Carpenter et al. Douche et al. Newell et al. Land Newell et al. Carpenter et al. Douche et al. Newell et al. Jhonson Saleeb et al.	5,192,548 5,200,399 5,201,308 5,206,200 5,230,884 5,253,468 5,254,330 5,260,306 5,284,133 5,290,765 5,295,479 5,302,581 5,309,900 5,320,714 5,331,953 5,349,947 5,354,562 5,354,934 5,356,635 5,363,842 5,364,838 5,376,359 5,376,386 5,384,133 5,394,866	A * A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A	3/1993 4/1993 4/1993 4/1993 7/1993 10/1993 11/1993 2/1994 3/1994 4/1994 6/1994 6/1994 0/1994 10/1994 10/1994 11/1994 11/1994 12/1994 12/1994 1/1995 3/1995	Wettlaufer et al. Newhouse Illum Bush et al. Evans et al. Raymond Ganderton et al. Boardman et al. Burns et al. Wettlaufer et al. Lankinen Sarin et al. Knoch et al. Laube et al. Brendel Andersson et al. Newhouse Platz et al. Pitt et al. Raman et al. Mischlevich et al. Rubsamen Johnson Ganderton et al. Boyes et al.
4,533,552 A 4,534,343 A 4,559,298 A 4,590,206 A 4,599,311 A 4,604,847 A 4,617,272 A 4,624,251 A 4,627,432 A 4,635,627 A 4,649,911 A 4,659,696 A 4,677,975 A 4,686,231 A 4,698,328 A 4,719,762 A 4,739,754 A 4,790,305 A 4,796,614 A 4,806,343 A 4,807,814 A 4,807,814 A 4,811,731 A 4,819,629 A 4,820,534 A 4,823,784 A	8/1985 8/1985 12/1985 5/1986 7/1986 8/1986 10/1986 11/1986 11/1987 3/1987 4/1987 7/1987 8/1987 10/1987 1/1988 4/1988 10/1988 12/1988 1/1989 2/1989 2/1989 4/1989 4/1989 4/1989	Pestka et al. Kawamata et al. Nowacki et al. Fahy Forrester et al. Kawasaki Moulding, Jr. et al. Kirkwond et al. Miller Newell et al. Gam Knight et al. Hiral et al. Edgar et al. Bender et al. Neer et al. Osabe Shaner Newell et al. Zoltan et al. Louche et al. Nowacki et al. Carpenter et al. Douche et al. Newell et al. Jhonson Saleeb et al. Bordoni et al. Bordoni et al.	5,192,548 5,200,399 5,201,308 5,204,108 5,206,200 5,230,884 5,253,468 5,254,330 5,260,306 5,284,133 5,290,765 5,295,479 5,302,581 5,309,900 5,320,094 5,320,714 5,331,953 5,349,947 5,354,562 5,354,934 5,356,635 5,363,842 5,364,838 5,376,359 5,376,386 5,384,133 5,394,866 5,404,871	A * A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A	3/1993 4/1993 4/1993 4/1993 7/1993 10/1993 11/1993 2/1994 3/1994 4/1994 5/1994 6/1994 6/1994 10/1994 10/1994 11/1994 11/1994 11/1994 11/1994 12/1994 1/1995 3/1995	Wettlaufer et al. Newhouse Illum Bush et al. Evans et al. Raymond Ganderton et al. Boardman et al. Burns et al. Wettlaufer et al. Lankinen Sarin et al. Knoch et al. Laube et al. Brendel Andersson et al. Newhouse Platz et al. Pitt et al. Raman et al. Mischlevich et al. Rubsamen Johnson Ganderton et al. Boyes et al. Ritson et al. Goodman et al.
4,533,552 A 4,534,343 A 4,559,298 A 4,590,206 A 4,599,311 A 4,604,847 A 4,617,272 A 4,624,251 A 4,627,432 A 4,635,627 A 4,649,911 A 4,659,696 A 4,677,975 A 4,686,231 A 4,698,328 A 4,719,762 A 4,739,754 A 4,739,754 A 4,78,054 A 4,790,305 A 4,796,614 A 4,806,343 A 4,807,814 A 4,819,629 A 4,820,534 A 4,821,534 A 4,823,784 A 4,824,938 A 4,830,858 A	8/1985 8/1985 12/1985 5/1986 7/1986 8/1986 10/1986 11/1986 12/1986 12/1987 4/1987 7/1987 8/1987 10/1987 1/1988 4/1988 10/1988 12/1988 1/1989 2/1989 2/1989 3/1989 4/1989 4/1989 4/1989 4/1989 4/1989 5/1989	Pestka et al. Kawamata et al. Nowacki et al. Fahy Forrester et al. Kawasaki Moulding, Jr. et al. Kirkwond et al. Miller Newell et al. Gam Knight et al. Hiral et al. Edgar et al. Bender et al. Neer et al. Osabe Shaner Newell et al. Zoltan et al. Loarpenter et al. Nowacki et al. Nowacki et al. Nowacki et al. Sabe et al. Nowacki et al. Carpenter et al. Douche et al. Nowacki et al. Nowacki et al. Koyama et al. Bordoni et al. Koyama et al.	5,192,548 5,200,399 5,201,308 5,204,108 5,206,200 5,230,884 5,253,468 5,254,330 5,260,306 5,284,133 5,290,765 5,295,479 5,302,581 5,309,900 5,320,094 5,320,714 5,331,953 5,349,947 5,354,562 5,354,934 5,356,635 5,363,842 5,364,838 5,376,359 5,376,386 5,384,133 5,394,866 5,404,871 5,419,315	A * A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A	3/1993 4/1993 4/1993 4/1993 7/1993 10/1993 10/1993 11/1994 3/1994 4/1994 5/1994 6/1994 6/1994 10/1994 10/1994 11/1994 11/1994 11/1994 11/1994 11/1995 3/1995 5/1995	Wettlaufer et al. Newhouse Illum Bush et al. Evans et al. Raymond Ganderton et al. Boardman et al. Boardman et al. Wettlaufer et al. Lankinen Sarin et al. Knoch et al. Laube et al. Brendel Andersson et al. Newhouse Platz et al. Pitt et al. Raman et al. Mischlevich et al. Rubsamen Johnson Ganderton et al. Boyes et al. Ritson et al. Goodman et al. Rubsamen et al.
4,533,552 A 4,534,343 A 4,559,298 A 4,590,206 A 4,599,311 A 4,604,847 A 4,617,272 A 4,624,251 A 4,627,432 A 4,635,627 A 4,649,911 A 4,659,696 A 4,677,975 A 4,686,231 A 4,698,328 A 4,719,762 A 4,739,754 A 4,739,754 A 4,78,054 A 4,790,305 A 4,796,614 A 4,806,343 A 4,807,814 A 4,811,731 A 4,811,731 A 4,811,731 A 4,819,629 A 4,820,534 A 4,820,534 A 4,823,784 A 4,823,784 A 4,823,784 A 4,823,784 A 4,823,784 A 4,823,784 A 4,833,858 A 4,833,858 A	8/1985 8/1985 12/1985 5/1986 7/1986 8/1986 10/1986 11/1986 12/1986 12/1987 4/1987 7/1987 8/1987 10/1987 1/1988 4/1988 10/1988 12/1988 1/1989 2/1989 2/1989 3/1989 4/1989 4/1989 4/1989 5/1989 5/1989 5/1989	Pestka et al. Kawamata et al. Nowacki et al. Fahy Forrester et al. Kawasaki Moulding, Jr. et al. Kirkwond et al. Miller Newell et al. Gam Knight et al. Hiral et al. Edgar et al. Bender et al. Osabe Shaner Newell et al. Zoltan et al. Louche et al. Nowacki et al. Newell et al. Sampenter et al. Nowacki et al. Larpenter et al. Nowacki et al. Louche et al. Newell et al. Louche et al. Newell et al. Jhonson Saleeb et al. Bordoni et al. Koyama et al. Payne et al. Neer et al.	5,192,548 5,200,399 5,201,308 5,204,108 5,206,200 5,230,884 5,253,468 5,254,330 5,260,306 5,284,133 5,290,765 5,295,479 5,302,581 5,309,900 5,320,094 5,320,714 5,331,953 5,349,947 5,354,562 5,354,934 5,356,635 5,363,842 5,364,838 5,376,386 5,376,386 5,376,386 5,384,133 5,394,866 5,404,871 5,419,315 5,447,151	A * A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A	3/1993 4/1993 4/1993 4/1993 7/1993 10/1993 10/1993 11/1993 2/1994 3/1994 4/1994 5/1994 6/1994 10/1994 10/1994 11/1994 11/1994 11/1994 11/1994 11/1995 3/1995 9/1995	Wettlaufer et al. Newhouse Illum Bush et al. Evans et al. Raymond Ganderton et al. Boardman et al. Boardman et al. Wettlaufer et al. Lankinen Sarin et al. Knoch et al. Laube et al. Brendel Andersson et al. Newhouse Platz et al. Pitt et al. Raman et al. Mischlevich et al. Rubsamen Johnson Ganderton et al. Boyes et al. Ritson et al. Rubsamen et al. Goodman et al. Rubsamen et al.
4,533,552 A 4,534,343 A 4,559,298 A 4,590,206 A 4,599,311 A 4,604,847 A 4,617,272 A 4,624,251 A 4,627,432 A 4,635,627 A 4,649,911 A 4,659,696 A 4,677,975 A 4,686,231 A 4,698,328 A 4,719,762 A 4,739,754 A 4,739,754 A 4,780,54 A 4,790,305 A 4,796,614 A 4,806,343 A 4,807,814 A 4,811,731 A 4,819,629 A 4,823,784 A 4,824,938 A 4,830,858 A 4,833,125 A 4,833,125 A 4,855,157 A	8/1985 8/1985 12/1985 5/1986 7/1986 8/1986 10/1986 11/1986 12/1986 12/1987 4/1987 7/1987 8/1987 10/1987 1/1988 4/1988 10/1988 12/1988 1/1989 2/1989 2/1989 4/1989 4/1989 4/1989 4/1989 5/1989 5/1989 8/1989	Pestka et al. Kawamata et al. Nowacki et al. Fahy Forrester et al. Kawasaki Moulding, Jr. et al. Kirkwond et al. Miller Newell et al. Gam Knight et al. Hiral et al. Edgar et al. Bender et al. Neer et al. Osabe Shaner Newell et al. Zoltan et al. Larpenter et al. Nowacki et al. Carpenter et al. Nowacki et al. Newell et al. Jhonson Saleeb et al. Bordoni et al. Koyama et al. Payne et al. Neer et al.	5,192,548 5,200,399 5,201,308 5,206,200 5,230,884 5,253,468 5,254,330 5,260,306 5,284,133 5,290,765 5,295,479 5,302,581 5,309,900 5,320,094 5,320,714 5,331,953 5,349,947 5,354,934 5,356,635 5,354,934 5,356,635 5,364,838 5,376,359 5,376,386 5,376,386 5,384,133 5,376,389 5,376,386 5,384,133 5,394,866 5,404,871 5,419,315 5,447,151 5,447,151 5,450,336	A * A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A	3/1993 4/1993 4/1993 4/1993 7/1993 10/1993 11/1993 2/1994 3/1994 4/1994 5/1994 6/1994 6/1994 7/1994 10/1994 10/1994 11/1994 12/1994 12/1994 12/1995 5/1995 9/1995	Wettlaufer et al. Newhouse Illum Bush et al. Evans et al. Raymond Ganderton et al. Boardman et al. Boardman et al. Wettlaufer et al. Lankinen Sarin et al. Knoch et al. Laube et al. Brendel Andersson et al. Newhouse Platz et al. Pitt et al. Raman et al. Mischlevich et al. Rubsamen Johnson Ganderton et al. Boyes et al. Ritson et al. Rubsamen et al. Rubsamen et al. Rubsamen et al. Roodman et al. Rubsamen et al. Rubsamen et al.
4,533,552 A 4,534,343 A 4,559,298 A 4,590,206 A 4,599,311 A 4,604,847 A 4,617,272 A 4,624,251 A 4,635,627 A 4,649,911 A 4,659,696 A 4,677,975 A 4,686,231 A 4,698,328 A 4,719,762 A 4,739,754 A 4,778,054 A 4,778,054 A 4,790,305 A 4,796,614 A 4,806,343 A 4,807,814 A 4,811,731 A 4,811,731 A 4,819,629 A 4,820,534 A 4,823,784 A 4,823,784 A 4,823,784 A 4,824,938 A 4,830,858 A 4,830,858 A 4,833,125 A 4,855,157 A 4,857,319 A	8/1985 8/1985 12/1985 5/1986 7/1986 8/1986 10/1986 11/1986 12/1986 12/1987 3/1987 4/1987 10/1987 1/1988 4/1988 10/1988 12/1988 12/1988 1/1989 2/1989 2/1989 4/1989 4/1989 4/1989 4/1989 5/1989 5/1989 8/1989	Pestka et al. Kawamata et al. Nowacki et al. Fahy Forrester et al. Kawasaki Moulding, Jr. et al. Kirkwond et al. Miller Newell et al. Gam Knight et al. Hiral et al. Edgar et al. Bender et al. Neer et al. Osabe Shaner Newell et al. Zoltan et al. Larpenter et al. Nowacki et al. Carpenter et al. Nowacki et al. Carpenter et al. Nowacki et al. Carpenter et al. Nowacki et al. Larpenter et al. Nowacki et al. Larpenter et al. Nowacki et al. Newell et al. Jhonson Saleeb et al. Bordoni et al. Koyama et al. Payne et al. Neer et al. Tashiro et al. Crowe et al.	5,192,548 5,200,399 5,201,308 5,206,200 5,230,884 5,253,468 5,254,330 5,260,306 5,284,133 5,290,765 5,295,479 5,302,581 5,309,900 5,320,014 5,320,714 5,331,953 5,349,947 5,356,635 5,364,838 5,376,389 5,376,	A * A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A	3/1993 4/1993 4/1993 4/1993 10/1993 10/1993 11/1993 2/1994 3/1994 4/1994 5/1994 6/1994 6/1994 10/1994 10/1994 11/1994 11/1994 12/1994 12/1994 12/1994 1/1995 3/1995 5/1995 9/1995 9/1995	Wettlaufer et al. Newhouse Illum Bush et al. Evans et al. Raymond Ganderton et al. Boardman et al. Burns et al. Wettlaufer et al. Lankinen Sarin et al. Knoch et al. Laube et al. Brendel Andersson et al. Newhouse Platz et al. Pitt et al. Raman et al. Rischlevich et al. Rubsamen Johnson Ganderton et al. Boyes et al. Ritson et al. Ritson et al. Ritson et al. Roodman et al. Rubsamen et al. Bruna et al. Rubsamen et al. Bruna et al. Rubsamen et al. Rubsamen et al. Rubsamen et al. Rubsamen et al.
4,533,552 A 4,534,343 A 4,559,298 A 4,590,206 A 4,599,311 A 4,604,847 A 4,617,272 A 4,624,251 A 4,635,627 A 4,649,911 A 4,659,696 A 4,677,975 A 4,686,231 A 4,698,328 A 4,719,762 A 4,739,754 A 4,790,305 A 4,796,614 A 4,806,343 A 4,807,814 A 4,811,731 A 4,811,731 A 4,819,629 A 4,823,784 A 4,824,938 A 4,833,125 A 4,833,125 A 4,855,157 A 4,857,319 A 4,876,241 A	8/1985 8/1985 12/1985 5/1986 7/1986 8/1986 10/1986 11/1986 12/1986 12/1987 4/1987 7/1987 8/1987 10/1988 10/1988 12/1988 12/1989 2/1989 2/1989 4/1989 4/1989 4/1989 4/1989 4/1989 5/1989 8/1989 8/1989	Pestka et al. Kawamata et al. Nowacki et al. Fahy Forrester et al. Kawasaki Moulding, Jr. et al. Kirkwond et al. Miller Newell et al. Gam Knight et al. Hiral et al. Edgar et al. Bender et al. Neer et al. Osabe Shaner Newell et al. Zoltan et al. Larpenter et al. Nowacki et al. Carpenter et al. Nowacki et al. Bondon et al. Newell et al. Jhonson Saleeb et al. Bordoni et al. Royama et al. Payne et al. Neer et al. Tashiro et al. Crowe et al. Feldman et al.	5,192,548 5,200,399 5,201,308 5,206,200 5,230,884 5,253,468 5,254,330 5,260,306 5,284,133 5,290,765 5,295,479 5,302,581 5,309,900 5,320,914 5,320,714 5,331,953 5,349,947 5,354,562 5,354,934 5,356,635 5,363,842 5,364,838 5,376,359 5,376,386 5,384,133 5,394,866 5,404,871 5,419,315 5,447,151 5,450,336 5,458,135 5,458,135 5,452,927	A * A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A	3/1993 4/1993 4/1993 4/1993 7/1993 10/1993 10/1993 2/1994 3/1994 4/1994 5/1994 6/1994 6/1994 10/1994 10/1994 11/1994 11/1994 12/1994 12/1994 12/1994 12/1994 12/1995 3/1995 5/1995 9/1995 1/1995 1/1996	Wettlaufer et al. Newhouse Illum Bush et al. Evans et al. Raymond Ganderton et al. Boardman et al. Burns et al. Wettlaufer et al. Lankinen Sarin et al. Knoch et al. Laube et al. Brendel Andersson et al. Newhouse Platz et al. Pitt et al. Raman et al. Mischlevich et al. Rubsamen Johnson Ganderton et al. Boyes et al. Ritson et al. Rubsamen et al. Rubsamen et al. Bruna et al. Rubsamen et al. Patton et al.
4,533,552 A 4,534,343 A 4,559,298 A 4,590,206 A 4,599,311 A 4,604,847 A 4,617,272 A 4,624,251 A 4,635,627 A 4,649,911 A 4,659,696 A 4,677,975 A 4,686,231 A 4,698,328 A 4,719,762 A 4,739,754 A 4,778,054 A 4,778,054 A 4,790,305 A 4,796,614 A 4,806,343 A 4,807,814 A 4,811,731 A 4,811,731 A 4,819,629 A 4,820,534 A 4,823,784 A 4,823,784 A 4,823,784 A 4,824,938 A 4,830,858 A 4,830,858 A 4,833,125 A 4,855,157 A 4,857,319 A	8/1985 8/1985 12/1985 5/1986 7/1986 8/1986 10/1986 11/1986 12/1986 12/1987 7/1987 4/1987 7/1987 10/1988 10/1988 12/1988 12/1988 12/1989 2/1989 2/1989 4/1989 4/1989 4/1989 4/1989 4/1989 4/1989 5/1989 8/1989 8/1989 10/1989	Pestka et al. Kawamata et al. Nowacki et al. Fahy Forrester et al. Kawasaki Moulding, Jr. et al. Kirkwond et al. Miller Newell et al. Gam Knight et al. Hiral et al. Edgar et al. Bender et al. Neer et al. Osabe Shaner Newell et al. Zoltan et al. Louche et al. Nowacki et al. Larpenter et al. Nowacki et al. Sament et al. Nowacki et al. Carpenter et al. Nowacki et al. Larpenter et al. Nowacki et al. Louche et al. Newell et al. Jhonson Saleeb et al. Bordoni et al. Royama et al. Payne et al. Neer et al. Tashiro et al. Crowe et al. Feldman et al. Drews et al.	5,192,548 5,200,399 5,201,308 5,206,200 5,230,884 5,253,468 5,254,330 5,260,306 5,284,133 5,290,765 5,295,479 5,302,581 5,309,900 5,320,014 5,320,714 5,331,953 5,349,947 5,356,635 5,364,838 5,376,389 5,376,	A * A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A	3/1993 4/1993 4/1993 4/1993 7/1993 10/1993 10/1993 2/1994 3/1994 4/1994 5/1994 6/1994 6/1994 10/1994 10/1994 11/1994 11/1994 12/1994 12/1994 12/1994 12/1994 12/1995 3/1995 5/1995 9/1995 1/1995 1/1996	Wettlaufer et al. Newhouse Illum Bush et al. Evans et al. Raymond Ganderton et al. Boardman et al. Burns et al. Wettlaufer et al. Lankinen Sarin et al. Knoch et al. Laube et al. Brendel Andersson et al. Newhouse Platz et al. Pitt et al. Raman et al. Rischlevich et al. Rubsamen Johnson Ganderton et al. Boyes et al. Ritson et al. Ritson et al. Ritson et al. Roodman et al. Rubsamen et al. Bruna et al. Rubsamen et al. Bruna et al. Rubsamen et al. Rubsamen et al. Rubsamen et al. Rubsamen et al.
4,533,552 A 4,534,343 A 4,559,298 A 4,590,206 A 4,599,311 A 4,604,847 A 4,617,272 A 4,624,251 A 4,635,627 A 4,649,911 A 4,659,696 A 4,677,975 A 4,686,231 A 4,698,328 A 4,719,762 A 4,739,754 A 4,790,305 A 4,796,614 A 4,806,343 A 4,807,814 A 4,811,731 A 4,811,731 A 4,819,629 A 4,823,784 A 4,824,938 A 4,833,125 A 4,833,125 A 4,855,157 A 4,857,319 A 4,876,241 A	8/1985 8/1985 12/1985 5/1986 7/1986 8/1986 10/1986 11/1986 12/1986 12/1986 12/1987 7/1987 8/1987 10/1987 10/1988 10/1988 12/1988 12/1989 2/1989 2/1989 4/1989 4/1989 4/1989 4/1989 4/1989 4/1989 5/1989 8/1989 8/1989 10/1989	Pestka et al. Kawamata et al. Nowacki et al. Fahy Forrester et al. Kawasaki Moulding, Jr. et al. Kirkwond et al. Miller Newell et al. Gam Knight et al. Hiral et al. Edgar et al. Bender et al. Neer et al. Osabe Shaner Newell et al. Zoltan et al. Larpenter et al. Nowacki et al. Carpenter et al. Nowacki et al. Bondon et al. Newell et al. Jhonson Saleeb et al. Bordoni et al. Royama et al. Payne et al. Neer et al. Tashiro et al. Crowe et al. Feldman et al.	5,192,548 5,200,399 5,201,308 5,206,200 5,230,884 5,253,468 5,254,330 5,260,306 5,284,133 5,290,765 5,295,479 5,302,581 5,309,900 5,320,914 5,320,714 5,331,953 5,349,947 5,354,562 5,354,934 5,356,635 5,363,842 5,364,838 5,376,359 5,376,386 5,384,133 5,394,866 5,404,871 5,419,315 5,447,151 5,450,336 5,458,135 5,458,135 5,452,927	A * A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A	3/1993 4/1993 4/1993 4/1993 7/1993 10/1993 10/1993 2/1994 3/1994 4/1994 6/1994 6/1994 6/1994 10/1994 10/1994 11/1994 11/1994 12/1994 12/1994 12/1994 12/1995 3/1995 5/1995 5/1995 9/1995 10/1996 3/1996	Wettlaufer et al. Newhouse Illum Bush et al. Evans et al. Raymond Ganderton et al. Boardman et al. Burns et al. Wettlaufer et al. Lankinen Sarin et al. Knoch et al. Laube et al. Brendel Andersson et al. Newhouse Platz et al. Pitt et al. Raman et al. Mischlevich et al. Rubsamen Johnson Ganderton et al. Boyes et al. Ritson et al. Rubsamen et al. Rubsamen et al. Bruna et al. Rubsamen et al. Patton et al.
4,533,552 A 4,534,343 A 4,559,298 A 4,590,206 A 4,599,311 A 4,604,847 A 4,617,272 A 4,624,251 A 4,624,251 A 4,635,627 A 4,649,911 A 4,659,696 A 4,677,975 A 4,686,231 A 4,698,328 A 4,719,762 A 4,739,754 A 4,790,305 A 4,796,614 A 4,806,343 A 4,807,814 A 4,811,731 A 4,811,731 A 4,819,629 A 4,823,784 A 4,824,938 A 4,824,938 A 4,833,125 A 4,833,125 A 4,855,157 A 4,857,319 A 4,877,989 A 4,877,989 A 4,877,989 A 4,884,565 A	8/1985 8/1985 12/1985 5/1986 7/1986 8/1986 10/1986 11/1987 3/1987 4/1987 7/1987 8/1987 10/1988 10/1988 12/1988 12/1989 2/1989 2/1989 4/1989 4/1989 4/1989 4/1989 4/1989 5/1989 8/1989 10/1989 10/1989 10/1989	Pestka et al. Kawamata et al. Nowacki et al. Fahy Forrester et al. Kawasaki Moulding, Jr. et al. Kirkwond et al. Miller Newell et al. Gam Knight et al. Hiral et al. Edgar et al. Bender et al. Osabe Shaner Newell et al. Zoltan et al. Louche et al. Nowacki et al. Louche et al. Nowacki et al. Bordoni et al. Koyama et al. Bordoni et al. Koyama et al. Payne et al. Tashiro et al. Crowe et al. Feldman et al. Drews et al. Crocozza	5,192,548 5,200,399 5,201,308 5,206,200 5,230,884 5,253,468 5,254,330 5,260,306 5,284,133 5,290,765 5,295,479 5,302,581 5,309,900 5,320,714 5,331,953 5,349,947 5,354,562 5,354,934 5,364,838 5,376,359 5,376,359 5,376,359 5,376,386 5,384,133 5,394,866 5,404,871 5,419,315 5,447,151 5,450,336 5,458,135 5,452,927 5,497,944 5,506,203	A	3/1993 4/1993 4/1993 4/1993 7/1993 10/1993 11/1993 3/1994 3/1994 4/1994 5/1994 6/1994 6/1994 10/1994 10/1994 11/1994 11/1994 12/1994 12/1994 12/1994 12/1995 3/1995 5/1995 9/1995 10/1995 1/1996 4/1996	Wettlaufer et al. Newhouse Illum Bush et al. Evans et al. Raymond Ganderton et al. Boardman et al. Burns et al. Wettlaufer et al. Lankinen Sarin et al. Knoch et al. Laube et al. Brendel Andersson et al. Newhouse Platz et al. Pitt et al. Raman et al. Mischlevich et al. Rubsamen Johnson Ganderton et al. Boyes et al. Ritson et al. Rubsamen et al. Bruna et al. Rubsamen et al. Bruna et al. Bruna et al. Rubsamen et al. Bruna et al. Patton et al. Patton et al. Bruna et al. Maniar et al. Maniar et al. Weston et al. Backstrom et al. Backstrom et al.
4,533,552 A 4,534,343 A 4,559,298 A 4,590,206 A 4,599,311 A 4,604,847 A 4,617,272 A 4,624,251 A 4,635,627 A 4,649,911 A 4,659,696 A 4,677,975 A 4,686,231 A 4,698,328 A 4,719,762 A 4,739,754 A 4,790,305 A 4,796,614 A 4,806,343 A 4,807,814 A 4,811,731 A 4,811,731 A 4,819,629 A 4,823,784 A 4,823,784 A 4,824,938 A 4,830,858 A 4,833,125 A 4,830,858 A 4,830,858 A 4,833,125 A 4,855,157 A 4,857,319 A 4,877,989 A 4,877,989 A 4,884,565 A 4,884,565 A 4,889,114 A	8/1985 8/1985 12/1985 5/1986 7/1986 8/1986 10/1986 11/1987 3/1987 4/1987 7/1987 8/1987 10/1988 10/1988 10/1988 12/1989 2/1989 2/1989 4/1989 4/1989 4/1989 4/1989 5/1989 5/1989 8/1989 10/1989 10/1989 10/1989 10/1989	Pestka et al. Kawamata et al. Nowacki et al. Fahy Forrester et al. Kawasaki Moulding, Jr. et al. Kirkwond et al. Miller Newell et al. Gam Knight et al. Hiral et al. Edgar et al. Bender et al. Neer et al. Osabe Shaner Newell et al. Zoltan et al. Louche et al. Nowacki et al. Larpenter et al. Nowacki et al. Samber et al. Nowacki et al. Carpenter et al. Nowacki et al. Louche et al. Newell et al. Jhonson Saleeb et al. Bordoni et al. Koyama et al. Payne et al. Tashiro et al. Crowe et al. Feldman et al. Drews et al. Cocozza Kladders	5,192,548 5,200,399 5,201,308 5,206,200 5,230,884 5,253,468 5,254,330 5,260,306 5,284,133 5,290,765 5,295,479 5,302,581 5,309,900 5,320,014 5,331,953 5,349,947 5,354,562 5,354,934 5,366,35 5,364,838 5,376,359 5,376,359 5,376,386 5,384,133 5,394,866 5,404,871 5,419,315 5,447,151 5,450,336 5,458,135 5,458,135 5,458,135 5,458,135 5,458,135 5,458,135 5,459,940	A	3/1993 4/1993 4/1993 4/1993 7/1993 10/1993 11/1993 3/1994 3/1994 6/1994 6/1994 6/1994 10/1994 10/1994 11/1994 11/1994 12/1994 12/1994 12/1994 12/1994 12/1995 3/1995 5/1995 9/1995 9/1995 1/1996 4/1996 4/1996	Wettlaufer et al. Newhouse Illum Bush et al. Evans et al. Raymond Ganderton et al. Boardman et al. Burns et al. Wettlaufer et al. Lankinen Sarin et al. Knoch et al. Laube et al. Brendel Andersson et al. Newhouse Platz et al. Pitt et al. Raman et al. Mischlevich et al. Rubsamen Johnson Ganderton et al. Boyes et al. Ritson et al. Rubsamen et al. Rubsamen et al. Bruna et al. Bruna et al. Bruna et al. Patton et al. Patton et al. Patton et al. Backstrom et al. Backstrom et al. Backstrom et al.
4,533,552 A 4,534,343 A 4,559,298 A 4,590,206 A 4,599,311 A 4,604,847 A 4,617,272 A 4,624,251 A 4,635,627 A 4,649,911 A 4,659,696 A 4,677,975 A 4,686,231 A 4,698,328 A 4,719,762 A 4,739,754 A 4,790,305 A 4,796,614 A 4,806,343 A 4,807,814 A 4,811,731 A 4,811,731 A 4,819,629 A 4,823,784 A 4,824,938 A 4,824,938 A 4,833,125 A 4,833,125 A 4,855,157 A 4,857,319 A 4,877,989 A 4,877,989 A 4,884,565 A	8/1985 8/1985 12/1985 5/1986 7/1986 8/1986 10/1986 11/1987 3/1987 4/1987 7/1987 8/1987 10/1988 10/1988 12/1988 12/1989 2/1989 2/1989 4/1989 4/1989 4/1989 4/1989 4/1989 5/1989 8/1989 10/1989 10/1989 10/1989	Pestka et al. Kawamata et al. Nowacki et al. Fahy Forrester et al. Kawasaki Moulding, Jr. et al. Kirkwond et al. Miller Newell et al. Gam Knight et al. Hiral et al. Edgar et al. Bender et al. Neer et al. Osabe Shaner Newell et al. Zoltan et al. Louche et al. Nowacki et al. Larpenter et al. Nowacki et al. Samber et al. Nowacki et al. Carpenter et al. Nowacki et al. Louche et al. Newell et al. Jhonson Saleeb et al. Bordoni et al. Koyama et al. Payne et al. Tashiro et al. Crowe et al. Feldman et al. Drews et al. Cocozza Kladders	5,192,548 5,200,399 5,201,308 5,206,200 5,230,884 5,253,468 5,254,330 5,260,306 5,284,133 5,290,765 5,295,479 5,302,581 5,309,900 5,320,714 5,331,953 5,349,947 5,354,562 5,354,934 5,364,838 5,376,359 5,376,359 5,376,359 5,376,386 5,384,133 5,394,866 5,404,871 5,419,315 5,447,151 5,450,336 5,458,135 5,452,927 5,497,944 5,506,203	A	3/1993 4/1993 4/1993 4/1993 7/1993 10/1993 11/1993 3/1994 3/1994 6/1994 6/1994 6/1994 10/1994 10/1994 11/1994 11/1994 12/1994 12/1994 12/1994 12/1994 12/1995 3/1995 5/1995 9/1995 9/1995 1/1996 4/1996 4/1996	Wettlaufer et al. Newhouse Illum Bush et al. Evans et al. Raymond Ganderton et al. Boardman et al. Burns et al. Wettlaufer et al. Lankinen Sarin et al. Knoch et al. Laube et al. Brendel Andersson et al. Newhouse Platz et al. Pitt et al. Raman et al. Mischlevich et al. Rubsamen Johnson Ganderton et al. Boyes et al. Ritson et al. Rubsamen et al. Bruna et al. Rubsamen et al. Bruna et al. Bruna et al. Rubsamen et al. Bruna et al. Patton et al. Patton et al. Bruna et al. Maniar et al. Maniar et al. Weston et al. Backstrom et al. Backstrom et al.

	5,522,385 A 6	/1996	Lloyd et al.	Newman, S.P., Deposition and Effects of Inhalation Aerosols, Dis-
	5,558,085 A 9	/1996	Rubsamen et al.	sertation (1983).
	5,619,984 A 4	/1997	Hodson et al.	Laube, B.L. et al., JAMA, vol. 269 (16), pp. 2106-2109 (1993).
	5,643,868 A 7	/1997	Weiner et al.	Newman, S.P. et al., Respir Dis., vol. 62, pp. 3-21 (1981).
	5,666,948 A 9	/1997	Matson	Moses, A.C. et al., Diabetes, vol. 32, pp. 1040-1047 (1983).
	5,672,581 A 9	/1997	Rubsamen et al.	Newman, S.P. et al., Thorax, vol. 36, pp. 52-55 (1981).
	5,743,250 A 4	/1998	Gonda et al.	Köhler, Dieter, Lung, (Suppl.), pp. 677-684 (1990).
	5,755,221 A 5	/1998	Bisgaard	Laube, B.L. et al., J Aerosol Med, vol. 4 (3), p. 286 (1991).
	5,763,396 A 6	/1998	Weiner et al.	Colthorpe, P. et al., Pharma Research, vol. 9 (6), pp. 764-768 (1992).
	5,843,886 A 12	/1998	Weiner et al.	Elliot, R.B. et al., Aust Paediatr J., vol. 23, pp. 293-297 (1987).
	5,873,358 A 2	/1999	Gonda et al.	Wigley, F.M. et al., Diabetes, No. 8, pp. 552-556 (1971).
	5,884,620 A 3	/1999	Gonda et al.	Yoshida, H. et al., J Pharma Sci, vol. 68 (5), pp. 670-671 (1979).
	5,888,477 A 3	/1999	Gonda et al.	Salzman, R. et al., New Eng J of Med., vol. 312 (17), pp. 1078-1084
	5,915,378 A 6	/1999	Lloyd et al.	(1985).
	5,934,272 A 8	/1999	Lloyd et al.	Newman, S.P. et al., Am Rev Respir Dis., vol. 124, pp. 317-320
	5,941,240 A 8	/1999	Gonda et al.	(1981).
	5,954,049 A 9	/1999	Foley et al.	Moses, A.C. et al., Diabetes, vol. 32, pp. 1040-1047 (1983).
	5,970,973 A 10	/1999	Gonda et al.	Hubbard, R.C. et al., Proc. Natl Acad Sci USA, vol. 86, pp. 680-684
	5,997,848 A 12	/1999	Patton et al.	(1989).
	6,012,450 A 1	/2000	Rubsamen	Laube, B.L. et al., J Aerosol Med, vol. 11 (3), pp. 153-173 (1998).
	6,012,454 A 1	/2000	Hadson et al.	Laube, B.L., et al., J Aerosol Med, vol. 9 (Sppl. 1), pp. S-77-S-91
	6,019,968 A 2	/2000	Platz et al.	(1996).
	6,024,090 A 2	/2000	Gonda et al.	White, S. et al., Diabetes Tech & Therap., vol. 7 (6), pp. 896-906
	6,051,256 A 4	/2000	Platz et al.	(2005).
	6,085,753 A 7	/2000	Gonda et al.	Skyler, J.S. et al., The Lancet, vol. 357 (9253), pp. 331-335 (2001).
	6,098,615 A 8	/2000	Lloyd et al.	Laube, B.L. et al., Chest, vol. 120 (3), pp. 99S-106S (2001).
	6,099,517 A 8	/2000	Daugherty	Brain, J.D., Diabetes, Obesity and Metab., vol. 7 (Sppl. 1), pp. S14-
	6,123,068 A 9	/2000	Lloyd et al.	S18 (2005).
	6,131,567 A 10	/2000	Gonda et al.	Himmelmann, A. et al., DiabetesCare, vol. 26, pp. 677-682 (2003).
	6,167,880 B1 1	/2001	Gonda et al.	Patton, J.S. et al., Proc Am Thorac Soc., vol. 1, pp. 338-344 (2004).
	6,192,882 B1 2	/2001	Gonda	Klonoff, D.C., Diabetes Tech & Therap., vol. 1 (3), pp. 307-313
		/2001	Gonda et al.	(1999).
	· · ·	/2001	Schuster et al.	Byron, P.R., Proc Am Thorac Soc., vol. 1, pp. 321-328 (2004).
		/2002	Daugherty	Cefalu, W., DiabetesCare, vol. 27, pp. 239-246 (2004).
			Gonda et al.	Lombry, C. et al., Am J Physiol Lung Cell Mol Physiol., vol. 286, pp.
	· · ·		Gonda et al.	L1002-L1008 (2004).
	· · · · ·		Gonda et al.	Patton, J.S., Chemtech, vol. 27 (12), pp. 34-38 (1997).
	/ /		Gonda et al.	Mandal, T.K., Am J Health-Syst Pharm., vol. 62 (13), pp. 1359-1364
			Gonda et al.	(2005).
			Gonda et al.	Patton, J.S. et al., Aerosol Insulin—A Brief Review, pp. 65-74
	, ,		Patton et al.	(1994).
	7,021,309 B2 4	/2006	Gonda et al.	Brain, J.D. et al., Bronchial Asthma: Mechanisms and Therap. 2 nd
	, ,		Gonda et al.	Ed., pp. 594-603 (1985).
	, ,			Brain, J.D. et al., Provocative Challenge Procedures, pp. 1-36 (1989).
	FOREIGN	PATE	NT DOCUMENTS	Exubera Medication Guide.
	TORESTON		TO DOCUMENTS	Laube, B.L. et al., JAMA, vol. 269 (16), pp. 2106-2109 (1993).
EP	023223	5	8/1987	Patton, J.S., Application for United States Letters Patent Entitled
GB	210439	3	3/1983	Inhalation Device.
GB	215308	1	8/1985	Newman, S.P. et al., Thorax, vol. 39, pp. 935-941 (1984).
GB	2 25591	8	11/1992	Spiro, S.G. et al., Thorax, vol. 39, pp. 432-435 (1984).
GB	225680	5	12/1992	U.S. Appl. No. 08/011,28, no date.
WO	90/0735	1	1/1990	Remington's Pharmaceutical Sciences, A.R. Gennaro ed., 1985,
WO	90/1281	4	11/1990	Mack Publ.
WO	91/1446	8	10/1991	Sciarro et al., 1976 In Vitro Release of Therapeutically Active Ingre-
WO	92/0759	9	10/1991	dients from Polymer Matrixes, Journal of Pharmaceutical Sciences;
WO	91/0186	8	5/1992	65(4): 1519-1522.
WO	92/0932	2	6/1992	Exubera Medication Guide, no date.
WO	93/0095		7/1992	Patton, J.S., Application for United States Letters Patent Entitled
WO	92/0181		9/1992	Inhalation Device, no date.
WO	92/1535		9/1992	Oral Hearing Transcript Novo Nordisk vs Pfizer Inc. Dec. 4, 2006.
wo	93/1282		12/1992	Declaration of Ronald G. Crystal M.D., no date.
WO	93/1772		9/1993	Declaration of Igor Gonda, no date.
wU	93/1//2	o	J/1773	Reply Declaration of Ronald G. Crystal, no date.
				Declaration of Joseph D. Brain, no date.
	OTHE	R PU	BLICATIONS	Memorandum and Order Novo Nordisk A/S vs. Pfizer Inc dated Dec.
D. I. C. CD. IM D. I. M. D. I. C. 1001				14, 2006.
Declaration of Reid M. Rubsamen, MD., Jan. 26, 1994.				4. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1.

^{*} cited by examiner

Declaration of Reid M. Rubsamen, MD., Jan. 26, 1994. Declaration of Reid M. Rubsamen, MD., Jun. 6, 1994. Nov. 11, 2008












FIG. 3
















FIG. 8

Nov. 11, 2008









Nov. 11, 2008




FIG. 13

METHOD OF TREATING DIABETES MELLITUS IN A PATIENT

This is a continuation of application Ser. No. 09/848,774, filed May 3, 2001, which is a continuation of application Ser. 5 No. 09/686,212, filed Oct. 10, 2000 now abandoned which is continuation of application Ser. No. 09/378,638, filed Aug. 20, 1999, issued as U.S. Pat. No. 6,167,880 on Jan. 2, 2001 which is a divisional of application Ser. No. 09/160,909, filed Sep. 25, 1998 and issued as U.S. Pat. No. 5,941,240 on Aug. 10 24, 1999, which is a continuation of application Ser. No. 08/846,243 filed Apr. 25, 1997 and issued as U.S. Pat. No. 5,884,620 on Mar. 23, 1999, which is a continuation-in-part of application Ser. No. 08/754,423 filed Nov. 22, 1996 and issued as U.S. Pat. No. 5,743,250 on Apr. 28, 1998, which is 15 a continuation-in-part of application Ser. No. 08/549,343, filed on Oct. 27, 1995 and issued as U.S. Pat. No. 5,915,378 on Jun. 29, 1999, which is a continuation-in-part of application Ser. No. 08/331,056, filed on Oct. 28, 1994 and issued as U.S. Pat. No. 5,672,581 on Sep. 30, 1997, which is a continuation-in-part of application Ser. No. 08/011,281, filed on Jan. 29, 1993 and issued as U.S. Pat. No. 5,364,838 on Nov. 15, 1994, each of which is incorporated herein by reference and to which is claimed priority under 35 USC § 120.

FIELD OF THE INVENTION

This invention relates generally to a method of, aerosolized drug delivery. More specifically, this invention relates to controlling total inhaled volume to control the dosage of intrapulmonary delivery of insulin alone or in combination with other treatment methodologies which are combined to significantly reduce or eliminate the need for administering insulin by injection.

BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION

Diabetes Mellitus is a disease affecting approximately 7.5 million people in the United States. The underlying cause of this disease is diminished or absent insulin production by the 40 Islets of Langerhans in the pancreas. Of the 7.5 million diagnosed diabetics in the United States, approximately one-third are treated using insulin replacement therapy. Those patients receiving insulin typically self-administer one or more doses of the drug per day by subcutaneous injection. Insulin is a 45 polypeptide with a nominal molecular weight of 6,000 Daltons. Insulin has traditionally been produced by processing pig and cow pancreas to allow isolation of the natural product. More recently, recombinant technology has made it possible to produce human insulin in vitro. It is the currently common practice in the United States to institute the use of recombinant human insulin in all of those patients beginning insulin therapy.

It is known that most proteins are rapidly degraded in the acidic environment of the GI tract. Since insulin is a protein 55 which is readily degraded in the GI tract, those in need of the administration of insulin administer the drug by subcutaneous injection (SC). No satisfactory method of orally administering insulin has been developed. The lack of such an oral delivery formulation for insulin creates a problem in that the administration of drugs by injection can be both psychologically and physically painful.

In an effort to provide for a non-invasive means for administering insulin, and thereby eliminate the need for hypodermic syringes, aerosolized insulin formulations have been 65 theorized. Aerosolized insulin formulations have been shown to produce insulin blood levels in man when these aerosols

2

are introduced onto nasal or pulmonary membrane. Moses et al. [Diabetes, Vol. 32, November 1983] demonstrated that a hypoglycemic response could be produced following nasal administration of 0.5 units/kg. Significant inter-subject variability was noted, and the nasal insulin formulation included unconjugated bile salts to promote nasal membrane penetration of the drug. Salzman et al. [New England Journal of Medicine, Vol. 312, No. 17] demonstrated that an intranasal aerosolized insulin formulation containing a non-ionic detergent membrane penetration enhancer was effective in producing a hypoglycemic response in diabetic volunteers. Their work demonstrated that nasal irritation was present in varying degrees among the patients studied. In that diabetes is a chronic disease which must be continuously treated by the administration of insulin and in that mucosal irritation tends to increase with repeated exposures to the membrane penetration enhancers, efforts at developing a non-invasive means of administering insulin via nasal administration have not been commercialized.

In 1971, Wigley et al. [Diabetes, Vol 20, No. 8] demonstrated that a hypoglycemic response could be observed in patients inhaling an aqueous formulation of insulin into the lung. Radio-immuno assay techniques demonstrated that approximately 10 percent of the inhaled insulin was recov-25 ered in the blood of the subjects. Because the surface area of membranes available to absorb insulin is much greater in the lung than in the nose, no membrane penetration enhancers are required for delivery of insulin to the lungs by inhalation. The inefficiency of delivery seen by Wigley was greatly improved in 1979 by Yoshida et al. [Journal of Pharmaceutical Sciences, Vol. 68, No. 5] who showed that almost 40 percent of insulin delivered directly into the trachea of rabbits was absorbed into the bloodstream via the respiratory tract. Both Wigley and Yoshida showed that insulin delivered by inhalation could 35 be seen in the bloodstream for two or more hours following

Aerosolized insulin therefore can be effectively given if the aerosol is appropriately delivered into the lung. In a review article, Dieter Kohler [Lung, supplement pp. 677-684] remarked in 1990 that multiple studies have shown that aerosolized insulin can be delivered into and absorbed from the lung with an expected absorption half-life of 15-25 minutes. However, he comments that "the poor reproducibility of the inhaled dose [of insulin] was always the reason for terminating these experiments." This is an important point in that the lack of precise reproducibility with respect to the administration of insulin is critical. The problems associated with the inefficient administration of insulin cannot be compensated for by administering excess amounts of the drug in that the accidental administration of too much insulin could be fatal.

Effective use of an appropriate nebulizer can achieve high efficiency in delivering insulin to human subjects. Laube et al. [Journal of Aerosol Medicine, Vol. 4, No. 3, 1991] have shown that aerosolized insulin delivered from a jet nebulizer with a mass median aerodynamic diameter of 1.12 microns, inhaled via a holding chamber at a slow inspiratory flow rate of 17 liters/minute, produced an effective hypoglycemic response in test subjects at a dose of 0.2 units/kg. Colthorpe et al. [Pharmaceutical Research, Vol. 9, No. 6, 1992] have shown that aerosolized insulin given peripherally into the lung of rabbits produces a bioavailability of over 50 percent in contrast to 5.6 percent bioavailability seen for liquid insulin dripped onto the central airways. Colthorpe's work supports the contention that aerosolized insulin must be delivered peripherally into the lung for maximum efficiency and that inadvertent central deposition of inhaled aerosolized insulin will produce an effect ten times lower than that desired.

Variations in dosing of 10-fold are clearly unacceptable with respect to the administration of most drugs, and in particular, with respect to the administration of insulin.

The present invention endeavors to provide a non-invasive methodology for controlling the dosage of aerosolized insulin 5 delivered to a patient.

SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION

The dosage of aerosolized insulin delivered to a patient's 10 circulatory system is controlled by measuring and controlling the total volume of air inhaled by the patient. Specifically, repeated aerosolized doses of insulin containing formulation are administered to a patient while (1) measuring the total inhaled volume of air and (2) using the measurements to 15 obtain the same inhaled volume with each delivery. The same inhaled volume can be obtained with each delivery by measuring the inhalation volume and stopping inhalation (e.g., by a mechanical means such as a trap door type valve) after a pre-determined volume is inhaled. To add to the repeatability 20 of dosing it is preferable to measure and control the volume of air exhaled prior to inhalation for a delivery event. It is also preferable to measure the patient's inspiratory flow rate and volume and to repeatedly release each aerosolized dose to the patient at the same inspiratory flow rate and volume.

Insulin formulations are preferably aerosolized and administered via hand-held, self-contained units which are automatically actuated at the same release point in a patient's inspiratory flow cycle. The release point is automatically determined either mechanically or, more preferably calculated by a microprocessor which receives data from a sensor making it possible to determine inspiratory flow rate and inspiratory volume. The device can measure, provide information to the patient and as such consistently control the total inhaled volume for each release of aerosol. Preferably the 35 device is loaded with a cassette comprised of an outer housing which holds a package of individual disposable collapsible containers of an insulin containing formulation for systemic delivery. Actuation of the device forces insulin formulation through a porous membrane of the container which mem- 40 brane has pores having a diameter in the range of about 0.25 to 3.0 microns, preferably 0.5 to 1.5 microns. The device includes a means allowing for adjustments in the amount of force provided so that different amounts of formulation are forced from the container based on different amounts of force 45 applied. The porous membrane is positioned in alignment with a surface of a channel through which a patient inhales air. The flow profile of air moving through the channel is such that the flow at the surface of the channel is less than the flow rate at the center of the channel. The membrane is designed so that 50 it outwardly protrudes at all times or is made flexible so that when an insulin formulation is forced against and through the membrane the flexible membrane protrudes outward beyond the flow boundary layer of the channel into faster moving air. Because the membrane protrudes into the faster moving of the 55 channel the particles of aerosol formed are less likely to collide allowing for the formation of a burst of fine aerosol mist with uniform particle size.

The dose of insulin to be delivered to the patient varies with a number of factors most importantly the patient's blood 60 glucose level. Thus, the device can deliver all or any proportional amount of the formulation present in the container which can be obtained by adjusting the amount of force applied to the container. If only part of the contents are aerosolized the remainder can be aerosolized at a later time.

Smaller particle sizes are preferred to obtain systemic delivery of insulin. Thus, in one embodiment, after the aero4

solized mist is released into the channel while energy is actively added to the particles (by heating the surrounding air) in an amount sufficient to evaporate carrier and thereby reduce particle size. The air drawn into the device can be actively heated by moving the air through a heating material which material is pre-heated prior to the beginning of a patient's inhalation. The amount of energy added can be adjusted depending on factors such as the desired particle size, the amount of the carrier to be evaporated, the water vapor content of the surrounding air and the composition of the carrier.

To obtain systemic delivery it is desirable to get the aerosolized insulin formulation deeply into the lung. This is obtained, in part, by adjusting particle sizes. Particle diameter size is generally about one to three times the diameter of the pore from which the particle is extruded. Energy may be added in an amount sufficient to evaporate all or substantially all carrier and thereby provide particles of dry powdered insulin or highly concentrated insulin formulation to a patient which particles are uniform in size regardless of the surrounding humidity and smaller due to the evaporation of the carrier.

In addition to adjusting particle size, systemic delivery of insulin obtained by releasing an aerosolized dose at a desired point in a patient's respiratory cycle. When providing systemic delivery it is important that the delivery be reproducible.

Reproducible dosing of insulin to the patient is obtained by providing for (1) measuring total exhaled volume prior to dosing (2) controlling total exhaled volume (3) measuring total inhaled volume while dosing (4) controlling total inhaled volume while dosing (5) controlling particle size (6) automatic release of insulin formulation in response to a determined inspiratory flow rate; (7) measuring inspiratory volume; and (8) prompting the patient for a pre-determined inspiratory flow rate throughout inspiration. The method involves consistently measuring for, determining and/or calculating each of 1-8 for each drug release decision based on instantaneously (or real time) calculated, measured, set and/ or determined parameters. To obtain repeatability in dosing the insulin formulation is repeatedly released at the same point for each of (1)-(8). To maximize the efficiency of the delivery of the insulin formulation the formulation is released each time (1) within range of 3.8 to 4.2 liters of total inhaled volume (2) at a measured inspiratory flow rate in the range of from about 0.1 to about 2.0 liters/second; and (3) at a measured inspiratory volume in the range of about 0.1 to about 1.5 liters for the firing point.

A primary object is to provide a method of controlling the consistency of dosing insulin delivered by inhalation particularly by measuring and controlling the total volume of air inhaled with each inhaling of insulin.

Another object is to control the consistency of dosing insulin by inhaling by repeatedly controlling a variety of parameters within a given range.

Another object is to provide a method of delivering insulin which is sufficiently consistent so as to eliminate, at least in part, the need for injecting insulin.

Another object of the invention is to combine insulin delivery therapies with monitoring technologies so as to maintain tight control over the serum glucose level of a patient suffering from diabetes mellitus.

Another object of the invention is to provide a device which allows for the intrapulmonary delivery of controlled amounts of insulin based on the particular needs of the diabetic patient including serum glucose levels and insulin sensitivity.

Another object of the invention is to provide a means for treating diabetes mellitus which involves supplementing

insulin administration using an intrapulmonary delivery means in combination with injections of insulin and/or oral hypoglycemic agents such as sulfonylureas.

An advantage of the present invention is that the methodology allows the administration of smaller doses of insulin by 5 a convenient and painless route, thus decreasing the probability of insulin overdosing and increasing the probability of safely maintaining desired serum glucose levels.

Another advantage of the present invention is that the methodology and device can be readily used in public without to tion; the disturbing effects associated with publicly administering a drug by injection.

A feature of the present invention is that the device can be programmed for the patient to use the method while taking into account the particular needs of individual patients.

Another feature is that the device can be individually programmed based on the lung volume of the particular patient being treated.

Another feature of the device of the present invention is that it may be programmed to provide variable dosing so that different doses are delivered to the patient at different times of the day coordinated with meals and/or other factors important to maintain proper serum glucose levels with the particular patient.

Another feature of the invention is that the portable, handheld inhalation device of the invention can be used in combination with a portable device for measuring serum glucose levels in order to closely monitor and titrate dosing based on actual glucose levels.

Yet another feature of the invention is that the microprocessor or the delivery device can be programmed to prevent overdosing by preventing the valve from being opened more than a given number of times within a given period of time.

An object of the invention is to provide a container which holds an aerosolizable formulation of insulin which container comprises a porous membrane which protrudes 4 outward in a stationary state or on the application of force forming a convex surface when drug formulation is forced against and through the membrane.

Another object is to provide a method for creating an aerosol of insulin formulation which comprises drawing air over a surface of a porous membrane in a channel and forcing formulation against the membrane so as to protrude the membrane through a flow boundary layer into faster moving air of the channel.

Another object is to provide a device which coaches patients to consistently administer doses of insulin in a manner which consistently administer the same amount of insulin to the circulatory system.

Another object of the invention is to adjust particle size by adding energy to the particles in an amount sufficient to evaporate carrier and reduce total particle size.

Another object is to provide a drug delivery device which includes a desiccator for drying air in a manner so as to remove water vapor and thereby provide consistent particle sizes even when the surrounding humidity varies.

Another object is to provide a device for the delivery of aerosols which measures humidity via a solid state hygrometer.

A feature of the invention is that drug can be dispersed or dissolved in a liquid carrier such as water and dispersed to a patient as dry or substantially dry particles.

Another advantage is that the size of the particles delivered will be independent of the surrounding humidity.

Another advantage is that the insulin can be stored in a dry state until just prior to delivery.

6

These and other objects, advantages and features of the present invention will become apparent to those persons skilled in the art upon reading the details of the structure of the device, formulation of compositions and methods of use, as more fully set forth below.

BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE FIGURES

FIG. 1 is a cross-sectional view of a container of the inven-

FIG. 2 is a cross-sectional view of a preferred embodiment of a container of the invention;

FIG. 3 is a cross-sectional view of the container of FIG. 2 in use in a channel of a drug delivery device;

FIG. 4 is a plan view of a drug delivery device of the invention:

FIG. 5 is a graph plotting the density of water vapor in air versus temperature;

FIG. 6 is a graph plotting the density of ethanol vapor in air versus temperature;

FIG. 7 is a perspective view of the package of the invention;

FIG. **8** is a perspective view of a container of the invention; FIG. **9** is a graph showing a plot of time vs. change in plasma glucose for three different means of administration;

FIG. 10 is a graph showing a plot of serum insulin levels vs. time for three different means of administration;

FIG. 11 is a graph showing a focused area of the graph of FIG. 10;

FIG. 12 is a bar graph showing the percent bioeffectiveness of insulin inhalation compared to subcutaneous injection over times; and

FIG. 13 is a schematic view of a dual compartment insulin formulation container.

DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF THE INVENTION

Before the present method of delivering aerosolized insulin to treat diabetes mellitus and devices, containers and formulations used in the treatment are described, it is to be understood that this invention is not limited to the particular methodology, containers, devices and formulations described, as such methods, devices and formulations may, of course, vary. It is also to be understood that the terminology used herein is for the purpose of describing particular embodiments only, and is not intended to limit the scope of the present invention which will be limited only by the appended claims.

It must be noted that as used herein and in the appended claims, the singular forms "a," "and," and "the" include plural referents unless the context clearly dictates otherwise. Thus, for example, reference to "a formulation" includes mixtures of different formulations, reference to "an analog" refers to one or mixtures of insulin analogs, and reference to "the method of treatment" includes reference to equivalent steps and methods known to those skilled in the art, and so forth.

Unless defined otherwise, all technical and scientific terms used herein have the same meaning as commonly understood by one of ordinary skill in the art to which this invention belongs. Although any methods, devices and materials similar or equivalent to those described herein can be used in the practice or testing of the invention, the preferred methods, devices and materials are now described. All publications mentioned herein are incorporated herein by reference for the purpose of describing and disclosing devices, formulations and methodologies which are described in the publication and which might be used in connection with the presently described invention.

The publications discussed herein are provided solely for their disclosure prior to the filing date of the present application. Nothing herein is to be construed as an admission that the present invention is not entitled to antedate such publication by virtue of prior invention. Further, the publication dates indicated here may be different from the actual date of publication

Definitions

The term "insulin" shall be interpreted to encompass natural extracted human insulin, recombinantly produced human insulin, insulin extracted from bovine and/or porcine sources, recombinantly produced porcine and bovine insulin and mixtures of any of these insulin products. The term is intended to encompass the polypeptide normally used in the treatment of diabetics in a substantially purified form but encompasses the use of the term in its commercially available pharmaceutical form which includes additional excipients. The insulin is preferably recombinantly produced and may be dehydrated (completely dried) or in solution.

The term "insulin analog" is intended to encompass any form of "insulin" or defined above including forms wherein one or more of the amino acids within the polypeptide chain has been replaced with an alternative amino acid and/or wherein one or more of the amino acids has been deleted or wherein one or more additional amino acids has been added to the polypeptide chain. In general, the "insulin analogs" of the present invention include "super insulin analogs" wherein the ability of the insulin analog to affect serum glucose levels is substantially enhanced as compared with conventional insulin as well as hepatoselective insulin analogs which are more active in the liver than in adipose tissue. Analogs include insulin-like compounds used for the same general purpose as insulin such as insulin lispro i.e., compounds which are administered to reduce blood glucose levels.

The term "acceptable serum glucose level" is intended to mean a glucose level above 50 mg/dl and below 300 mg/dl more preferably 80 mg/dl to 200 mg/dl and most preferably about 100 mg/dl. It will be understood by those skilled in the art that levels of about 50 mg/dl are considered low and that levels of about 300 mg/dl are considered high, although acceptable in the sense that these levels are generally not fatal. It is an important aspect of the invention to maintain more acceptable levels which are above the low of 50 mg/dl and below the high of 300 mg/dl with it being more acceptable to deliver doses of insulin so as to keep the patient as close as possible to about 100 mg/dl.

The term "dosing event" shall be interpreted to mean the administration of insulin and/or an insulin analog to a patient in need thereof by the intrapulmonary route of administration 50 which event may encompass one or more releases of insulin formulation from an insulin dispensing device (from one or more containers) over a period of time of 15 minutes or less, preferably 10 minutes or less, and more preferably 5 minutes or less, during which period one or more inhalations are made 55 by the patient and one or more doses of insulin are released and inhaled. A dosing event shall involve the administration of insulin to the patient in an amount of about 1 unit to about 30 units in a single dosing event which may involve the release of from about 1 to about 300 units of insulin from the 60 device.

The term "measuring" describes an event whereby either or both the inspiratory flow rate and inspiratory volume of the patient is measured. The measurement may be used to judge the extent of any breathing maneuver such as a maximum 65 inhalation maneuver or an inhale-exhale maneuver and/or in order to determine an optional point in the inspiratory cycle at

8

which to release aerosolized insulin formulation. Measuring meaning determining not controlling an amount. Measuring inspiratory flow during and after any drug delivery is used to coach a patient and after and after measuring it is desirable to record inspiratory flow rate and volume before, during and after the release of drug. Such reading makes it possible to determine if insulin formulation was properly delivered to the patient. A microprocessor or other device can calculate volume based on a measured flow rate. When either flow rate or volume becomes known in any manner it can be said to have been determined.

The term "substantially the same" is intended to mean that efforts are made to obtain the same volume amount but error will take place and result in the volumes being slightly different but substantially the same. Error on the order of .+-.20% or more preferably .+-.10% may take place in practice.

The term "monitoring" event shall mean measuring lung functions such as inspiratory flow, inspiratory flow rate, and/ or inspiratory volume so that a patient's lung function as defined herein, can be evaluated before and/or after drug delivery thereby making it possible to evaluate the effect, if any, of insulin delivery on the patient's lung function.

has been replaced with an alternative amino acid and/or wherein one or more of the amino acids has been deleted or wherein one or more additional amino acids has been added to the polypeptide chain. In general, the "insulin analogs" of the present invention include "super insulin analogs" wherein the present invention include "super insulin analogs" wherein the

The term "inspiratory flow" shall be interpreted to mean a value of air flow calculated based on the speed of the air passing a given point along with the volume of the air that has passed that point with the volume calculation being based on integration of the flow rate data and assuming atmospheric pressure, .+-.5% and temperature in the range of about 10.degree. C. to about 40.degree. C.

The term "inspiratory volume" shall mean a determined, calculated and/or measured volume of air passing a given point into the lungs of a patient assuming atmospheric pressure .+-.5% and a temperature in the range of 10.degree. C. to 40.degree. C.

The term "inhaling maximally" shall mean that the patient makes a maximal effort to inhale air into the lungs.

The terms "exhaling the forced vital capacity" and "exhaling maximally" are used interchangeably herein and shall mean making a maximal effort to exhale all air from the lungs, preferably exhaling all air in the lungs but for the residual volume.

The term "inhale-exhale" maneuver shall mean inhaling maximally followed by exhaling maximally. After inhaling maximally the patient can hold the inhaled air for any desired period of time before exhaling maximally or exhale maximally immediately following the maximal inhale.

The term "inspiratory flow profile" shall be interpreted to mean data calculated in one or more events measuring inspiratory flow and cumulative volume, which profile can be used to determine a point within a patient's inspiratory cycle which is preferred for the release of drug to be delivered to a patient. The point within the inspiratory cycle where drug is released may be based on a point within the inspiratory cycle likely to result in the maximum delivery of drug and based and/or on a point in the cycle most likely to result in the delivery of a reproducible amount of drug to the patient at each release of drug. Repeatability of the amount delivered is the primary criterion and maximizing the amount delivered is an important but secondary criterion. Thus, a large number of different drug release points might be selected and provide for repeatability in dosing provided the selected point is again

(

selected for subsequent releases. To insure maximum drug delivery the point is selected within given parameters.

The term "therapeutic index" refers to the therapeutic index of a drug defined as $\mathrm{LD_{50}}/\mathrm{ED_{50}}$. The $\mathrm{LD_{50}}$ (lethal dose, 50%) is defined as the dose of a drug which kills 50% of the tested animals, and the $\mathrm{ED_{50}}$ is defined as the effective dose of the drug for 50% of the individuals treated. Drugs with a therapeutic index near unity (i.e. $\mathrm{LD_{50}}/\mathrm{ED_{50}}$ is approximately equal to 1) achieve their therapeutic effect at doses very close to the toxic level and as such have a narrow therapeutic window, i.e. a narrow dose range over which they may be administered

The term "liquid formulation" is used herein to describe any pharmaceutically active insulin, analog thereof, or other drug for treating diabetes mellitus by itself or with a pharmaceutically acceptable carrier in flowable liquid form and preferably having a viscosity and other characteristics such that the formulation is aerosolized into particles which are inhaled into the lungs of a patient after the formulation is moved through a porous membrane of the invention. Such formulations are preferably solutions, e.g. aqueous solutions, ethanolic solutions, aqueous/ethanolic solutions, saline solutions and colloidal suspensions. Formulations can be solutions or suspensions of drug in any fluid including fluids in the form of a low boiling point propellant.

The term "formulation" is used to encompass the term "liquid formulation" and to further include dry powders of insulin with or without excipient materials.

The term "substantially" dry shall mean insulin in a container or in particles of an aerosol which contain less than 10% free water, ethanol or other liquid carrier based on total weight and preferably contains no detectable free liquid carrier.

The terms "lung function" and "pulmonary function" are 35 used interchangeably and shall be interpreted to mean physically measurable operations of a lung including but not limited to (1) inspiratory and (2) expiratory flow rates as well as (3) lung volume. Methods of quantitatively determining pulmonary function are used to measure lung function. Methods 40 of measuring pulmonary function most commonly employed in clinical practice involve timed measurement of inspiratory and expiratory maneuvers to measure specific parameters. For example, forced vital capacity (FVC) measures the total volume in liters exhaled by a patient forcefully from a deep 45 initial inspiration. This parameter, when evaluated in conjunction with the forced expired volume in one second (FEV₁), allows bronchoconstriction to be quantitatively evaluated. A problem with forced vital capacity determination is that the forced vital capacity maneuver (i.e. forced 50 exhalation from maximum inspiration to maximum expiration) is largely technique dependent. In other words, a given patient may produce different FVC values during a sequence of consecutive FVC maneuvers. The FEP 25-75 or forced expiratory flow determined over the mid-portion of a forced 55 exhalation maneuver tends to be less technique dependent than the FVC. Similarly, the FEV₁, tends to be less technique dependent than FVC. In addition to measuring volumes of exhaled air as indices of pulmonary function, the flow in liters per minute measured over differing portions of the expiratory 60 cycle can be useful in determining the status of a patient's pulmonary function. In particular, the peak expiratory flow, taken as the highest air flow rate in liters per minute during a forced maximal exhalation, is well correlated with overall pulmonary function in a patient with asthma and other respi- 65 ratory diseases. The present invention carries out treatment by administering drug in a drug delivery event and monitoring

10

lung function in a monitoring event. A series of such events may be carried out and repeated over time.

The term "velocity of the drug" or "velocity of particles" shall mean the average speed of particles of respiratory drug formulation moving from a release point such as a porous membrane or a valve to a patient's mouth. In a preferred embodiment the velocity of the particles is zero or substantially zero in the absence of flow created by patient inhalation.

The term "bulk flow rate" shall mean the average velocity at which air moves through a channel considering that the flow rate is at a maximum in the center of the channel and at a minimum at the inner surface of the channel.

The term "flow boundary layer" shall mean a set of points defining a layer above the inner surface of a channel through which air flows wherein the air flow rate below the boundary layer is substantially below the bulk flow rate, e.g., 50% or less than the bulk flow rate.

The term "carrier" shall mean a liquid, flowable, pharmaceutically acceptable excipient material which insulin is suspended in or more preferably dissolved in. Useful carriers do not adversely interact with the insulin and have properties which allow for the formation of aerosolized particles preferably particles having a diameter in the range of 0.5 to 3.0 microns when a formulation comprising the carrier and respiratory drug is forced through pores having a diameter of 0.25 to 3.0 microns. Preferred carriers include water, ethanol and mixtures thereof. Other carriers can be used provided that they can be formulated to create a suitable aerosol and do not adversely effect the insulin or human lung tissue.

Each of the parameters discussed above is measured during quantitative spirometry. A patient's individual performance can be compared against his personal best data, individual indices can be compared with each other for an individual patient (e.g. FEV₁ divided by FVC, producing a dimensionless index useful in assessing the severity of acute asthma symptoms), or each of these indices can be compared against an expected value Expected values for indices derived from quantitative spirometry are calculated as a function of the patient's sex, height, weight and age. For instance, standards exist for the calculation of expected indices and these are frequently reported along with the actual parameters derived for an individual patient during a monitoring event such as a quantitative spirometry test.

General Methodology

Diabetes mellitus is generally treated by the injection of insulin. The present invention endeavors to reduce or eliminate the need for injected insulin by consistently providing a controlled amount of aerosolized insulin to the patient's circulatory system. Insulin containing formulations can be aerosolized in a variety of different ways and thereafter inhaled into the lungs. When insulin is deposited on mucus membranes of the respiratory tract and particularly in the peripheral areas of the lung it is later absorbed into the circulatory system. Once in the circulatory system insulin has the effect of reducing the blood glucose level of the patient. For the patient's overall health it is desirable to maintain a moderate glucose level.

The present invention aids in maintaining the blood glucose at the desired level in a number of ways. First, most patients wish to avoid injections and as such are more likely to frequently administer insulin by inhalation than by injection. This is maybe due to both an aversion to insulin injections due to pain and social discomfort in some situations. The more frequently insulin is administered the tighter the control of glucose levels that can be obtained. However, when insulin is delivered by inhalation dosing can be inconsistent. Inconsis-

tencies in the dosing of insulin can be a serious matter in that failure to provide sufficient insulin may cause the glucose level to rise to a dangerously high level. Further, if too much insulin is delivered the patient's glucose level may drop to a dangerously low level. Because of the need for consistency in dosing and inconsistent results obtained with dosing by inhalation patients administer insulin by injection. The present invention addresses factors which result in inconsistent dosing by inhalation and thereby provides a method for consistent dosing of insulin by inhalation.

The primary factor addressed by the present invention relating to the consistency of dosing insulin by inhalation is the total air volume inhaled by the patient. To address this factor the methodology of the present invention instructs the patient or uses a device which measures inspiratory flow and inspiratory volume. When the patient uses the device and is instructed to exhale to the maximal extent possible. After doing such the patient inhales with the device measuring the rate of inspiration as well as the volume inspired. The device will automatically fire a dose of aerosolized insulin formulation when a desired rate and volume has been obtained. That firing point will be repeated each time the patient delivers insulin. However, per the present invention the patient is instructed to continue inhalation to a given point after the firing point releases the aerosolized insulin. The given point is preferably the maximum amount of inhalation the patient can achieve. By maximizing the amount of inhalation the efficiency of the drug delivery will be improved. However, if the patient merely inhales the same volume for each drug delivery event, the dosing will be consistent and consistency of dosing is more important than maximizing the efficiency of delivery. For both purposes of simplicity and efficiency of dosing it is preferred that the patient inhale after the release of insulin until the patient inhales maximally.

To maximize the consistency in dosing of insulin by inhalation, a number of actors should simultaneously and repeatedly be taken into consideration using a device which measures inspiratory flow and inspiratory volume. Maximizing the repeatability of dosing is achieved by: (1) instructing the 40 patient to exhale maximally prior to dosing; (2) instructing the patient to inhale at a moderate rate (preferably in the range of about 0.1 to 2 liters per second); (3) automatically firing the dose of aerosolized insulin at a predetermined point (relative to both the rate of inspiration and the volume inspired and 45 preferably at a rate of 0.1 to 2 liters per second and in a volume in the range of 0.15 to 1.5 liters); (4) instructing the patient to continue inhalation after the aerosolized dosage is released wherein the inhalation is continued to a desired point where it is halted mechanically or preferably continued to the maximum point of inhalation; (5) controlling the particle size within the range of about 1.0 to about 3.0 microns in diameter.

Each time insulin is delivered to the patient by inhalation the insulin is released at the same point with respect to inspiratory flow rate and inspiratory volume. Further, the 55 patient is instructed to perform the maneuvers with respect to completely exhaling prior to delivery and completely inhaling during and after delivery by using a particle size of a given diameter and repeating all parameters with respect to delivery and breathing maneuvers a high degree of repeatability in 60 dosing can be obtained. After delivery it is preferable to perform a coached inhale-exhale maneuver which is described in detail within U.S. Pat. No. 5,743,250 issued Apr. 28, 1998 which is incorporated herein by reference. This maneuver will increase the rate at which insulin deposited in 65 the lung enters the circulatory system. This is important in that it is desirable to make sure that any insulin delivered is

12

having an effect in the circulatory system prior to delivering more insulin. The inhale-exhale maneuver is useful in avoiding overdosing.

Calibration

It is important to calibrate the device for obtaining consistent delivery. The calibration can be carried out with respect to a plurality of different parameters. In connection with the present invention it is important to calibrate by instructing the patient to exhale maximally and inhale maximally a number of times. This allows the device to determine the patient's total lung volume or total available lung volume which is the lung volume minus the residual amount which remains in the lung after exhaling maximally. After measuring the patient's total lung volume the device can be set to provide a signal to the patient indicating that the patient has achieved a complete exhale and/or has achieved a complete inhale maneuver. The signal can be provided by sound, light or a series of lights or a combination of light and sound. For example the device can be set to provide a green light when the patient is inhaling at a desired rate with a light turning red if the patient drops below or goes above a desired rate.

A patient using the device withdraws air from a mouthpiece and the inspiratory rate of the patient is measured as is cumulative respiratory volume one or more times in a monitoring event which determines a preferred point in an inhalation cycle for the release of a dose of insulin. Inspiratory flow is measured and recorded in one or more monitoring events for a given patient in order to develop an inspiratory flow profile for the patient. The recorded information is analyzed by the microprocessor in order to deduce a preferred point within the patient's respiratory cycle for the release of insulin with the preferred point being calculated based on the most likely point to result in a reproducible delivery event. The monitoring device continually sends information to the microprocessor, and when the microprocessor determines that the optimal point in the respiratory cycle is reached, the microprocessor actuates the opening of the valve allowing release of insulin. Accordingly, drug is always delivered at a pre-programmed place in the respiratory flow profile of the particular patient which is selected specifically to maximize reproducibility of drug delivery and peripheral dispersion of the drug. It is pointed out that the device of the present invention can be used to, and actually does, improve the efficiency of drug delivery. However, this is not the critical feature. The critical feature is the enhanced rate at which insulin is brought into the circulatory system and the reproducibility of the release of a tightly controlled amount of drug at a particular point in the inspiratory cycle so as to assure the delivery of a controlled and repeatable amount of drug to the lungs of each individual patient and allow further insulin to be absorbed more quickly if needed.

Each release of aerosolized formulation is preferable proceeded by a monitoring event. The monitoring event may include recalibration which takes into consideration any changes in the condition of the patient. For example, different bronchial conditions including asthma can affect lung volume and certain medications can affect the patient's ability to carry out certain breathing maneuvers. Accordingly, in preferred embodiments of the invention monitoring and recalibration is carried out frequently, e.g., once a day or prior to each dosing event.

Factors for Repeatable Dosing

In order to consistently deliver the same amount of aerosolized insulin to the patient's circulatory system with each release of aerosol it is important to take a number of factors into consideration simultaneously. Specifically, it is prefer-

able to set various parameters at a given point and to repeatedly deliver drug to the patient at the same set parameters. The parameters may be set to improve efficiency of delivery. However, it is most important that the parameters remain set so that repeatable dosing is obtained. In order to obtain maximum 5 repeatability of dosing it is desirable to:

- (1) instruct the patient to completely exhale prior to delivery and then to inhale according to pre-programmed parameters (e.g., inhale until inhalation is mechanically halted or delivered;
- (2) release drug at a point within a patient's inspiratory flow rate inside a range of about 0.10 to about 2.0 liter/second preferably about 0.2 to about 1.8 liters per sec. and more preferably 0.15 to 1.7 liters per sec; and within a patient's 15 inspiratory volume of about 0.15 to about 2.0 liters preferably 0.15 to 0.8 liters and more preferably 0.15 to about 0.4 liters;
- (3) set the particle size for systemic delivery in a range of about 0.5 to 6 microns and more preferably 0.5 to about 3
- (4) set the concentration of the drug in the carrier in the range of from about 0.01% to about 12.5%
- (5) add heat to the air in an amount of about 20 Joules to about 100 Joules and preferably 20 Joules to about 50 Joules per 10 .mu.l of formulation;
- (6) adjust the relative volume of air added by patient inhalation per 10 .mu.l of formulation at about 100 ml to 21 and preferably about 200 ml to 1 liter for evaporation and without evaporation 50-750 ml preferably 200-400 ml;
- (7) vibrate the porous membrane at a rate of from 575 to 30 17,000 kilohertz;
- (8) adjust the pore size to a range of about 0.25 to about 6.0 microns in diameter preferably 0.5 to 3 microns and more preferably 1-2 microns;
- (9) set the viscosity of the formulation to a range of from 35 about 25% to 1,000% of the viscosity of water;
- (10) use extrusion pressure in a range of about 50 to 800 psi and preferably 100 to 750 psi;
- (11) carry out at an ambient temperature to 15.degree. C. to 30.degree. C. and ambient pressure between 1 atmosphere 40 and 75% of 1 atmosphere;
- (12) adjust the ratio of liquid carriers to each other to be consistent:
- (13) use a desiccator to maximize removal of water vapor from air:
- (14) adjust the shape of the pore opening to be circular in diameter and a conical in cross-section with the ratio of the diameter of the small to large end of the cone being about 1/2 to 1/20, and the shape of the porous membrane to an elongated oval;
- (15) adjust the thickness of the membrane to 5 to 200 microns; preferably 10-50 microns;
- (16) use a membrane having a convex shape or use a flexible membrane that protrudes outward in a convex shape beyond the flow boundary layer when formulation is forced 55 through it; and
- (17) the firing point to be at substantially the same point at each release for the parameters (1-16), i.e., each release of drug is at substantially the same point so as to obtain repeatability of dosing.

Amount of Insulin Dose

There is considerable variability with respect to the amount of insulin which is delivered to a patient when the insulin is being administered by injection. Patients requiring the 65 administration of injectable insulin use commercial insulin which is prepared in concentrations of 100 units per milliliter,

although higher concentrations up to about 500 units per milliliter can be obtained. It is preferable to use the more highly concentrated insulin in connection with the present invention. If insulin containing 500 units of insulin per milliliter is used and a patient is administering 5 units, then the patient will only need to administer 0.01 milliliters of the concentrated insulin to the lungs of the patient to achieve the desired dose.

14

The symptoms of diabetes can be readily controlled with inhale maximally) with inhalation continuing after drug is 10 the administration of insulin. However, it is extremely difficult, and probably impossible, to normalize the blood sugar throughout a 24-hour period utilizing traditional insulin therapy given as one or two injections per day. It is possible to more closely approach normalized blood sugar levels with the present invention. Improvements are obtained by smaller, more frequent dosing and by timing dosing relative to meals, exercise and sleep.

> The precise amount of insulin administered to a patient varies considerably depending upon the degree of the disease and the size of the patient. A normal-weight adult may be started on about a 15-20 units a day in that the estimated daily insulin production rate in non-diabetic subjects of normal size is approximately 25 units per day. It is preferable to administer approximately the same quantity of insulin for several days before changing the dosing regime except with hypoglycemic patients for which the dose should be immediately decreased unless a clearly evident nonrecurrent cause of hypoglycemia (such as not eating, i.e., missing a typical meal) is present. In general, the changes should not be more than five to ten units per day. It is typical to administer about two-thirds of the total insulin daily dosage before breakfast and administer the remainder before supper. When the total dosage reaches 50 or 60 units per day, a plurality of smaller doses are often required since peak action of insulin appears to be dose related, i.e., a low dose may exhibit maximal activity earlier and disappear sooner than a large dose. All patients, are generally instructed to reduce insulin dosage by about 5 to 10 units per day when extra activity is anticipated. In a similar manner, a small amount of extra insulin may be taken before a meal that contains extra calories or food which is not generally eaten by the diabetic patient. The inhalation device of the present invention is particularly useful with respect to providing such small amounts of additional insulin.

Several types of insulin formulations are commercially available. When larger doses of insulin must be administered at a single point in time, it may be preferable to administer intermediate or long-acting insulin formulations. Such formulations release some insulin immediately and provide a more sustained release of the remainder of the insulin over 50 time. Such formulations are described further below in the "Insulin Containing Formulations" section.

When administering insulin using the inhalation device of the present invention, the entire dosing event can involve the administration of anywhere from one to 25 units, but more preferably involves the administration of approximately five to ten units. The entire dosing event may involve several inhalations by the patient with each of the inhalations being provided with multiple bursts of insulin from the device. For example, the device can be programmed so as to release enough insulin so that approximately one unit of insulin is delivered to the patient per inhalation or 0.33 units of insulin per bus with three bursts being delivered per inhalation. If ten units are to be delivered, the ten units are delivered by releasing 33 bursts in ten different inhalations. Such a dosing event should take about 1-2 minutes to deliver 10 units of insulin. Since only small amounts are delivered with each burst and with each inhalation, even a complete failure to deliver insulin

with a given inhalation or burst is not of great significance and will not seriously disturb the reproducibility of the dosing event. Further, since relatively small amounts are delivered with each inhalation and/or burst, the patient can safely administer an additional unit or two of insulin without fear of overdosing.

There is a differential between the amount of insulin actually released from the device and the amount of insulin actually delivered to the patient. The present device is two to ten times more efficient than conventional inhalation devices 10 (i.e., MDIs or metered dose inhalers) which have an efficiency as low as 10% meaning that as little as 10% of the released insulin may actually reach the circulatory system of the patient. The efficiency of the delivery will vary somewhat from patient to patient and should be taken into account when 15 programming the device for the release of insulin.

One of the difficulties with aerosolized delivery of insulin is that the patient and/or the caregiver cannot determine precisely how much insulin has entered the circulatory system. Accordingly, if the patient has been dosed with what is 20 believed to be an adequate amount of aerosolized insulin and the glucose level remains high one might assume that the aerosolized dose was not properly delivered. For example, the insulin might have been improperly delivered against the patient's mouth surfaces or throat where it will not be 25 absorbed into the circulatory system. However, it may be that the insulin is properly delivered to the lung (e.g., provided on the outer peripheral areas of the lung) but has not yet migrated into the circulatory system. The inhale-exhale maneuver described above provides a mechanism for more quickly 30 bringing the insulin deposited on lung tissue into the circulatory system. Specifically, the patient inhales maximally and then exhales maximally.

Obese patients are generally somewhat less sensitive to insulin and must be provided with higher doses of insulin in 35 order to achieve the same effect as normal weight patients. Dosing characteristics based on insulin sensitivity are known to those skilled in the art and are taken into consideration with respect to the administration of injectable insulin. The present invention makes it possible to vary dosing over time if insulin 40 sensitivity changes and/or if user compliance and/or lung efficiency changes over time.

Based on the above, it will be understood that the dosing or amount of insulin actually released from the device can be changed based on the most immediately prior monitoring 45 event wherein the inspiratory flow of a patient's inhalation is measured. The amount of insulin released can also be varied based on factors such as timing and timing is, in general, connected to meal times, sleep times and, to a certain extent, exercise times. Although all or, any of these, events can be 50 used to change the amount of insulin released from the device and thus the amount of insulin delivered to the patient, ultimately, the amount released and delivered to the patient is based on the patient's serum glucose levels. It is important to maintain a patient's serum glucose levels within acceptable 55 levels (greater than 60 mg/dl and less than 125 mg/100 ml and most preferably to maintain those levels at about 80 mg/100 ml.

Variations in doses are calculated by monitoring serum glucose levels in response to known amounts of insulin 60 released from the device. If the response in decreasing serum glucose level is higher than with previous readings, then the dosage is decreased. If the response in decreasing serum glucose level is lower than with previous readings, then the dosing amount is increased. The increases and decreases are 65 gradual and are preferably based on averages (of 10 or more readings of glucose levels after 10 or more dosing events) and

not a single dosing event and monitoring event with respect to serum glucose levels. The present invention can record dosing events and serum glucose levels over time, calculate averages and deduce preferred changes in administration of insulin.

As another feature of the invention, the device can be programmed so as to prevent the administration of more than a given amount of insulin within a given period of time. For example, if the patient normally requires 25 units per day of insulin, the microprocessor of the inhalation device can be programmed to prevent further release of the valve after 35 units has been administered within a given day. Setting a slightly higher limit would allow for the patient to administer additional insulin, if needed, due to larger than normal meals and/or account for misdelivery of insulin such as due to coughing or sneezing during an attempted delivery.

The ability to prevent overdosing is a characteristic of the device due to the ability of the device to monitor the amount of insulin released and calculate the approximate amount of insulin delivered to the patient based on monitoring given events such as airflow rate and serum glucose levels. The ability of the present device to prevent overdosing is not merely a monitoring system which prevents further manual actuation of a button. As indicated above, the device used in connection with the present invention is not manually actuated, but is fired in response to an electrical signal received from a microprocessor. Applicant's device does not allow for the release of insulin merely by the manual actuation of a button to fire a burst of insulin into the air.

The microprocessor can be designed so as to allow for an override feature which would allow for the administration of additional insulin. The override feature could be actuated in an emergency situation. Alternatively, the override feature could be actuated when the device is electronically connected with a serum glucose level monitoring device which determines that serum glucose levels increase to dangerously high levels.

The microprocessor will preferably include a timing device. The timing device can be electrically connected with visual display signals as well as audio alarm signals. Using the timing device, the microprocessor can be programmed so as to allow for a visual or audio signal to be sent when the patient would be normally expected to administer insulin. In addition to indicating the time of administration (preferably by audio signal), the device can indicate the amount of insulin which should be administered by providing a visual display. For example, the audio alarm could sound alerting the patient that insulin should be administered. At the same time, the visual display could indicate "five units" as the amount of insulin to be administered. At this point, a monitoring event could take place. After completion of the monitoring event, administration would proceed and the visual display would continually indicate the remaining amount of insulin which should be administered. After the predetermined dose of five units had been administered, the visual display would indicate that the dosing event had ended. If the patient did not complete the dosing event by administering the stated amount of insulin, the patient would be reminded of such by the initiation of another audio signal, followed by a visual display instructing the patient to continue administration.

In connection with the delivery of insulin different amounts of insulin are required by the patient depending upon the patient's glucose level. Because the amount of insulin required can vary considerably it is necessary to provide a system which can deliver a wide variety of different amounts of insulin. This could be done by varying the amount of insulin contained within the container package. However, such would require producing a large number of different

containers. Accordingly, the present invention includes the concept of delivering only a portion of the insulin present within a container. This can be done by adjusting the amount of force applied to the container when the formulation is forced from the container through the porous membrane. By adjusting the amount of force applied, formulation of an amount of anywhere from 100% to 1% of the formulation could be forced from the package in incremental amounts of 1%, 10%, 25% or any desired percentage amount.

Additional information regarding dosing with insulin via 10 injection can be found within Harrison's—Principles of Internal Medicine (most recent edition) published by McGraw Hill Book Company, New York, incorporated herein by reference to disclose conventional information regarding dosing insulin via injection.

Drug Delivery with Disposable Container

FIG. 1 is a cross-sectional view of a container 1 of the invention which is shaped by a collapsible wall 2. The container 1 has an opening covered by a flexible porous membrane 3 which is covered by a removable layer 4. The membrane 3 may be rigid and protrude upward in a convex configuration away from the formulation 5. When the layer 4 is removed the wall 2 can be collapsed thereby forcing the insulin formulation 5 against the flexible porous membrane 3 which will then protrude outward in a convex shape.

FIG. 2 is a cross-sectional view of a more preferred embodiment of a container 1 of the invention. The container may be in any configuration but is generally cylindrical and formed out of a single layer of material which forms the collapsible wall 2. The container 1 includes an opening which leads to an open channel 6 which channel 6 includes an abutment 7 which is broken upon the application of force created by formulation 5 being forced from the container. When the abutment 7 is broken the formulation 5 flows to an area adjacent to the flexible porous membrane 3 and is prevented from flowing further in the channel 6 by a non-breakable abutment 8.

FIG. 3 is a cross-sectional view of the container 1 of FIG. 2 in use. The wall 2 is being crushed by a mechanical component such as the piston 9 shown in FIG. 3. The piston may be driven by a spring, compressed gas, or a motor connected to gears which translate the electric motor's circle motion to linear motion. The formulation 5 is forced into the open channel 6 (breaking the abutment 7 shown in FIG. 2) and against and through the membrane 3 causing the membrane 3 to protrude outward into a convex configuration as shown in FIG. 3.

The piston 9 has been forced against the container wall 2 after a patient 10 begins inhalation in the direction of the 50 arrow "1". The patient 10 inhales through the mouth from a tubular channel 11. The velocity of the air moving through the flow path 29 of the channel 11 can be measured across the diameter of the channel to determine a flow profile 12, i.e., the air flowing through the channel 11 has a higher velocity 55 further away from the inner surface of the channel. The air velocity right next to the inner surface of the channel 11 (i.e., infinitely close to the surface) is very slow (i.e., approaches zero). A flow boundary layer 13 defines a set of points below which (in a direction from the channel center toward the inner surface of the channel) the flow of air is substantially below the bulk flow rate i.e., 50% or less than the bulk flow rate.

To allow air to flow freely through the channel 11 the upper surface of the flexible porous membrane 3 is substantially flush with (i.e., in substantially the same plane as) the inner 65 surface of the channel 11. Thus, if the membrane 3 remained in place when the formulation 5 move through the pores the

formulation would be released into the slow moving or substantially "dead air" below the boundary layer 13. However, the membrane 3 protrudes outward through the boundary layer 13 into the faster moving air. This is desirable in that it aids in avoiding the coagulation of particles. More specifically, when formulation exits the pores the formulation naturally forms spherical particles. Those particles slow down due to the frictional resistance created by the air through which the particles must travel. The particles existing behind them can face reduced air friction because the preceding particle have moved the air aside. Thus later released particles catch up with and merge into the earlier released particles. This can cause a chain reaction resulting in the formation of large particles which can not be readily inhaled into the lung—e.g., the formation of particles having a diameter of more than about 12.0 microns.

A plan view of a simple embodiment of a drug delivery device 40 of the present invention is shown within FIG. 4. The device 40 is loaded and operates with a plurality of interconnected disposable containers 1 which form a package 46. Before describing the details of the individual components of the device 40, a general description of the device and its operation is in order.

As shown in FIG. 4 the spring 22 applies force to the container which forces the formulation out of the porous membrane. It is possible to compress the spring 22 to various different degrees. With each degree of compression relating to a different amount of force which will be applied to the container. By applying different amounts of force to the container, a different volume of formulation is forced from the container. This makes it possible to vary the amount of aerosolized insulin delivered to the patient over a wide range without providing a large number of different containers. The amount of force applied to the container could also be varied in different ways. For example, a cam could be made to rotate against the container to force the formulation from the container. If the cam were rotated only partially then only a portion of the contents of the container would be expelled and aerosolized. Other means for regulating the amount of formulation forced from the container will become apparent to those skilled in the art upon reading this disclosure.

Conventional metered dose inhalers and nebulizers suffer from a number of disadvantages. These disadvantages result in the inability to use these devices to repeatedly deliver the same amount of drug to a patient. The disadvantages are due, in part, to the inability to control particle size—especially when the device is used in diverse environments with greatly different humidity conditions or when differing amounts of drug are delivered into a fixed amount of air or similar quantities of drug are delivered into differing amounts of air. By adding sufficient energy to the particles to evaporate any carrier particle size is reduced to a uniform minimum and, any humidity variations do not affect particle variability. Further the drug dispensing device of the present invention preferably includes electronic and/or mechanical components which eliminate direct user actuation of drug release. More specifically, the device preferably includes a means for measuring inspiratory flow rate and inspiratory volume and sending an electrical signal as a result of the simultaneous measurement of both (so that drug can be released at the same point each time) and also preferably includes a microprocessor which is programmed to receive, process, analyze and store the electrical signal of the means for measuring flow and upon receipt of signal values within appropriate limits sending an actuation signal to the mechanical means which causes drug to be extruded from the pores of the porous membrane.

The device 40 shown in FIG. 4 is loaded with a disposable package 46. To use the device 40 a patient (see FIG. 3) inhales air from the mouthpiece 30. The air drawn in through the opening 38 (and optionally the desiccator 41) flows through the flow path 29 of the channel 11. The disposable package 46 is comprised of a plurality of disposable containers 1. Each container 1 includes a drug formulation 5 and is covered by the porous membrane 3. An air-heating mechanism 14 located in the flow path 29. The air heating mechanism 14 is preferably positioned such that all or only a portion of the air flowing through the path 29 will pass by the heater, e.g., flow vent flaps can direct any desired portion of air through the heater 14. The heat is preferably turned on for 30 sec or less prior to inhalation and turned off after drug delivery to conserve power.

The device 40 is a hand-held, portable device which is comprised of (a) a device for holding a disposable package with at least one but preferably a number of drug containers, and (b) a mechanical mechanism for forcing the contents of a container (on the package) through a porous membrane. The 20 device preferably further includes (c) a heating mechanism for adding energy to the air flow into which particles are released, (d) a monitor for analyzing the inspiratory flow of a patient, (e) a switch for automatically releasing or firing the mechanical means after the inspiratory flow rate and/or volume reaches a predetermined point (f) a means for measuring ambient temperature and humidity and (g) a source of power e.g., conventional batteries.

The device for holding the disposable package may be nothing more than a narrow opening created between two 30 outwardly extending bars 42 and 82 or may include additional components such as one or more wheels, sprockets or rollers notably mounted on the end(s) of such bars. The rollers may be spring mounted so as to provide constant pressure against the surface(s) of the package. The device may also include a 35 transport mechanism which may include providing drive power to the roller(s) so that when they are rotated, they move the package from one container to the next. The power source 43 driving the roller(s) is programmed via the microprocessor 26 to rotate the rollers only enough to move the package 39 40 from one container 1 to the next. In order to use the device 40, the device 40 must be "loaded," i.e. connected to a package 39 which includes drug dosage units having liquid, flowable formulations of pharmaceutically active insulin therein. The entire device 40 is self-contained, light weight (less than 1 kg 45 preferably less than 0.5 kg loaded) and portable. The power source 43 is preferably in the form of standard alkaline batteries. Two 9 volt batteries could supply the heat required to heat the air which contacts the particles by about 20.degree. C. for about 100 doses (see FIGS. 5 and 6 re energy required) 50

The formulation is preferably heated after the formulation has been forced through the pores of the membrane 3 and aerosolized i.e., energy is preferably added by heating the surrounding air by means of the air-heating mechanism 14 positioned anywhere within the flow path 29. The amount of 55 energy added by the formulation heating mechanism 45 or air-heating mechanism 5 is controlled by the microprocessor 26 based on the amount of formulation in the container 1 and other factors such as the concentration of the insulin in the formulation and surrounding humidity. A hygrometer 50 and 60 thermometer 51 are electrically connected to the microprocessor 26 allowing the amount of heat to be added to be adjusted based on ambient humidity and temperature.

The carrier may be chosen to provide for greater solubility of insulin in the carrier to obtain a high concentration of 65 insulin and thus require less energy to obtain evaporation of the carrier. Droplets having a diameter of 6.3 microns can be

20

formed and subjected to evaporation to obtain a particle of one micron in diameter. In the respiratory tract this one micron particle would be expected to grow to a 3 micron particle due to moisture added from the high humidity environment of the respiratory tract.

Energy for Evaporation

FIG. 5 is a graph which can be used in calculating the amount of energy needed to control the size of delivered droplets by controlling the amount of evaporation of carrier from the aerosolized droplets. The graph of FIG. 5 contains two types of information, the density of evaporated water vs. temperature and relative humidity, and the cooling of the air as the water evaporates. The four lines that show a rapid increase with temperature portray the density of water vapor in air, at 25, 50, 75, and 100% relative humidity. The 100% relative humidity curve represents the maximum number of milligrams of water that can be evaporated per liter of air. The diagonal lines show the temperature change of the air as the water droplets evaporate (hereafter called the air mass trajectory curves). As the evaporation proceeds, the density and temperature will change by moving parallel to these curves. To calculate these curves, air density of 1.185 grams/liter, air specific heat of 0.2401 calories/gram, and water latent heat of vaporization of 0.583 cal/mg were assumed. These values imply that a liter of air will cool 2 celsius degrees for every milligram of water evaporated, i.e. evaporating 10 microliters will cool a liter of air 20 celsius degrees.

FIG. 5 can be used to calculate the amount of preheating needed to evaporate all or substantially all of the carrier in the aerosolized particles. As an example, assume the initial ambient conditions are 25.degree. C. and 50% relative humidity. Further, assume that one wants to evaporate 10.mu.1(10 mgs) of water from an aqueous drug solution. Finally, assume the final relative humidity is 75%. Under these conditions the aqueous carrier would not evaporate completely. More specifically, the final particles would contain approximately equal amounts of drug and water. To calculate the amount of energy to add for this delivery refer to FIG. 5. Locate the point corresponding to 25.degree. C. and 50% relative humidity. Move up by 10 milligrams, the amount of water to be evaporated. Now move to the left until the 75% RH curve is crossed. This occurs at about 29.degree. C. These conditions (75% RH and 29.degree. C.) represent the condition of the air as delivered to the patient. However, still more energy must be added to make up for the cooling of the air as the water evaporates. To calculate this amount of heat, move parallel to the air mass trajectory curves (downward and to the right) until the initial ambient water vapor density is reached, at approximately 47.degree. C. Thus, sufficient heat to warm the air by 22.degree. C. must be added to achieve near complete evaporation.

FIG. 6 includes similar information with respect to ethanol which can be used in a similar manner. FIG. 5 shows the density of water vapor in air at 25, 50 and 75.degree. C. and 100% saturation with the air mass trajectory during evaporation also shown. The same is shown in FIG. 6 for the density of ethanol in air.

The evaporation and growth rates of aqueous droplets is a function of their initial diameter, the amount of drug dissolved therein (concentration) and the ambient relative humidity. The determining factor is whether the water vapor concentration at the surface of the droplet is higher or lower than that of the surrounding air. Because the relative humidity at the surface of a particle (i.e. droplet of aerosolized formulation) is close to 100% for all the low concentration formulations, a five micron droplet will evaporate to a 1 micron dry

particle in 0% humidity in less than 20 ms. However, if a particle of drug 1 micron diameter is inhaled into the lungs (99.5% humidity) it can grow to about 3 microns in diameter in approximately one second by accumulating water from the humid lung environment.

21

Desiccator

The opening 38 may have a desiccator 41 positioned therein which desiccator includes a material which removes water vapor from air being drawn into the flow path 29. By reducing or more preferably eliminating water vapor from the air any water in particles of formulation can be more efficiently evaporated. Further, the particles delivered to the patient will have a smaller and more uniform size whether or not energy is added to cause evaporation of water from the particles of the formulation.

The device may include a mouth piece 30 at the end of the flow path 29. The patient inhales from the mouth piece 30 which causes an inspiratory flow to be measured by flow sensor 31 within the flow path which path may be, and preferably is, in a non-linear flow-pressure relationship. This inspiratory flow causes an air flow transducer 37 to generate a signal. This signal is conveyed to a microprocessor which is able to convert, continuously, the signal from the transducer 37 in the inspiratory flow path 29 to a flow rate in liters per minute. The microprocessor 26 can further integrate this continuous air flow rate signal into a representation of cumulative inspiratory volume. At an appropriate point in the inspiratory cycle, the microprocessor can send a signal to send power from the power source 43 to the air-heating mechanism 14 which uses information from the hygrometer 50, thermometer 51 and particle size and amount of formulation. The microprocessor also sends a signal to an actuator which causes the mechanical means (e.g., the piston 24) to force drug from a container of the package into the inspiratory flow path 29 of the device and ultimately into the patient's lungs. After being released, the drug and carrier will pass through a porous membrane 3 to aerosolize the formulation and thereafter enter the lungs of the patient.

When the formulation 5 includes water as all or part of the carrier it is also desirable to include a desiccator 41 within the flow path 29. The desiccator 41 is preferably located at the initial opening 38 but maybe located elsewhere in the flow path 29 prior to a point in the flow path when the formulation is fired into the flow path in the form of aerosolized particles. By drawing air through the desiccator 41 water vapor within the air is removed in part or completely. Therefore, only dried air is drawn into the remainder of a flow path. Since the air is completely dried water carrier within the aerosolized particles will more readily evaporate. This decreases the energy needs with respect to the heating devices 14. The desiccator material can be any compound which absorbs water vapor from air. For example, it may be a compound selected from the group consisting of P2O5, Mg(ClO4), KOH, H2 SO4, NaOH, CaO, CaCl₂, ZnCl₂, and CaSO₄.

Convex/Flexible Porous Membrane

As shown in FIG. 3 the convex shape that the flexible membrane 3 takes on during use plays an important role. The membrane may be rigid and convex such as the rigid convex membrane 80 shown in FIG. 8. Alternatively, formulation 5 is forced from the container 1 by force applied from a source such as the piston or plate 24 causing the formulation 5 to press against a flexible membrane 3 causing it to convex outward beyond the plan of the resting surface of the membrane 3 and beyond the plan of the inner surface of the channel 65 11 which is aligned with the surface or membrane 3 when the container 1 is in a drug release position. The convex shape of

22

the membrane 3 is shown in FIG. 3. The convex upward distortion of the membrane is important because it positions the pores of the membrane beyond the boundary layer 13 (shown in FIG. 3) into faster moving air of the channel 29. A number of containers may be connected together to form a package 46 as is shown in FIG. 7. The package 8 is in the form of an elongated tape but can be in any configuration, e.g., circular, square, rectangular, etc.

When pores of the membrane 3 are positioned beyond the boundary layer into the faster moving air of the channel advantages are obtained. Specifically, the (1) formulation exiting the pores is moved to an air stream where it can be readily carried to the patient and (2) the particles formed do not exit into slow moving or "dead" air and thus do not rapidly decelerate to a degree such that particles behind them catch up with, collide into and merge with the particle. Particle collisions are not desirable because they (a) result in particles which are too large and cannot be efficiently inhaled into the lung; and (b) result in an aerosol with diverse and unpredictable particle sizes. Either or both (a) and (b) can result in erratic dosing.

The air-heating mechanism 14 heats the surrounding air within the flow path 29. This causes carrier in the formulation to be evaporated more readily. If sufficient heat is added the only material reaching the patient is the substantially dry insulin drug.

The methodology of the present invention could be carried out with a device that obtains power from a plug-in source. However, the device is preferably a self-contained, hand-held device which is battery powered. Heating mechanisms of various types can be used. For example, see the heating mechanism in the self-contained, portable sealer for plastic colostomy bags in French patent 2,673,142 which is incorporated herein by reference. A portable heater is also taught in European patent applications 0,430,566 A2 for a "Flavor delivering article" and 0,358,002 for "Smoking articles utilizing electric energy," both of which are incorporated herein by reference to disclose and describe heating components powered by batteries.

Supplemental Treatment Methodology

Patients suffering from diabetes mellitus may be treated solely with insulin as indicated above. However, it is possible to treat such patients with a combination of insulin and other drugs such as sulfonylureas which act primarily by stimulating release of insulin from the beta cells in the pancreas. These drugs have the ability of increasing the number of insulin receptors in target tissues and enhance insulin-mediated glucose disposal. Some specific sulfonylurea drugs which can be used in connection with the present invention include acetohexamide administered in an amount of about 500 to 1,500 mg per day; chlorpropamide, administered in an amount of about 50 to 750 mg per day; tolazamide, administered in an amount of about 0.1 to 1 gram per day; tolbutamide, administered in an amount of about 0.5 to 3 grams per day; glipzide administered in an amount of about 2.5 to 40 mg per day and glyburide administered in an amount of about 1.25 to 20 mg per day.

In patients who are producing some insulin, the sulfonylurea drugs may be sufficient to treat the symptoms. Other patients can use a combination of the drugs while administering insulin, while still others require only the administration of insulin. The present invention is beneficial to each type of patient. Further, the present invention allows means for eliminating the need for some patients to take insulin by injection. The patients can be provided with oral doses of sulfonylureas in amounts similar to those indicated above

while administering small amounts of insulin via the intrapulmonary route using the device of the present invention. In accordance with one method of the invention, the patient is administered a sulfonylurea drug orally and that treatment is supplemented with insulin administration in relatively small amounts, e.g., five to ten units per dosing event with two to three dosing events per day. Alternatively, the patient is primarily treated by the administration of insulin via the intrapulmonary route and that treatment is supplemented by the oral administration of sulfonylureas of the type described above.

Based on the above, it will be understood by those skilled in the art that a plurality of different treatments and means of administration can be used to treat a single patient. For 15 example, a patient can be simultaneously treated with insulin by injection, insulin via intrapulmonary administration in accordance with the present invention, and sulfonylurea drugs, which are orally administered. Benefits can be obtained by the oral administration of sulfonylurea drugs in 20 that the insulin is naturally released by the patient in a fashion in accordance with real needs related to serum glucose levels. This natural insulin is supplemented by smaller doses provided by intrapulmonary administration in accordance with the present invention. Should such prove to be ineffective for whatever reason, such as breathing difficulties, such could be supplemented by administration via injection.

Drug Delivery Device

The device preferably includes a means for recording a characterization of the inspiratory flow profile for the patient which is possible by including a microprocessor **26** in combination with a read/write memory means and a flow measurement transducer. By using such devices, it is possible to change the firing threshold at any time in response to an analysis of the patient's inspiratory flow profile, and it is also possible to record drug dosing events over time. In a particularly preferred embodiment the characterization of the inspiratory flow can be recorded onto a recording means on the disposable package.

FIG. 4 shows a cross-sectional plan view of a hand held, self-contained, portable, breath-actuated inhaler device 40 of the present invention. The device 40 is shown with a holder 20 having cylindrical side walls and a hand grip 21. The holder 45 is "loaded" in that it includes a container 1. A plurality of containers 1 (2 or more) are preferably linked together to form a package 46.

The embodiment shown in FIG. 4 is a simple version of the invention. The device 40 may be manually actuated and 50 loaded. More specifically, the spring 22 may be compressed by the user until it is forced down below the actuation mechanism 23. When the user pushes the actuation mechanism 23 the spring 22 is released and the mechanical means in the form of a plate 24 is forced upward against a wall 2 of a 55 container 1. Alternatively, a rotating cam (not shown) may be turned by an electric motor to crush the container 1 and force the contents 5 out via a membrane 3. The amount of force applied (and rate of force applied by adjusting the length of piston stroke) can be adjusted to expel all of the contents or, in 60 certain situations, only a portion of the contents e.g., 25%. When the container 1 is compressed its contents are forced out through the membrane 3 and aerosolized and the container and membrane are discarded—not reused. Two additional containers 1 shown to the left are unused. The device of 65 FIG. 4 would not require the use of low boiling point propellants such as low boiling point fluorocarbons. Numerous

additional features and advantages of the present invention can be obtained by utilizing the monitoring and electronic components described below.

24

It is important to note that a variety of devices can be used in order to carry out the methodology of the present invention. However, the device must be capable of aerosolizing a drug formulation in a container and preferably does such by forcing formulation through a porous membrane with the release point based on pre-programmed criteria which may be mechanically set or electronically set via criteria readable by the microprocessor 26. The details of the microprocessor 26 and the details of other drug delivery devices which include a microprocessor and pressure transducer of the type used in connection with the present invention are described and disclosed within U.S. Pat. No. 5,404,871, issued Apr. 11, 1995, entitled "Delivery of Aerosol Medications for Inspiration" which patent is incorporated in its entirety herein by reference, and it is specifically incorporated in order to describe and disclose the microprocessor and program technology used therewith. The pre-programmed information is contained within a nonvolatile memory which can be modified via an external device. In another embodiment, this pre-programmed information is contained within a "read only" memory which can be unplugged from the device and replaced with another memory unit containing different programming information. In yet another embodiment, microprocessor 26, containing read only memory which in turn contains the pre-programmed information, is plugged into the device. For each of these three embodiments, changing the programming of the memory device readable by microprocessor 26 will radically change the behavior of the device by causing microprocessor 26 to be programmed in a different manner. This is done to accommodate different drugs for different types of treatment.

Microprocessor 26 sends signals via electrical connection 27 to electrical actuation device 28 which actuates the means 23 which fires the mechanical plate 24 forcing drug formulation in a container 1 to be aerosolized so that an amount of aerosolized drug is delivered into the inspiratory flow path 29 when the flexible membrane 3 protrudes outward through the flow boundary layer. A signal is also sent to the heater 14 to add heat energy to the air in the flow path 29. The device 28 can be a solenoid, motor, or any device for converting electrical to mechanical energy. Further, microprocessor 26 keeps a record of all drug dosing times and amounts using a read/ write non-volatile memory which is in turn readable by an external device. Alternatively, the device records the information onto an electronic or magnetic strip on the package 1. The recorded information can be read later by the care-giver to determine the effectiveness of the treatment. In order to allow for ease of use, it is possible to surround the inspiratory flow path 29 with a mouth piece 30.

The electrical actuation means 28 is in electrical connection with the flow sensor 31 which is capable of measuring a flow rate of about 0 to about 800 liters per minute. It should be noted that inhalation flow rates are less than exhalation rates, e.g. max for inhalation 200 lpm and 800 lpm for exhalation. A variety of different types of flow sensors may be used as per U.S. Pat. No. 5,394,866, issued Mar. 7, 1995, U.S. Pat. No. 5,404,871, issued Apr. 11, 1995 and U.S. Pat. No. 5,450,336, issued Sep. 12, 1995, which are incorporated herein by reference. The flow sensor 31 includes screens 32, 33 and 34 which are positioned approximately ½" apart from each other but may be comprised of a single screen or include a non-linear flow path. It is preferable to include the desiccator 41 at

a point prior to the screens **32**, **33** and **34** in the flow path so that the elimination of water vapor is considered in any measurement

As shown in FIG. 4 the flow sensor 31 is made up of a number of components including the transducer 37 and the 5 individual screens 32, 33 and 34. Information from sensor 31 is conveyed via the connecting line 39 to the microprocessor 26. In order to carry out the inhale-exhale maneuver of the invention it is preferable to use the sensor 31 in connection with the microprocessor 26 which can signal the patient that 10 a maximal inhale maneuver and a maximal exhale maneuver has been correctly accomplished. The signal can be an audio signal, visual signal, or both. For example, the device can issue a sound when the device has sensed that a maximal inhale maneuver has been accomplished or flash a green light. 15 If the inhale maneuver was not sensed to be a maximal maneuver the sound will not actuate and the light will not go on or will be a red light. The same is true with respect to the exhale maneuver. The device can be individually set for each patient in that each patient will have a different lung volume 20 and rate at which that lung volume can be inhaled and exhaled. Preferably, the device is individually set by the caregiver. However, devices may be preset for individuals which are judged to have lung volumes of particular sizes e.g., 3 liters, 4 liters, 5 liters, 6 liters in total lung volume. The device 25 can be used to (1) coach a patient to correctly perform the inhale-exhale maneuver, (2) deliver drug or (3) both (1) and

Tubes 35 and 36 open to the area between the screens 32, 33 and 34 with the tubes 35 and 36 being connected to a conventional differential pressure transducer 37. Another transducer designed to measure outflow through the opening 38 is also preferably included or the flow sensor 31 is designed so that the same components can measure inflow and outflow. When the user draws air through inspiratory flow path 29, air is 35 passed through the screens 32, 33 and 34 and the air flow can be measured by the differential air pressure transducer 37. Alternatively, other means to measure pressure differential related to air flow, such as a conventional measuring device in the air way, may be used. The flow sensor 31 is in connection 40 with the electrical actuation means 28 (via the connector 39 to the processor 26), and when a threshold value of air flow is reached (as determined by the processor 26), the electrical actuation means 28 fires the release of a mechanical means 23 releasing the plate 24 which forces the release of formulation 45 from a container 1 so that a controlled amount of insulin is delivered to the patient. The microprocessor 26 is optionally connected to an optionally present vibrating device 45 which may be activated.

Vibration Device

The vibration device 45 creates ultrasonic vibrations which are preferably at right angles to the plane of the membrane 3. The device 45 may be in the form of a piezoelectric ceramic crystal or other suitable vibration mechanism. A vibrating 55 device 45 in the form of a piezoelectric crystal may be connected to the porous membrane by means of an attenuator horn or acoustic conduction mechanism, which when correctly matched with the piezoelectric crystal frequency, efficiently transmits ultrasonic oscillations of the piezoelectric 60 crystal to the resonance cavity and the porous polycarbonate membrane and if sized correctly permits the ultrasonic energy to be focused in a polycarbonate membrane 3 allowing for maximum use of the energy towards aerosolizing the liquid formulation 5. The size and shape of the attenuator horn is not 65 of particular important. It is preferred to maintain a relatively small size in that the device is hand held. The components are

26

chosen based on the particular material used as the porous material, the particular formulation used and with consideration of the velocity of ultrasonic waves through the membrane to achieve a harmonic relationship at the frequency being used.

A high frequency signal generator drives the piezoelectric crystal. This generator is capable of producing a signal having a frequency of from about 575 kilohertz (Khz) to about 32,000 kilohertz, preferably 1,000 to 17,000 kilohertz, more preferably 2,000 to 4,000 kilohertz. The power output required depends upon the amount of liquid being nebulized per unit of time and the area and porosity of the membrane (generally comprised of a polymeric plastic-like material) used for producing the drug dosage unit and/or the efficiency of the connection.

Vibration is applied while the formulation **5** is being forced from the pores of the polycarbonate membrane **3**. The formulation can be aerosolized with only vibration i.e., without applying pressure. Alternatively, when vibration is applied in certain conditions the pressure required for forcing the liquid out can be varied depending on the liquid, the size of the pores and the shape of the pores but is generally in the range of about 50 to 600 psi, preferably 100 to 500 psi and may be achieved by using a piston, roller, bellows, a blast of forced compressed gas, or other suitable device. The vibration frequency used and the pressure applied can be varied depending on the viscosity of the liquid being forced out and the diameter and length of the openings or pores.

It is desirable to force formulation through the porous membrane with a relatively low pressure e.g., pressure less than 500 psi in that lower pressure reduces the chance of breaking the membrane during the release of formulation and makes it possible to make a thinner membrane. The thinner membranes make it easier to make small holes in that the holes or pores of the membrane are created using a focussed LASER. It is possible to reduce the pressure further by making the holes conical in cross-section. A LASER with a conical focus is used to burn holes through the membrane. The larger diameter of the conical shape is positioned next to the formulation and the smaller diameter opening is the opening through which the formulation ultimately flows. The ratio of the smaller opening to the diameter of the larger opening is in the range of about 1:2 to about 1:20 i.e., the larger opening is between 2 and 20 times the diameter of the smaller opening. By creating conical openings wherein the smaller end of the cone has a diameter of less than 6 microns it is possible to produce particles which have a diameter of less than 12 microns and it is also possible to force the formulation through the pores using a pressure of less than 500 psi. The 50 small end of the conical opening preferably has a diameter of less than 3 microns for systemic delivery and less than 5 microns for pulmonary delivery and the pressure used for forcing formulation through the pores is preferable less than 350 psi.

When small aerosolized particles are forced into the air, the particles encounter substantial frictional resistance. This may cause particles to slow down more quickly than desired and may result in particles colliding into each other and combining, which is undesirable with respect to maintaining the preferred particle size distribution within the aerosol. In order to aid in avoiding the particle collision problem, it is possible to include a means by which air flow and the flexible membrane 3 prevent collisions. Specifically, the patient inhales thereby creating an air flow toward the patient over the protruding membrane 3. The air flow carries the formed particles along and aids in preventing their collision with each other. The shape of the container opening, the shape of the mem-

brane covering that opening, as well as the positioning and angling of the flow of air through the channel 11 relative to the direction of formulation exiting the pores of the membrane 3 can be designed to aid in preventing particle collision. It is desirable to shape the opening and matching membrane so as to minimize the distance between any edge of the opening and the center of the opening. Accordingly, it is not desirable to form a circular opening which would maximize the distance between the outer edges of the circle and the center of the circle, whereas it is desirable to form an elongated narrow 10 rectangular opening covered by a rigid membrane 80 as shown in FIG. 8. Using such a configuration makes it possible to better utilize the air flow relative to all of the particles of formulation being forced form the pores of the membrane 3. When a circular opening is used, particles which are towards 15 the center of the circle may not be carried along by the air being drawn over the membrane 3 and will collide with each other. The elongated rectangle could be formed in a circle, thereby providing an annular opening and air could be forced outward from the outer and inner edges of the circle formed. 20 Further details regarding such are described in U.S. patent application Ser. No. 08/247,012, filed May 20, 1994 which is incorporated herein by reference to disclose and describe such.

Operation of the Device 40

The device of FIG. 4 shows all of the components present within the single, hand-held, portable breath actuated device, e.g. the microprocessor 26 and flow sensor 31 used to provide the electronic breath actuated release of drug. The device of 30 FIG. 4 includes a holding means and mechanical means and preferably operates electronically, i.e. the actuation means is preferably not directly released by the user. The patient inhales through inspiratory flow path 29 which can form a mouth piece 30. Air enters the device via the opening 38. The $_{35}$ inhaling is carried out in order to obtain a metering event using the differential pressure transducer 37. Further, when the inspiratory flow meets a threshold of a pre-programmed criteria, the microprocessor 26 sends a signal to an actuator release electrical mechanism 28 which actuates the mechanical means 23, thereby releasing a spring 22 and plate 24 or equivalent thereof, forcing aerosolized formulation into the channel 11, and out of the membrane 3 into the flow path 29 where the air surrounding the particles is optionally heated by the air heater 14. Further details regarding microprocessors 45 26 of FIG. 4 are described within U.S. Pat. No. 5,394,866, issued Mar. 7, 1995, entitled "An automatic Aerosol Medication Delivery System and Methods", which is incorporated herein by reference in its entirety and specifically incorporated in order to describe and disclose flow measurements, the 50 microprocessor and program technology used therewith.

Microprocessor 26 of FIG. 4 includes an external nonvolatile read/write memory subsystem, peripheral devices to support this memory system, reset circuit, a clock oscillator, a data acquisition subsystem and a visual annunciator sub- 55 system. The discrete components are conventional parts which have input and output pins configured in a conventional manner with the connections being made in accordance with instructions provided by the device manufacturers. The microprocessor used in connection with the device of the 60 invention is designed and programmed specifically so as to provide controlled and repeatable amounts of insulin to a patient upon actuation. The microprocessor must have sufficient capacity to make calculations in real time. Adjustments can be made in the program so that when the patient's inspira- 65 tory flow profile is changed such is taken into consideration. This can be done by allowing the patient to inhale through the

device as a test (monitoring event) in order to measure air flow with preferred drug delivery points determined based on the results of several inhalations by each particular patient. This process can be readily repeated when the inspiratory flow profile is changed for whatever reason. When the patient's lung function has decreased the program will automatically back down in terms of the threshold levels required for release of drug. This "back down" function insures drug delivery to a patient in need but with impaired lung function. Determination of optimal drug delivery points in the inspiratory flow can be done at each dosing event, daily, weekly, or with the replacement of a new cellular array in the device.

The microprocessor 26 of the present invention, along with its associated peripheral devices, can be programmed so as to prevent triggering the actuation mechanism 28 more than a given number of times within a given period of time. This feature makes it possible to prevent overdosing the patient. The overdose prevention feature can be particularly designed with each individual patient in mind or designed with particular groups of patients in mind. For example, the microprocessor can be programmed so as to prevent the release of more than approximately 30 units of insulin per day when the patient is normally dosed with approximately 25 units of insulin drug per day. The device can be designed to switch off this lock-out function so that insulin can be delivered in an emergency situation.

The device can be used as a sensor alone. More specifically, the insulin can be inhaled from a separate device such as from a dry powder inhaler. Thereafter, the sensor portion of the device is made use of in order to perform the inhale-exhale maneuver of the invention. The inhale-exhale maneuver of the invention can be performed a plurality of times at different timed intervals after the delivery of aerosolized insulin. The inhale-exhale maneuver can be carried out at anytime after delivery as needed by the patient to reduce glucose levels. In one embodiment the inhale-exhale maneuver is performed at 20 minutes and again at 40 minutes after aerosolized delivery of insulin. However, the maneuver can be performed at 1 minute intervals, 5 minute intervals, 10 minute intervals, 20 minute intervals, or 30 minute intervals after delivery of an aerosolized dose of insulin.

The microprocessor 26 of the invention can be connected to external devices permitting external information to be transferred into the microprocessor of the invention and stored within the non-volatile read/write memory available-to the microprocessor. The microprocessor of the invention can then change its drug delivery behavior based on this information transferred from external devices. All of the features of the invention may be provided in a portable, programmable, battery-powered, hand-held device for patient use which has a size which compares favorably with existing metered dose inhaler devices.

The microprocessor 26 of the present invention is programmed so as to allow for monitoring and recording data from the inspiratory flow monitor without delivering drug. This is done in order to characterize the patient's inspiratory flow profile in a given number of monitoring events, which monitoring events preferably occur prior to dosing events. After carrying out a monitoring event, the preferred point within the inspiratory cycle for drug delivery can be calculated. This calculated point is a function of measured inspiratory flow rate as well as calculated cumulative inspiratory flow volume. This information is stored and used to allow activation of the electronic actuation means when the inhalation cycle is repeated during the dosing event.

Treatment Via Insulin Analogs

The methodologies of the present invention can be carried out using any type of insulin, although they are preferably carried out using recombinantly produced human insulin. Insulin extracted from animal sources such as bovine or porcine sources can be used. More recently, insulin analogs have been developed. More specifically, novel peptides have been developed wherein the amino acid sequence of the peptides is substantially the same as the amino acid sequence of naturally occurring human insulin but for small changes substituting one amino acid for another. These small changes can have important physiological effects with respect to the treatment of diabetes.

Other general types of insulin analogs are presently used. One type of new analog is sold by Lilly under the name insulin lispro and this analog is absorbed faster after subcutaneous injection. Another type of insulin analog is referred to as superactive insulin. In general, superactive insulin has increased activity over natural human insulin. Accordingly, 20 such insulin can be administered in substantially smaller amounts while obtaining substantially the same effect with respect to reducing serum glucose levels. Another general type of analog is referred to as hepatospecific insulin. Heptatospecific insulin analogs are more active in the liver than in adipose tissue and offer several advantages over currently available insulin therapy. Hepatospecific analogs provide preferential hepatic uptake during peripheral subcutaneous administration, thereby mimicking, more closely, the metabolic balance between the liver and the peripheral tissues. Obtaining the correct metabolic balance is an important part of proper treatment of diabetics and administration via the intrapulmonary route should provide advantages over intermuscular injection with respect to obtaining such a balance. It maybe desirable to include mixtures of conventional insulin with insulin lispro or with insulin which is hepatospecific and/or with superactive insulin analogs. Hepatospecific analogs are disclosed and described within published PCT application WO90/12814, published Nov. 1, 1990, which application is incorporated herein by reference for its disclosure of 40 such hepatospecific insulin analogs and in order to disclose other information cited within the other publications referred to within WO90/12814.

U.S. patent application Ser. No. 074,558 discloses a superactive human insulin analog, [10-Aspartic Acid-B] human 45 insulin, which has increased activity over natural human insulin. Specifically, [10-Aspartic Acid-B] human insulin was determined to be 4 to 5 times more potent than natural insulins. U.S. patent application Ser. No. 273,957 and International Application Serial No. PCT/US88/02289 disclose 50 other superactive insulin analogs, despentapeptide (B26-B30)-[Asp^{B10} , Tyr^{B25} - α -carboxamide] human insulin, (B26-B30)-[Glu^{B10} , Tyr^{B25} - α -carboxamide] human insulin, and further insulin analogs of the formula des(B26-B30)-[X.^{B10}, Tyr^{B25} - α -carboxamide] human insulin, in which X is a resi- 55 due substituted at position 10 of the B chain. These insulin analogs have potencies anywhere from 11 to 20 times that of natural human insulin. All of the above-described insulin analogs involve amino acid substitutions along the A or B chains of natural human insulin, which increase the potency 60 of the compound or change other properties of the compound.

Other than insulin lispro, the insulin analogs are not presently used for the treatment of patients on a commercial scale. However, insulin lispro and other insulin analogs being developed could be used with the present invention in that the 65 present invention can be used to provide variable dosing in response to currently measured serum glucose levels. Further,

30

since many insulin analogs are more potent than conventional insulin, their delivery via the intrapulmonary route is particularly convenient.

Method of Administration

The effect on repeatability of dosing due to the total inhaled volume is dramatically shown within FIGS. 9, 10, and 11.

Specifically, FIG. 9 shows that the glucose plasma response after administration by inhalation closely tracts that of subcutaneous administration. The graph shows administration when a high volume (H) of air is inhaled and when a low volume (L) of air is inhaled. The data shown in FIGS. 9, 10, and 11 is data resulting from administration to five healthy male volunteers with the high volume inhalation representing an inhalation of approximately 4 liters and the low volume inhalation representing an inhalation of approximately 1.8 liters. As shown in FIG. 9 there are some differences between the plasma glucose response obtained between the inhalation delivery depending on whether the patient fully inhaled (high volume inhalation) as compared to only a partial inhalation (low volume inhalation). The serum insulin profile shown within FIG. 10 also demonstrates a difference between the effect obtained depending on whether the inhalation was complete (high volume) or partial (low volume). The different effect obtained between high and low volume in total inhaled volume is more dramatically shown over the shorter time period of FIG. 11.

The difference between the serum insulin profile obtained depends on whether the patient delivers a high volume maneuver or a low volume maneuver when inhaling insulin. Understanding that this difference exists and accounting for such is important in order to obtain repeatable doses. Using the present invention it is possible to measure the total volume of air inhaled. The patient is instructed specifically to inhale maximally, i.e., continue inhaling to the maximum extent after drug is administered. The patient will always be on the high volume curve and the amount of insulin being delivered to the patient can be readily determined. It is also possible to instruct the patient to inhale to a given point after which the device is set to prevent further inhalation. This would place the patient on the low volume curve as per the figures. Although this would be less efficient the repeatability of dosing could be obtained.

Another advantage of the present invention is dramatically shown within FIG. 12. Specifically, as shown within FIG. 12 when inhalation is used for insulin delivery the amount of insulin available to the patient actually exceeds that of subcutaneous administration during the first ten minutes. Thus, if the patient needs insulin quickly administering such by inhalation is very effective. As shown within FIG. 12 the bioeffectiveness of the inhaled delivery is less than that of subcutaneous administration after ten minutes and continually less thereafter.

The method of the invention involves the release of a liquid, flowable insulin formulation from individual disposable containers which may be interconnected in a package. This is desirable in that the liquid, flowable drug is packaged under a sterile environment and therefore does not require and preferably does not include additional materials such as antifungal, bacteriostatics, and preservatives which would normally be required in a liquid formulation if the formulation was to be opened, exposed to air, closed and later used again. A new container and membrane are used for each release of drug. Thus, the membrane and container are disposable thereby preventing clogging of pores which takes place with reuse. In order to prevent clogging it is preferable to use a prefilter. The prefilter has a much higher porosity than the porous mem-

brane nozzle but includes a much smaller hole. Thus, the prefilter will filter out any particles within the formulation but allow the formulation to move freely through the filter. A container with a prefilter included therein is disclosed within U.S. patent application Ser. No. 08/804,041 filed Feb. 24, 5 1997 which application is incorporated herein by reference to disclose containers and specifically the prefilter used in connection with such containers. When administering drug using the inhalation device of the present invention, the entire dosing event can involve the administration of anywhere from 10 .mu.l to 10 ml of drug formulation, but more preferably involves the administration of approximately 50 .mu.l to 1,000 .mu.l of drug formulation. Very small amounts of drug (e.g., nanogram amounts) may be dissolved or dispersed within a pharmaceutically acceptable, liquid, excipient material to provide a liquid, flowable formulation which can be readily aerosolized. The container will include the formulation having insulin therein in an amount of about 0.5 unit to 5 units, more preferably about 1 unit. The large variation in the 20 amounts which might be delivered are due to different delivery efficiencies for different devices, formulations and different patients needs.

The entire dosing event may involve several inhalations by the patient with each of the inhalations being provided with drug from the device. For example, the device can be programmed so as to release the contents of a single container or to move from one container to the next on a package of interconnected containers. Delivering smaller amounts from several containers can have advantages. Since only small amounts are delivered from each container and with each inhalation, even a complete failure to deliver drug with a given inhalation is not of great significance and will not seriously disturb the reproducibility of the dosing event. Further, since relatively small amounts are delivered with each inhalation, the patient can safely administer a few additional units of insulin without fear of overdosing.

In addition to drug potency and delivery efficiency, drug sensitivity must be taken into consideration. The present invention makes it possible to vary dosing over time if sensitivity changes and/or if user compliance and/or lung efficiency changes over time.

Based on the above, it will be understood that the dosing or amount of insulin actually released from the device can be changed based on the most immediately prior monitoring event wherein the inspiratory flow of a patient's inhalation is measured.

One of the important features and advantages of the present invention is that the microprocessor can be programmed to 50 take a number of different criteria into consideration with respect to dosing times. For example, the microprocessor can be programmed so as to include a minimum time interval between doses i.e. after a given delivery another dose cannot be delivered until a given period of time has passed. Secondly, 55 the timing of the device can be programmed so that it is not possible to exceed the administration of a set maximum amount of drug within a given time. For example, the device could be programmed to prevent dispersing more than ten units of insulin within one hour for a patient with low insulin 60 requirements, or more for a patient requiring a large dose of insulin. More importantly, the device can be programmed to take both criteria into consideration. Thus, the device can be programmed to include a minimum time interval between doses and a maximum amount of drug to be released within a 65 given time period. For example, the microprocessor could be programmed to allow the release of a maximum of ten units of

insulin during an hour which could only be released in amounts of one unit with each release being separated by a minimum of five minutes.

The dosing program can be designed with some flexibility. For example, if the patient normally requires 25 units per day of insulin, the microprocessor can be programmed to provide a warning after 25 units have been administered within a given day and to continue the warning thereafter to alert the user of possible overdoses. By providing a warning and not a lock-out, the device allows for the patient to administer additional insulin, if needed, due to a decreased lung function, a different diet, and/or account for misdelivery of insulin such as due to coughing or sneezing during an attempted delivery.

The ability to prevent overdosing is a characteristic of the device due to the ability of the device to monitor the amount of insulin released and calculate the approximate amount of insulin delivered to the patient based on monitoring a variety of lung function parameters. The ability of the present device to prevent overdosing is not merely a monitoring system which prevents further manual actuation of a button. As indicated above, the device used in connection with the present invention is not manually actuated, but is fired in response to an electrical signal received from a microprocessor (which received data from a monitoring device such as a device which monitors inspiratory flow) and allows the actuation of the device upon achieving an optimal point in a inspiratory cycle. When using the present invention, each actuation of the device will administer drug to the patient in that the device is fired in response to patient inhalation. More specifically, the preferred embodiment of the device does not allow for the release of insulin merely by the manual actuation of a button to fire a burst of insulin into the air or a container.

A variety of different embodiments of the dispersion device of the invention are contemplated. In accordance with one embodiment it is necessary to carry out manual cocking of the device. This means that energy is stored such as by retracting a spring so that, for example, a piston can be positioned below the drug containing container. In a similar manner a piston connected to a spring can be withdrawn so that when it is released it will force air through the air dispersion vents. Automatic cocking of forced storing systems for both the drug formulation and the air flow may be separate or in one unit. Further, one may be manual whereas the other may be done automatically. In accordance with one embodiment the device is cocked manually but fired automatically and electronically based on monitoring the patients inspiratory flow. The formulation may be physically moved through the porous membrane in a variety of different ways. Formulation may be forced through the membrane by a piston or, without applying force to the formulation, the membrane being vibrated at frequencies sufficient to create an aerosol. A combination of forced extrusion and vibration could be used. As indicated above, the amount of force applied can be varied such as by cocking the spring to a greater extent to apply greater force. Applying less force will cause the piston to expel only a portion of the container contents through the porous membrane.

The microprocessor 26 of the present invention preferably includes a timing device. The timing device can be electrically connected with visual display signals as well as audio alarm signals. Although insulin is generally administered as needed the timing device and microprocessor can be programmed so as to allow for a visual or audio signal to be sent to the patient at times when the patient would be normally expected to administer insulin. In addition to indicating the time of administration (preferably by audio signal), the device can indicate the amount of insulin which should be

administered by providing a visual display. For example, the audio alarm could sound alerting the patient that insulin should be administered. At the same time, the visual display could indicate "one dosage unit" as the amount of drug (number of containers) to be administered. At this point, a monitoring event could take place. After completion of the monitoring event, administration would proceed and the visual display would continually indicate the remaining amount of insulin which should be administered. After the predetermined dose (indicated number of containers) had been 10 administered, the visual display would indicate that the dosing event had ended. If the patient did not complete the dosing event by administering the stated amount of drug, the patient would be reminded of such by the initiation of another audio signal, followed by a visual display instructing the patient to 15 continue administration.

Additional information regarding dosing insulin can be found within Harrison's—Principles of Internal Medicine (most recent edition) and the Drug Evaluation Manual, 1993 (AMA-Division of Drugs and Toxicology), both of which are 20 published by McGraw Hill Book Company, New York, incorporated herein by reference to disclose conventional information regarding dosing of insulin.

Repeatable Dosing

The device 40 schematically shown within FIG. 4 can be specifically operated as follows. A container 1 is loaded into the device 6. The device is then armed meaning that the piston such as the spring-loaded piston 24 is cocked (i.e., the spring is compressed to a ready position. (The container 1 can be squeezed by a cam rotated by an electric motor). If applicable another piston (not shown) used to compress the liquid formulation in a dual container system is cocked. Further, a container 1 of the package is moved into position and any cover is stripped off of the porous membrane 3. Thereafter, 35 the patient withdraws air from the mouthpiece 30 and the patient's inhalation profile is developed using the microprocessor 26. After the inhalation profile is determined, the microprocessor calculates a point within the inhalation profile at which the drug should be released in order to maximize $_{40}$ repeatability of the dosing, e.g. by plotting a curve of breath velocity versus time and determining the point on the curve most likely to provide repeatability of dosing. However, in order to carry out methodology in accordance with the present invention it is not necessary to plot any curve of breath 45 velocity versus time. The device can be set so that the dose will be repeatedly released at approximately the same point with respect to inspiratory flow rate and inspiratory volume. If the device repeatedly fires at the same inspiratory flow rate and inspiratory volume each time the patient will receive 50 substantially the same dose to the lung. Both criteria must be measured and used for firing to obtain repeatability.

The microprocessor of the present invention can be programmed to release drug based on all or any of the following parameters:

- (1) The device should be programmed to measure total exhaled and inhaled volume of the patient. After determining the patient's total lung volume the device should be set to signal the patient to continue inhaling to the same extent with each drug delivery and preferably they should be signaled to 60 continue inhaling to the maximum extent in order to achieve both maximum efficiency and repeatability of dosage. It is noted that efficiency is sacrificed to some extent to ensure maximum repeatability of dosing.
- (2) Delivery should be at an inspiratory flow rate inside a 65 range of about 0.10 to about 2.0 liters per second (efficiency can be obtained by delivering at a flow rate in a range of 0.2 to

about 1.8 liters per second and more preferably 0.15 to 1.7 liters per second). Repeatability of the delivery is obtained by releasing at substantially the same inspiratory flow rate at each drug release. Simultaneously, delivery should be at a point within a patient's inspiratory volume of about 0.05 to about 2.0 liters (further efficiency of delivery can be obtained by delivering within a range of 0.15 to 0.8 liters and more preferably 0.15 to about 0.4 liters). Repeatability of delivery is obtained by delivering at the same inspiratory volume at each release of drug. For the present invention it should be noted that the total inhaled volume is different from the volume set for the firing point. As indicated here the drug should be released to the patient when the volume is preferably in a range of 0.15 to about 0.4 liters. However, after the drug is released at this volume point the patient should continue to inhale to a maximum extent, e.g., inhaled a total of about 4 liters in order to improve efficiency and repeatability of dosage.

- (3) Delivery is improved by providing a system which creates particles for systemic delivery wherein the particles are in the range of about 0.5 to about 12.0 microns, preferably 0.5 to 6 microns and more preferably 0.5 to about 3 microns.
- (4) It is desirable to have obtained a concentration of the drug in the carrier in the range of from about 0.01 to about 12.5% preferably 0.1 to 10%. By maintaining the concentration of drug to carrier in this range it is possible to create particles which are somewhat larger than would be desirable for delivery but to reduce those particles in size by evaporation of carrier.
- (5) Air drawn into the flow path of the aerosolized particles can be heated by adding energy to each 10 .mu.l of formulation-in an amount of about 20 Joules to 100 Joules, more preferably 20 Joules to 50 Joules. The heated air aids in reducing the effect of humidity and evaporates carrier away from the particles thereby providing smaller particles for inhalation.
- (6) Air is added to the aerosolized formulation by the patient drawing air into the aerosolized mist in an amount of about 50 milliliters to 2 liters per 10 microliters of aerosol formulation.
- (7) Vibration may be created on the porous membrane in an amount 575 to 32,000, preferably 1,000 to 17,000 and more preferably 2,000 to 4,200 kilohertz.
- (8) The pore size of a membrane is regulated within a range of 0.25 to about 6.0 microns, preferably 0.5 to 3 microns and more preferably 1 to 2 microns. This size refers to the diameter of the pore through which the formulation exits the membrane. The diameter of the opening into which the formulation flows may be 2 to 20 times that size in diameter thereby providing a conical configuration.
- (9) The viscosity of the formulation and the membrane porosity affect the amount of pressure which needs to be applied to force the formulation through the pores over a given period of time and the viscosity should be within the range of 25% to 1,000% the viscosity of water.
- (10) The extrusion pressure is regulated within a range of 50 to 600 psi more preferably 100 to 750 psi. Lower pressures may be obtained by using the conical configuration for the pore size.
- (11) The microprocessor should also be provided information regarding the ambient temperature and atmospheric pressure. The temperature is preferably close to room temperature i.e., within a range of 15.degree. C. to 30.degree. C. An atmospheric pressure is generally 1 atmosphere or slightly lower at higher altitudes, e.g., about 75% of 1 atmosphere.

- (12) To provide for consistency in dosing the ratio of the carrier to drug should be maintained constant and more highly concentrated insulin formulation are more desirable.
- (13) A desiccator is preferably used to remove water vapor from air drawn into the flow path by the patient.
- (14) The pores are preferably placed in the porous membrane in an elongated oval or elongated rectangular configuration. By configuring the pores in this manner and drawing air perpendicularly over the narrower dimension of the configuration it is possible to reduce the amount of collisions ¹⁰ between particles and thereby avoid particles collision resulting in accumulation.
- (15) The thickness of the membrane is preferably regulated in the range of 5 to 200 microns or more preferably 10 to 50 microns. Thinner membranes are useful in that less pressure is required to force formulation through the membrane. The membrane has a tensile strength of 5,000 to 20,000, preferably 8,000 to 16,000 and more preferably 14,000 to 16,000 psi.
- (16) The membrane is configured so as to have a convex configuration which protrudes into faster moving air created by the patient's inhalation or is designed to be flexible so that it will assume a convex configuration when formulation is forced through the membrane.
- (17) After the microprocessor is provided information with respect to above parameters or measurements a drug release point is chosen the microprocessor will continually return to substantially the same firing point at each drug delivery so as to obtain repeatability of dosing.

After drug has been delivered it is possible to discontinue any readings with respect to flow and/or volume. However, it is preferable to continue readings with respect to both criteria after drug has been released. By continuing the readings the adequacy of this patient's particular drug delivery maneuver can be determined. All of the events are recorded by the microprocessor. The recorded information can be provided to the caregiver for analysis. For example, the caregiver can determine if the patient correctly carried out the inhalation maneuver in order to correctly delivery drug and can determine if the patient's inhalation profile is effected by the drug.

Monitoring Diabetic Control

All methods of treating diabetes involve measuring glucose levels in some manner. Such measurements are neces- 45 sary in order to titrate proper dosing and avoid the overadministration of insulin which can result in fatal hypoglycemia. Measurements of urine glucose alone are insufficient to assess diabetic control and bring mean plasma glucose values into a near normal range since the during will 50 be free of glucose when the plasma concentration is relatively normal. For this reason, "home glucose monitoring" is used in those patients treated by continuous subcutaneous insulin infusion (CSII) or multiple subcutaneous injection (MSI) techniques. Such monitoring requires capillary blood which 55 can be obtained in a substantially painless manner using a small spring-triggered device referred to as Autolet.TM. produced by Ulstr Scientific Incorporated which device is equipped with small disposable lancelets. The amount of glucose is analyzed using chemically impregnated strips 60 which are read in a commercially available reflectance meter. One commercially available strip is referred to as Chemstrip bG (produced by Bio-Dynamics) The Chemstrip Bg can provide satisfactory values by visual inspection utilizing a dualcolor scale, thus eliminating the need for a reflectance meter. 65 Frequent measurement of the plasma glucose (a fairly standard program utilizes seven or eight assays over a 24-hour

period) allows a reasonable assessment of mean plasma glucose levels during the day and guides adjustment of insulin dosage.

The methodology of the present invention is preferably utilized in combination with a closely controlled means of monitoring serum glucose levels. More specifically, the drug delivery device of the invention is used to administer doses of insulin via the intrapulmonary route. The doses may be administered in somewhat smaller amounts than are generally administered by injection. The amount of insulin administered can be readily adjusted in that smaller amounts are generally administered using the intrapulmonary delivery methodology of the present invention.

After an aerosolized dose of insulin has been produced and inhaled into the patient's lungs the inhale-exhale maneuver can be performed at any time. Performing the maneuver provides advantages in that it increases the rate at which the insulin enters the circulatory system and thereby makes it possible to more accurately control the amount of additional insulin the patient might need in order to properly adjust the glucose level. If the maneuver is to be performed a greater amount of time must pass until the patient is sure that sufficient insulin has not already been absorbed. Regardless of the manner by which the insulin is administered i.e., by injection or inhalation there is some lag time between the administration of a dose of insulin and its effect on the serum glucose level. Thus, regardless of the means of administration and even when the inhale-exhale maneuver is performed some time must be allowed to pass for the glucose level to decrease prior to the administration of additional insulin in order to avoid overdosing. The use of the inhale-exhale maneuver decreases the "lag" time which is already decreased due to intrapulmonary administration as compared to subcutaneous injections. Further, as indicated above, the microprocessor can be programmed to prevent overdoses.

During the day, as insulin is administered, serum glucose levels are frequently monitored. The amount of insulin administered can be dosed based on the monitored serum glucose levels, i.e., as glucose levels increase, the amount of insulin can be increased, and as glucose levels are seen to decrease, the dosing of insulin can be decreased.

Based on the information disclosed herein in combination with what is known about insulin dosing and serum glucose levels, computer readable programs can be readily developed which can be used in connection with the insulin delivery device of the present invention. More specifically, the microprocessor can be programmed so as to deliver precise doses of insulin which correspond to the particular needs of the patient based on seru glucose monitoring information which is supplied to the microprocessor of the device of the invention. Further, the dosing information contained within the microprocessor of the device of the invention can be fed to a separate computer and/or serum glucose monitoring device (preferably portable) in order to calculate the best treatment and dosing schedule for the particular patient.

Insulin Containing Formulations

A variety of different insulin containing formulations can be used with the present invention. The active ingredient within such formulations is insulin which is preferably recombinantly produced human insulin but, as indicated above, may include insulin extracted from animal sources. Further, the insulin which has been recombinantly produced. Although the insulin and/or analog is generally present by itself as the sole active ingredient, the insulin may be present with an additional active ingredient such as a sulfonylurea.

However, such sulfonylureas are generally administered separately in order to more closely control dosing and serum glucose levels.

The present invention provides a great deal of flexibility with respect to the types of insulin to be administered. For 5 example, a container can include insulin by itself or insulin in combination with an insulin analog of any type or combinations of different insulin analogs. Further, a package can be created wherein individual containers include different formulations wherein the formulations are designed to achieve a 10 particular effect e.g., fast acting insulin or quick and careful monitoring can determine the preferred insulin dosing protocol to be followed for the particular patient.

Regardless of the active ingredient, there are several basic types of insulin formulations which can be used in connection 15 with the present invention. All of the formulations include insulin, preferably with a pharmaceutically acceptable carrier suitable for intrapulmonary administration.

The insulin may be provided as a dry powder by itself, and in accordance with another formulation, the insulin or active 20 ingredient is provided in a solution formulation. The dry powder could be directly inhaled by allowing inhalation only at the same measured inspiratory flow rate and inspiratory volume for each delivery. However, the powder is preferably dissolved in an aqueous solvent to create a solution which is 25 moved through a porous membrane to create an aerosol for inhalation.

Any formulation which makes it possible to produce aerosolized forms of insulin which can be inhaled and delivered to a patient via the intrapulmonary route can be used in connection with the present invention. Specific information regarding formulations (which can be used in connection with aerosolized delivery services) are described within Remington's Pharmaceutical Sciences, A. R. Gennaro editor (latest edition) Mack Publishing Company. Regarding insulin formulations, it is also useful to note Sciarra et al. [Journal of Pharmaceutical Sciences, Vol. 65, No. 4, 1979].

The insulin is preferably included in a solution such as the type of solution which is made commercially available for injection and/or other solutions which are more acceptable 40 for intrapulmonary delivery. When preparing preferred formulations of the invention which provide for the insulin, excipient and solvent, any pharmaceutically acceptable excipient may be used provided it is not toxic in the respiratory tract.

Formulations include insulin dry powder by itself and/or with an excipient. When such a formulation is used, it may be used in combination with a gas propellant which gas propellant is released over a predetermined amount of dried powder which is forced into the air and inhaled by the patient. It is also 50 possible to design the device so that a predetermined amount of dry powder is placed behind a gate. The gate is opened in the same manner as the valve is released so that the same inspiratory flow rate and inspiratory volume is repeatedly obtained. Thereafter, the dry powder is inhaled by the patient 55 and the insulin is delivered. When a solution is used the device of FIG. 4 is used to create an aerosolized form of the solution which can be inhaled by the patient.

Formulations of the invention can include liposomes containing insulin in combination with an amount of alveolar 60 surfactant protein effective to enhance the transport of the liposomes across the pulmonary surface and into the circulatory system of the patient. Such liposomes and formulations containing such are disclosed within U.S. Pat. No. 5,006,343, issued Apr. 9, 1991, which is incorporated herein by reference 65 to disclose liposomes and formulations of liposomes used in intrapulmonary delivery. The formulations and methodology

38

disclosed in U.S. Pat. No. 5,006,343 can be adapted for the application of insulin and included within the delivery device of the present invention in order to provide for effective treatments of diabetic patients.

The terms "insulin" and "insulin analog" have been defined above. With respect to both terms, applicant points out that a variety of commercial insulin formulations are available. Rapidly acting preparations are always indicated in diabetic emergencies and in CSII and MSI programs. Intermediate preparations are used in conventional and MSI regimens. It is not possible to delineate precisely the biologic responses to the various preparations because peak effects and duration vary from patient to patient and depend not only on route of administration but on dose. The various insulins are available as rapid (regular, semilente), intermediate (NPH, lente, globin), and long-acing (PZI, ultralente) preparations, although not all manufacturers offer all varieties. Lente and NPH insulin are used in most conventional therapy and are roughly equivalent in biologic effects, although lente appears to be slightly more immunogenic and to mix less well with regular insulin than does NPH.

The methodology of the invention may be carried out using a portable, hand-held, battery-powered device which uses a microprocessor component as disclosed in U.S. Pat. Nos. 5,404,871, issued Apr. 11, 1995 and U.S. Pat. No. 5,450,336, issued Sep. 12, 1995 both of which are incorporated herein by reference. In accordance with another system the methodology of the invention could be carried out using the device, dosage units and system disclosed in U.S. Ser. No. 94/05825 with modifications as described herein. Insulin (which is preferably recombinant insulin) is included in an aqueous formulation which is aerosolized by moving the formulation through a flexible porous membrane. Alternatively, the methodology of the invention could be carried out using a mechanical (non-electronic) device. Those skilled in the art recognized that various components can be mechanical set to actuate at a given inspiratory flow rate (e.g. a spring biased valve) and at a given volume (e.g. a spinable flywheel which rotates a given amount per a given volume).

The insulin which is released to the patient may be in a variety of different forms. For example, the insulin may be an aqueous solution of drug, i.e., drug dissolved in water and formed into small particles to create an aerosol which is delivered to the patient. Alternatively, the drug may be in a solution or a suspension wherein a low-boiling point propellant is used as a carrier fluid. In yet another embodiment the insulin may be in the form of a dry powder which is intermixed with an airflow in order to provided for delivery of drug to the patient. Regardless of the type of drug or the form of the drug formulation, it is preferable to create drug particles having a size in the range of about 0.5 to 12 microns. By creating drug particles which have a relatively narrow range of size, it is possible to further increase the efficiency of the drug delivery system and improve the repeatability of the dosing. Thus, it is preferable that the particles not only have a size in the range of 0.5 to 12 microns but that the mean particle size be within a narrow range so that 80% or more of the particles being delivered to a patient have a particle diameter which is within .+-.20% of the average particle size, preferably .+-.10% and more preferably .+-.5% of the average particle size.

The velocity at which the aerosolized drug is released to the patient is also important in terms of obtaining a high degree of repeatability in dosing and providing for a high percentage of drug being delivered to the patient's lungs. Most preferably, the drug is released from a container in a direction which is normal to the patient's airflow. Accordingly, the drug in a

container 1 as shown in FIG. 3 may be released directly upward so that its flow is at a 90.degree. angle with respect to the patient's inspiratory flow which is directly horizontal. After being released, the drug velocity decreases and the drug particles remain suspended for a sufficient period of time to allow the patient's inspiration to draw the drug into the patient's lungs. The velocity of drug released in the direction from the drug release point to the patient may match the patient's inspiratory flow rate but is preferably slower that the patient's inspiratory flow rate and is most preferably about 10 zero. The velocity may be slightly negative, i.e., in a direction away from the patient. The velocity may range from -2.0 liters/sec to 2.0 liters/sec and is preferably zero. It is not desirable to project the drug toward the patient at a rate above the speed of the patient's breath as such may result in drug being deposited on the back of the patient's throat. Thus, the drug release speed should be equal to or less than the breath speed. The actual speed of release can vary depending on factors such as the particle size, the particle composition and the distance between the point of release and the patient. The 20 velocity is preferably such that the particles will (due to air resistance) slow to zero velocity after traveling a distance of about 2 centimeters or less. In general, the shorter the distance required to slow the particles to zero velocity the better.

An aerosol may be created by forcing drug through pores of 25 a membrane which pores have a size in the range of about 0.25 to 6 microns preferably 0.5 to 3.0 microns. When the pores have this size the particles which escape through the pores to create the aerosol will have a diameter about twice the diameter of the pore opening from which the formulation exists. 30 However, the particle size can be substantially reduced by adding heat to the air around the particles and cause evaporation of carrier. Drug particles may be released with an air flow intended to keep the particles within this size range. The creation of small particles may be facilitated by the use of the 35 vibration device which provides a vibration frequency in the range of about 800 to about 4000 kilohertz. Those skilled in the art will recognize that some adjustments can be made in the parameters such as the size of the pores from which drug is released, vibration frequency and amplitude, pressure, and 40 other parameters based on the concentration, density, viscosity and surface tension of the formulation keeping in mind that the object is to provide aerosolized particles having a diameter in the range of about 0.5 to 12 microns.

The drug formulation may be a low viscosity liquid formulation. The viscosity of the drug by itself or in combination with a carrier is not of particular importance except to note that the formulation must have characteristics such that it can be forced out of openings of the flexible or convex membrane to form an aerosol, e.g., using 20 to 400 psi to form an aerosol 50 preferably having a particle size in the range of about 0.5 to 6.0 microns.

Drug may be stored in and/or released from a container of any desired size. In most cases the size of the container is not directly related to the amount of drug being delivered in that 55 most formulations include relatively large amounts of excipient material e.g. water or a saline solution. Accordingly, a given size container could include a wide range of different doses by varying drug concentration.

Drug containers may include indices which may be electronic and may be connected to a power source such as a battery. When the indices are in the form of visually perceivable numbers, letters or any type of symbol capable of conveying information to the patient. Alternatively, the indices may be connected to a power source such as a battery when 65 the indices are in the form of magnetically, optically or electronically recorded information which can be read by a drug

dispensing device which in turn provides visual or audio information to the user. The indices can be designed for any desired purpose but in general provides specific information relating to the day and/or time which the drug within a container should be administered to the patient. Such indices may record, store and transfer information to a drug dispensing device regarding the number of doses remaining in the container. The containers may include labeling which can be in any format and could include days of the month or other symbols or numbers in any variation or language.

In addition to disclosing specific information regarding the day and time for drug delivery the indices could provide more detailed information such as the amount of insulin dispensed from each container which might be particularly useful if the containers included different amounts of insulin. Further, magnetic, optical and/or electronic indices could have new information recorded onto them which information could be placed there by the drug dispensing device. For example, a magnetic recording means could receive information from the drug dispensing device indicating the precise time which the insulin was actually administered to the patient. In addition to recording the time of delivery the device could monitor the expected efficacy of the delivery based on factors such as the inspiratory flow rate which occurred following the initial release of insulin. The information recorded could then be read by a separate device, interpreted by the care-give and used to determine the usefulness of the present treatment methodology. For example, if the glucose levels of the patient did not appear to be responding well but the recorded information indicating that the patient had taken the drug at the wrong time or that the patient had misdelivered drug by changing inspiratory flow rate after initial release it might be determined that further education in patient use of the device was needed but that the present dosing methodology might well be useful. However, if the recordings indicated that the patient had delivered the aerosolized insulin using the proper techniques and still not obtained the correct results (e.g. acceptable glucose levels) another dosing methodology might be recommended. The method of treating Diabetes Mellitus may be carried out using a hand-held, portable device comprised of (a) a device for holding a disposable package comprised of at least one but preferably a number of drug containers, (b) a propellant or a mechanical mechanism for moving the contents of a container through a porous membrane (c) a monitor for analyzing the inspiratory flow, rate and volume of a patient, and (d) a switch for automatically releasing or firing the mechanical means after the inspiratory flow and/or volume reaches a threshold level. The device may also include a transport mechanism to more the package from one container to the next with each container and its porous membrane being disposed of after use. The entire device is self-contained, light weight (less than 1 kg preferably less than 0.5 kg loaded) and portable.

The device may include a mouth piece at the end of the flow path, and the patient inhales from the mouth piece which causes an inspiratory flow to be measured within the flow path which path may be in a non-linear flow-pressure relationship. This inspiratory flow causes an air flow transducer to generate a signal. This signal is conveyed to a microprocessor which is able to convert, continuously, the signal from the transducer in the inspiratory flow path to a flow rate in liters per minute. The microprocessor can further integrate this continuous air flow rate signal into a representation of cumulative inspiratory volume. At an appropriate point in the inspiratory cycle, the microprocessor can send a signal to an actuation means (and/or a vibration device below the resonance cavity) when the actuation means is signaled, it causes the mechanical

means (by pressure and/or vibration) to move drug from a container on the package into the inspiratory flow path of the device and ultimately into the patient's lungs. After being released, the drug and carrier will pass through a porous membrane, which can be vibrated to aerosolize the formulation and thereafter the lungs of the patient.

The device preferably includes a means for recording a characterization of the inspiratory flow profile for the patient which is possible by including a microprocessor in combination with a read/write memory means and a flow measure- 10 ment transducer. By using such devices, it is possible to change the firing threshold at any time in response to an analysis of the patient's inspiratory flow profile, and it is also possible to record drug dosing events over time. In a particularly preferred embodiment the characterization of the 15 inspiratory flow can be recorded onto a recording means on the disposable package.

The details of a drug delivery device which includes a microprocessor and pressure transducer of the type which may be used in connection with the present invention are 20 described and disclosed within U.S. Pat. Nos. 5,404,871, issued Apr. 11, 1995 and U.S. Pat. No. 5,450,336, issued Sep. 12, 1995 incorporated in their entirety herein by reference, and specifically incorporated in order to describe and disclose the microprocessor and program technology used therewith. 25 to not release drug if it does not receive a signal transmitted to The pre-programmed information is contained within a nonvolatile memory which can be modified via an external device. In another embodiment, this pre-programmed information is contained within a "read only" memory which can be unplugged from the device and replaced with another 30 memory unit containing different programming information. In yet another embodiment, a microprocessor, containing read only memory which in turn contains the pre-programmed information, is plugged into the device. For each of these embodiments, changing the programming of the 35 memory device readable by a microprocessor will radically change the behavior of the device by causing the microprocessor to be programmed in a different manner. This is done to accommodate different insulin formulation and for different types of treatment, e.g., patients with different types of 40 diabetes.

After dosing a patient with insulin it is desirable to measure glucose (invasively or non-invasively) and make adjustments as needed to obtain the desired glucose level. In accordance with all methods the patient does not push a button to release 45 drug. The drug is released automatically by signals from the microprocessor using measurements obtained.

The doses administered are based on an assumption that when interpulmonary delivery methodology is used the efficiency of the delivery is at a known percent amount, e.g., 20% 50 to 50% or more approximately and adjustments in the amount released in order to take into account the efficiency of the device. The differential between the amount of insulin actually released from the device and the amount actually delivered to the patient varies due to a number of factors. In 55 general, devices used with the present invention can have an efficiency as low as 10% and as high as 50% or more meaning that as little as 10% of the released insulin may actually reach the circulatory system of the patient and as much as 50% or more might be delivered. The efficiency of the delivery will 60 vary somewhat from patient to patient and must be taken into account when programming the device for the release of insulin. In general, a conventional metered (propellantdriven) dose inhaling device is about 10% efficient.

One of the important features and advantages of the present 65 invention is that the microprocessor can be programmed to take a variety of different criteria into consideration with

respect to dosing-times. Specifically, the microprocessor can be programmed so as to include a minimum time interval between doses i.e. after a given delivery another dose cannot be delivered until a given period of time has passed. Secondly, the timing of the device can be programmed so that it is not possible to exceed the administration of a set maximum amount of insulin within a given time. For example, the device could be programmed to prevent dispersing more than 5 units of insulin within one hour. More importantly, the device can be programmed to take both criteria into consideration. Thus, the device can be programmed to include a minimum time interval between doses and a maximum amount of insulin to be released within a given time period. For example, the microprocessor could be programmed to allow the release of a maximum of 5 units of insulin during an hour which could only be released in amounts of 1 unit with each release being separated by a minimum of five minutes.

42

Additional information regarding dosing with insulin via injection can be found within Harrison's-Principles of Internal Medicine (most recent edition) published by McGraw Hill Book Company, New York, incorporated herein by reference to disclose conventional information regarding dosing insulin via injection.

Another feature of the device is that it may be programmed it by a transmitter worn by the intended user. Such a system improves the security of the device and prevents misuse by unauthorized users such as children.

The microprocessor of the invention can be connected to external devices permitting external information to be transferred into the microprocessor of the invention and stored within the non-volatile read/write memory available to the microprocessor. The microprocessor of the invention can then change its drug delivery behavior based on this information transferred from external devices such as a glucose monitoring device. All of the features of the invention are provided in a portable, programmable, battery-powered, hand-held device for patient use which has a size which compares favorably with existing metered dose inhaler devices.

Different mechanisms will be necessary in order to deliver different formulations, such as a dry powder without any propellant. A device could be readily designed so as to provide for the mechanical movement of a predetermined amount of dry powder to a given area. The dry powder would be concealed by a gate, which gate would be opened in the same manner described above, i.e., it would be opened when a predetermined flow rate level and cumulative volume have been achieved based on an earlier monitoring event. Patient inhalation or other source of energy such as from compressed gas or a mechanical device would then cause the dry powder to form a dry dust cloud and be inhaled.

In addition to monitoring glucose levels in order to determine proper insulin dosing, the microprocessor of the present invention is programmed so as to allow for monitoring and recording data from the inspiratory flow monitor without delivering drug. This is done in order to characterize the patient's inspiratory flow profile in a given number of monitoring events, which monitoring events preferably occur prior to dosing events. After carrying out a monitoring event, the preferred point within the inspiratory cycle for drug delivery can be calculated. This calculated point is a function of measured inspiratory flow rate as well as calculated cumulative inspiratory flow volume. This information is stored and used to allow activation of the valve when the inhalation cycle is repeated during the dosing event. Those skilled in the art will also readily recognize that different mechanisms will be necessary in order to deliver different formulations, such as a dry

powder without any propellant. A device could be radially designed so as to provide for the mechanical movement of a predetermined amount of dry powder to a given area. The dry powder would be concealed by a gate, which gate would be opened in the same manner described above, i.e., it would be opened when a predetermined flow rate level and cumulative volume have been achieved based on an earlier monitoring event. Patient inhalation would then cause the dry powder to form a dry dust cloud and be inhaled. Dry powder can also be aerosolized by compressed gas, and a solution can be aerosolized by a compressed gas released in a similar manner and then inhaled.

Dual Compartment Container

The dual compartment container 70 of FIG. 13 includes a first container 71 and a second container 72. The containers 71 and 72 are in fluid connection with each other but the fluid connection is interrupted by a membrane 73 which membrane can be ruptured by the application of pressure (preferably in an amount of about 50 psi or less). A device such as the component 74 forces against the bottom of the container 72 and forces the contents 75 (which is liquid) against the membrane 73 which is then ruptured. The liquid 75 then enters the container 71 and mixes with the dry powder insulin 76 present with the container 71. The container 71 may include missing components 77 and 78. These components may be vibrating devices, ultrasonic devices or other suitable mechanisms allowing for the mixing of the liquid with the dry insulin. When the mixing is completed the component 79 is forced against the container 71 forcing the insulin formulation present therein into the chamber 80. Once the formulation is in the chamber 80 it is there under pressure and can be moved through the flexible membrane 81 by the application of that pressure and/or by the use of a vibrating device 82. The formulation is moved through the membrane 81 only after removal of the cover sheet 83.

The membrane 81 may be permanently convexed or may be flexible and convex outward when the formulation is forced through the membrane and will operate as per the container described in FIGS. 1-4 above. The membrane 81 includes pores having a diameter in the range of about 0.25 micron to about 6 microns and a pore density in the range of 1×10^4 to about 1×10^8 pores per square centimeter. The porous membrane 81 is preferably comprised of a material having a density in the range of about 0.25 to 3.0 mg/cm², more preferably about 1.7 mg/cm² and a thickness of about 2 to about 20 microns, more preferably 8 to 12 microns. The liquid 75 present in the container 72 is preferably capable of dissolving completely dissolved within the container 71 prior to being forced into the chamber 80. Dissolving the insulin makes it easier to move the insulin through the pores of the membrane 81 and create a fine mist aerosol. Keeping the dried insulin apart from the liquid makes it possible to maintain a longer shelf life.

The instant invention is shown herein in what is considered to be the most practical and preferred embodiments. It is recognized, however, that departures may be made therefrom which are within the scope of the invention and that obvious modifications will occur to one skilled in the art upon reading this disclosure.

We claim:

1. A method of treating diabetes in a patient who in the absence of treatment cannot maintain an acceptable blood-glucose level, the method comprising the steps of:

providing an individual dosage of insulin in dry powder 65 form to a handheld inhalation delivery device having a mouth piece;

44

completing an exhalation;

aerosolizing the insulin in with a volume of air so as to form a suspension of insulin and air and

inhaling the aerosolized insulin suspension with a high volume of air (H), wherein the high volume of air (H) comprises the suspension of insulin and air, as well as a volume of air following the introduction of the air and insulin suspension into the respiratory tract of the patient, wherein the high volume of air (H) is achieved by inhaling fully, wherein the inhaling of the insulin with the high volume of air (H) causes a dose of insulin to be transported to the alveoli region of the lungs for transport into the patients bloodstream in a controlled and repeatable manner so as to maintain an acceptable blood-glucose level in the patient; and repeating the above steps as needed to maintain an acceptable bloodglucose level, wherein when the steps are repeated the insulin and air suspension is introduced to the respiratory tract of the patient at substantially the same inspiratory volume each time the inhaling step is repeated and wherein for each repetition of exhaling substantially the same volume is exhaled and wherein for each repetition for inhaling, substantially the same high volume of air (H) is inhaled.

- 2. The method of claim 1, wherein the inhaling step is performed at an inspiratory flow rate in the range of 0.1 to 2 liters per second.
- 3. The method of claim 2, wherein the insulin and air suspension is inhaled after inhaling an initial volume of air and wherein the inhaling is continued after inhaling the aerosolized insulin.
- 4. The method of claim 2, wherein the introduction of the insulin and air suspension into the patient's respiratory tract is begun prior to the patient reaching an inhaled volume of 0.835 liters.
 - 5. The method of claim 1, wherein substantially all of the insulin and air suspension is introduced into the patient's respiratory tract prior to the patient reaching an inhaled volume of 0.8 liters.
 - 6. The method of claim 2, wherein the insulin particles suspended in the air that forms the aerosol have a velocity of equal to or less than the breath speed during the inhaling of the particles thru the mouth piece.
 - 7. A method of administering a controlled and reproducible dose of insulin to the bloodstream via the alveoli region of a diabetic patient's lungs that is sufficient to control the patient's blood-glucose levels, the method comprising the steps of:

supplying a predetermined amount of dry insulin powder to a handheld inhalation delivery device, wherein the device comprises a mouth piece thru which the patient inhales, and wherein the amount of dry insulin powder is in excess of the amount need to be absorbed into the blood of the patient to control the patient's blood glucose levels;

creating an aerosol comprised of insulin particles by contacting the insulin with a volume of air, wherein the aerosol of particles has a velocity of –2.0 to 2.0 liters per second, wherein negative velocity indicates that the particles are moving away from the patient and wherein positive velocity indicates that the particles are moving in a direction toward the patent thru the mouth piece;

exhaling a volume of air;

60

inhaling the particles after the particles have reached a speed less than the speed of the inhalation wherein the inhalation occurs at a rate less than 2 liters per second and wherein the inhaling occurs with a high volume of

air (H), wherein the inhaling causes a percentage of the particles to reach the alveoli region of the patients lungs and wherein the inhaling cause the lungs to transport a controlled amount of the insulin to the patient's blood stream; and

repeating the above steps, wherein for each repetition of the inhaling and exhaling substantially the same volume is exhaled each time and substantially the same volume is inhaled each time.

- **8.** The method of claim 7, wherein the high volume of air 10 (H) of air is determined by instructing the patient to inhale fully.
- 9. The method of claim 7, wherein the insulin and air suspension is created by combining 15000 ml volume of air with the insulin powder and wherein after the 15000 ml of air 15 and insulin are inhaled, the patient continues to inhale to a determined point.
- 10. The method of any of claims 7, wherein the insulin powder consists essentially of human insulin.
- 11. The method of claim 7 wherein the insulin powder 20 consists essentially of human insulin.
- 12. A method of administering a therapeutic dose of insulin that will create an acceptable blood-glucose level in a patient with diabetes that would not otherwise be able to achieve a normal blood glucose level absent medical treatment, the 25 method comprising the steps of:

exhaling a volume of air;

aerosolizing a dry powder insulin with air to produce an aerosol of insulin particles suspended in a volume of air wherein the volume of air and aerosol is in the range of 30 0.05 to 2 liters;

inhaling the aerosolized particles with the volume of air in which they are suspended, followed by continuing to inhale until a high volume of air (H) has been inhaled, the high volume of air (H) being achieved by instructing 35 the patient to inhale fully to a determined point in an inhalation cycle, thereby causing a controlled dose of insulin to be transported to the patient's blood across the

46

peripheral lung, wherein the absorbed dose of insulin causes the patient's blood glucose level to be within an acceptable range; and

repeating the above steps at a later point in time wherein when the steps are repeated substantially the same high volume of air (h) is inhaled with each inhalation and wherein additional dose(s) of insulin are absorbed by the patient so as to maintain an acceptable blood glucose level

- 13. The method of claim 12, wherein the dry powder insulin consists essentially of human or analog insulin and wherein it aerosolizes into an aerosol comprising particles of less than 10 microns.
- 14. The method of claim 13, wherein the insulin aerosol is inhaled at substantially the same point of an inspiratory cycle for each repetition of the inhaling step.
- 15. The method of claim 14, wherein the exhaling step comprises exhaling a determined volume of air and for each repetition of the exhaling step, substantially the same determined volume is exhaled.
- 16. The method of claim 14, wherein the aerosol comprises particles having a diameter of less than 6 microns and wherein the particles have a velocity of substantially zero in the absence of the flow created by the patients inhalation.
- 17. The method of claim 14, wherein the aerosol comprises particles having a diameter of less than 6 microns and wherein the aerosol of particles has a velocity of -2 to +2 liters per second
- 18. The method of claim 13, wherein the insulin consists essentially of human insulin.
- 19. The method of claim 1, wherein the insulin powder consists essentially of human insulin.
- 20. The method of claim 5, wherein the insulin powder consists essentially of human or analog insulin.
- 21. The method of claim 9, wherein the insulin powder consists essentially of luiman or analog insulin.

* * * * *

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE CERTIFICATE OF CORRECTION

PATENT NO. : 7,448,375 B2 Page 1 of 1

APPLICATION NO.: 11/130986

DATED : November 11, 2008

INVENTOR(S) : Igor Gonda

It is certified that error appears in the above-identified patent and that said Letters Patent is hereby corrected as shown below:

Col. 44 In claim 7, at line 53, the word "need" should read --needed--;

Col. 45 In claim 8, at line 11, delete the words "of air";

Col. 45 In claim 9, at lines 14 and 15, "15000 ml" should read --150-400 ml--; and

Col. 45 Please cancel claim 10 as it is duplicative of claim 11.

Signed and Sealed this

Twentieth Day of January, 2009

JON W. DUDAS

Director of the United States Patent and Trademark Office